2ND QUARTER ACCOMPLISHMENT REPORT FY 2020

| Objective/ Program/ Sub-Program/ | | P | hysical Targ | gets | | | Physical Ac | complishments | | | | Variance | Accas | sment of V | ariance | Reasons for Variance/ | Steering Measures | |
|---|-------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------|---------------|---------|----------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---|--|--|
| Performance Indicator | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Total | Q1 | Q2 | 1st Semester | | Annual | | variance | Asses | 7100000111011101 | | Other Remarks | otooring modearoo | |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | | (13) | | (14) | Major (> +/-30%) | Minor (+/-30%) | Full target Achieved | (18) | (19) | |
| ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME 5: DELIVERY OF | | | | MENT PROG | RAMS BY LO | OCAL GOVERNMENT UNITS T | HROUGH LOCAL SOCIAL W | ELFARE AND DEVELOPMEN | Г | | | | | | | | | |
| SWD Technical Assistance (TA) and Resource A | Augmentatio | n (RA) Prog | ram | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| 5.1 Percentage of LSWDOs with improved | | | | | | | | | Total No. | LGUs with | | | | | | | | |
| functionality | | | | | | | | | of LGUs | improved | Percent | -100.00% | ☑ | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 1 | | | functionality | 4 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Result: (with Regional disaggregation) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total (a.1-a.3) a. Level 1 | | | | | (no of | | | | | | | | | | | The target for baseline | | |
| a. Level I | | | | | LSWDO) | | | | | | | | | | | assessment of the two [2] | | |
| a.2 City | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | - | | | | remaining LGUs (Marikina and | T | |
| a.3 Municipality | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | - | | - | | | | Quezon City) was not conducted due to the implementation of | assessment will be conducted | |
| b. Level 2 | | | | | (no of LSWDO) | | | | | | | | | | | ECQ, MECQ and GCQ in NCR | iin the next succeeding quarter | |
| b.2 City | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | _ | | -2 | -100% | | | and resignation of TARA Focal | or after the implementation of | |
| b.3 Municipality | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | - | | - | 10070 | | | Person that will handle on the | Community Quarantine in Metro Manila. | |
| c. Level 3 | | | | | (no of | | | | | | | | | | | service delivery assessement. The assessment will be | Metro Mariia. | |
| 0.07 | | | | | LSWDO) | | | | | | | | | | | conducted in the succeeding | | |
| c.2 City c.3 Municipality | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | - | | | | quarters of CY 2020. | | |
| Assessment Result: | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | <u> </u> | - | | - | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Total | Improved | | | | | | | | |
| a. Level 1 | | | | | | | | | LSWDO | from PF to | Percent | #VALUE! | | | | | | |
| Total (a.1-a.3) | | | | | (no of | | | | | , | | | | | | | | |
| Total (a.1-a.3) | | | | | LSWDO) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| a.2 City | | | | | (no of LSWDO) | | | | - | - | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | |
| NCF | ٠ - | - | - | - | LOVVDO | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | |
| a.3 Municipality | | | | | (no of | | | | _ | _ | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | |
| NCF | | - | - | | LSWDO) | - | - | - | - | | #DIV/0: | #B1070. | | | | | | |
| | - | - | - | - | | - | - | - | Total | - Improved | | | | | | | | |
| b. Level 2 | | | | | | | | | LSWDO | from F to | Percent | #VALUE! | | | | | | |
| Total (a.1-a.3) | | | | | (no of | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total (a.1-a.3) | | | | | LSWDO) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| b.2 City | | | | | (no of LSWDO) | | | | - | - | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | |
| NCF | ٠ . | - | - | 12 | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | |
| b.3 Municipality | | | | | (no of | | | | _ | _ | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | |
| NCF | ? . | | _ | 1 | LSWDO) | - | - | - | | | #B1070. | #B1070. | | | | | | |
| | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | Total | - Improved | | | | | | | | |
| c. Level 3 | | | | | | | | | LSWDO | from PF to | Percent | #VALUE! | | | | | | |
| Total (a.1-a.3) | | | | | (no of | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total (a.1-a.3) | | | | | LSWDO) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| c.2 City | | | | | (no of LSWDO) | | | | - | - | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | |
| NCF | ٠ - | - | - | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | |
| c.3 Municipality | | | | | (no of | | | | _ | _ | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | | | | | | |
| NCF | ₹ - | - | - | - | LSWDO) | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | |
| Output Indicators | - | - | - | - | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |

