	Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/		Pi	hysical Targ	ets			Phys Accompli					sessmei			
•	Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	1st	Total	Variance	,	Varianc	е	Reasons for Variance	Steering Measures
		α.	Q2	Q.J	<b>Q</b> 7	Total	Q.	QZ	Semester	Total		Major	Minor	Full target Achieved		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(13)=(7)+(8)+( 10)+(11)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)
	egic Focus 2: Improve well-being of Bene				rengthened s	ocial welfare s	ystem									
	ANIZATIONAL OUTCOME 1: WELLBEING COME INDICATOR	OF POOR FA	MILIES IMPRO	OVED												
0010	COME INDICATOR														A total of 1.798 households slid back from their level of well-	
	Percentage of Pantawid households with	100%	100%			100%	74.49%	64.80%	64.80%	64.80%	35.20%	050/			being based on SWDI assessment and a total of 75,255 without	
1.1	improved wellbeing	(212,952/ 212,952)	(218,884/ 218,884)			(218,884/ 218,884)	(158,622/ 212,952)	(141,831/ 218,884)	(141,831/ 218,884)	(141,831/ 218,884)	(77,053/ 218,884)	35%			SWDI results were variance from the 2019 SWDI assessment	
		212,952)	. ,			210,004)	, ,	, ,	' '	. ,	210,004)				and the newly-registered households from 2019-2022.	
	a. 1. Survival - Baseline		20.74%				0.33%	20.74% (454/	20.74%	20.74%					For the 1st Quarter CY 2022, the data shown was the cumulative	
	a. 1. Survivai - Baseline		(454/ 218.884)				(695/ 212.952)	(454/ 218.884)	(454/ 218.884)	(454/ 218.884)						remaining SWDI Tools. Households without SWDI results from 2019 will have their baseline SWDI data through the on-going
			210,004)			†	212,952)	210,004)	210,004)	210,004)					trie Region.	2022 SWDI assessment.
	a. 2. Survival to Subsistence						-	-	-	-					For the 2nd quarter CY 2022, the data presented is already	2022 SWDI dassessinent.
						1									based from 2022 SWDI assessment with 2019 SWDI data as the	Augmentation of Pantawid Pamilya staff as Special Disbursing
			51.86%				59%	51.19%	51.19%	51.19%					baseline for comparison, a total of 75,255 without SWDI results	Officers (SDOs), paymasters, and validators in Government's
	b. 1. Subsistence - Baseline	100%	(113,514/ 218,884)			100%	(125,626/ 212,952)	(112,043/ 218,884)	(112,043/ 218,884)	(112,043/ 218,884)						Emergency Subsidy Program  – Social Amelioration Program
		(212,952/	210,004)			(218,884/	212,952)	0.65%	0.65%	0.65%					registered households from 2019-2022.	(ESP-SAP).
	b. 2. Subsistence to Self-Sufficiency	212,952)				218,884)	-	(1,427/	(1,427/	(1,427/						
								218,884)	218,884)	218,884)					Since it is required that all of the households shall be assessed, the total target will be 218,884 or the total active RCCT	Immediate processing of households for replacement and registration in the Program as instructed by the NPMO.
			13.55%				15.17%	12.75%	12.75%	12.75%					households as of P1 2022 as the baseline for SWDI 2022	registration in the Program as instructed by the NPMO.
	c. 1. Self-Sufficiency - Baseline		(29,661/ 218,884)				(32,301/ 212,952)	(27,907/ 218.884)	(27,907/ 218,884)	(27,907/ 218,884)					asessment. Further, in March 2022, the SSDMD of the NPMO	
			218,884)			-	212,952)	218,884)	218,884)	218,884)					has instructed and endorsed Code 14 households (no eligible	
	c. 2. Survival to Self-Sufficiency						-	-	-	-					beneficiary certified by the RPMO) as non-negotiable targets	Note: 1st Quarter data has been revised since the tool has
	,														which are needed to be assessed before the end of June 2022,	redundant Survival-Baseline indicator hence Survival baseline
								0.02%	0.02%	0.02%					they were prioritized to be accomplished. Nonetheless, the	and Self-sufficient baseline data has been interchanged. We
	**Subsistence to Survival						-	(44/	(44/	(44/						have also included three (3) indicators since there were a total
								218,884) 0.80%	218,884) 0.80%	218,884) 0.80%					SWDI Wave 2 administration has continued in June 2020 to