2ND QUARTER ACCOMPLISHMENT REPORT FY 2020

| | Objective/ Program/ Sub-Program/ | | Pi | hysical Targ | jets | | | | | | Phy | sical Acc | omplishr | nents | | | | | Variance | | sment of Va | | Reasons for Variance/ | Steering Measures |
|---|---|------------|-------------|--------------|------|-------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|--|---|---------|----------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---|--|
| | Performance Indicator | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Total | | Q1 | | | Q2 | | 1 | st Semes | ter | | Annual | | variance | Assess | sment of va | | Other Remarks | Steering Measures |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | | (7) | | | (8) | | | (9) | | | (13) | | (14) | Major (> +/-30%) | Minor (+/-30%) | Full target Achieved | (18) | (19) |
| ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME 5: DELIVERY OF SOCIAL WELFARE AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS BY LOCAL GOVERN | | | | | | | | ERNMENT | UNITS T | HROUGH | LOCAL S | OCIAL WE | LFARE A | ND DEVE | LOPMENT | | | | | | , , | | | |
| 5.1 | echnical Assistance (TA) and Resource A Number of learning and development | ugmentatio | n (RA) Prog | ram | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.1 | interventions provided to LGUs (through LSWDOs) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ☑ | | | | |
| | NCR | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | | | | | - | | | | | | | - 2 | | -100% | | | The first LDI to be conducted by CBS that was scheduled on the 1st week of April was not conducted due to the cancellation of activities pursuant to Advisory No. 1 series of 2020 . The Capacity Building Section, DSWD-NCR is currently involved in the Agency Operation Center and Monitoring / Validation Team of the Social Amelioration Program. | and participants. Waiting for the directive in LDIs at DSWD- |
| 5.2 | Percentage of LGUs provided with technical assistance | | | | | | Total LSWDOs under TA Plan | No. of LGUs provided TA | Percent | Total LSWDOs under TA Plan | No. of LGUs provided TA | Percent | Total LSWDOs under TA Plan | No. of LGUs provided TA | Percent | Total LSWDOs under TA Plan | No. of LGUs provided TA | Percent | | | | Ø | | |
| | NCR | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 17 | 17 | 100% | 17 | 17 | 100% | 17 | 17 | 100% | 17 | 17 | 100% | - | | | | Due to the COVID-19 Pandemic and declaration of Enhanced Community Quarantine, activities for the 1st semester were not conducted and were rescheduled in the succeeding quarters. | quarter will be scheduled and |
| 5.3 | Percentage of LGUs provided with resource augmentation | | | | | | Total LSWDOs under RA Plan | No. of LGUs provided RA | Percent | Total LSWDOs under RA Plan | No. of LGUs provided RA | Percent | Total LSWDOs under RA Plan | No. of LGUs provided RA | Percent | Total LSWDOs under RA Plan | No. of LGUs provided RA | Percent | | | | | | |
| | NCR | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | - | 17 | 100% | - | 17 | 100% | , | 17 | 100% | - | 17 | 100% | | | | | There is no target resource augmentation in the FO-NCR 2020-2022 TARA Plan. However, due to the COVID-19 pandemic, augmentation support was provided to the 17 LGUs in the form of family foodpacks amounting to Php 131,066,640.28 | |
| 5.4 | Percentage of LGUs that rated TA provided as satisfactory or better | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total no. of LGUs provided TA | Total no. of LGUs rated TA as satisfactory | Percent | | | | | | |
| | NCR | - | - | - | - | TBD | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | - | - | | | | No tool yet to determine LGU Satisfactory Level (c/o CO) | |

2ND QUARTER ACCOMPLISHMENT REPORT FY 2020

| | Objective/ Program/ Sub-Program/ | | Physical Targets | | | Physical Accomplishments | | | | | | | | | | Variance | Assessment of Variance | | | Reasons for Variance/ | Steering Measures | | |
|-------|--|-------------|------------------|---------|-----------|--------------------------|----------|--------|--------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|---------------------------|---|------------------------|------|---------------------|--|-------------------|---|----------|
| | Performance Indicator | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Total | | Q1 | | Q2 | | 1 | st Semes | ter | | Annual | | | | | | Other Remarks | 3 |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | | (7) | | (8) | | | (9) | | | (13) | | (14) | Major (> +/-30%) | Major Minor Full target (> +/-30%) (+/-30%) Achieved | | (18) | (19) |
| ORGA | NIZATIONAL OUTCOME 5: DELIVERY OF | SOCIAL WEI | LFARE AND | DEVELOP | MENT PROG | RAMS BY LO | CAL GOVE | RNMENT | UNITS THROUG | H LOCAL : | SOCIAL W | ELFARE A | AND DEVE | LOPMENT | | | | | | | | | |
| SWD 7 | echnical Assistance (TA) and Resource A | Augmentatio | n (RA) Prog | ram | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5.5 | Percentage of LGUs that rated RA provided as satisfactory or better | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | of LGUs provided TA | Total no. of GUs rated TA as catisfactory or better | Percent | | | | | | |
| | NCR | - | - | - | - | TBD | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | , | - | | | | No tool yet to determine LGU Satisfactory Level (c/o CO) | |

2ND QUARTER ACCOMPLISHMENT REPORT FY 2020

| | | | | | OBLIG | ATION | | | | | | | DISBURSEMENT | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------|-----------|--------------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------|--------------|----------|----------|-------------|----------|----------|--|
| Objective/ Program/ Sub-Program/ Performance Indicator | Allotment Class | Budget (GAA) | | Amount | | | Per | cent Utiliza | ition | | | Amount | | | Perc | ent Utiliza | ion | | |
| | Anothient Glass | budget (OAA) | Q1 | Q2 | Total | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Total | Q1 | Q2 | Total | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Total | |
| POOR, VULNERABLE AND MARGINALIZED | CITIZENS ARE EMPO | OWERED AND WITH | I IMPROVED QUALIT | TY OF LIFE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME 5: DELIVERY | OF SOCIAL WELFA | RE AND DEVELOPM | MENT PROGRAMS B | Y LOCAL GOVERNM | IENT UNITS THROU | GH LOCAL | SOCIAL WE | LFARE AND | DEVELOPM | IENT OFFICE | ES IMPROVED | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Social Welfare and Development Technical | Assistance and Res | ource Augmentatio | n Program | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grand Total | | 103,453,639.85 | 22,896,802.95 | 26,128,263.99 | 49,025,066.94 | 22.13% | 25.26% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 47.39% | 17,348,540 | 25,587,700 | 42,936,240 | 75.77% | 97.93% | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | 87.58% | |
| Provision of Technical / Advisory Assistance | ce and other Related | Support Services | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | 103,343,975 | , , | -, -, - | 49,025,067 | 22.16% | 25.28% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | 17,348,540 | 25,587,700 | 42,936,240 | 75.77% | 97.93% | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | 87.58% | |
| Current Appropriation | | 101,048,224 | 22,884,803 | 25,438,264 | 48,323,067 | 22.65% | 25.17% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 47.82% | 17,336,540 | 24,934,664 | 42,271,205 | 75.76% | 98.02% | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | 87.48% | |
| DRI | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PS | 91,359,000 | 21,340,160 | 25,361,804 | 46,701,964 | 23.36% | 27.76% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 51.12% | 16,888,853 | 23,614,842 | 40,503,696 | 79.14% | 93.11% | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | 86.73% | |
| | MOOE | 9,689,224 | 1,544,643 | 76,460 | 1,621,103 | 15.94% | 0.79% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 16.73% | 423,687 | 13,750 | 437,437 | 27.43% | 17.98% | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | 26.98% | |
| CMI | | | | | | | | 2 222/ | | 22 - 22 | | | | | 21212 | #B0#61 | | 21 - 22/ | |
| Continuing Appropration | | 2,295,751 | 12,000 | 690,000 | 702,000 | 0.52% | 30.06% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 30.58% | 12,000 | 653,036 | 665,036 | 100.00% | 94.64% | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | 94.73% | |
| DRI | PS | 761.301 | 0 | 0 | | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0 | 0 | 0 | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | |
| | MOOE | 1.534.450 | | 690.000 | 702.000 | 0.00% | 44.97% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 45.75% | 12.000 | 653.036 | 665.036 | 100.00% | 94.64% | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | 94.73% | |
| CMI | | 1,334,430 | 12,000 | 090,000 | 702,000 | 0.7070 | 44.37 /0 | 0.0078 | 0.0076 | 43.7370 | 12,000 | 055,050 | 003,030 | 100.0076 | 34.0476 | #DIV/0: | #DIV/0: | 34.13/0 | |
| Provision of Capability Training Programs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | 109.665 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0 | 0 | 0 | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | |
| Current Appropriation | 1 | 109,665 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0 | 0 | 0 | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | |
| DRI | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CMF | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>-</u> | MOOE | 109,665 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0 | 0 | 0 | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | |
| Continuing Appropration | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | 0 | 0 | 0 | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | |
| DRF | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CMF | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | "D" ('61 | "BD "/61 | "D" //e1 | "B" ('61 | #B B #61 | |
| | MOOE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | 0 | 0 | 0 | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | |

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL WELFARE AND DEVELOPMENT Field Office - NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION 200 Sep Refeat St. corner Legardo Seppreto Manile

389 San Rafael St., corner Legarda, Sampaloc, Manila

| ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME 5: Deli | ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME 5: Delivery Of Social Welfare And Development Programs By Local Government Units Through Local Social Welfare And Development Offices Improved | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Strategic Initiative: Development of L | SWDO Technical Assistance | and Resource Augm | entation Program | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Plan | | Accom | plishment | Issues and Gaps | Steering Measures | | | | | | | | | |
| Activity | Amount Allotted | Activity | Amount Disbursed | in the Implementation | | | | | | | | | | |
| (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |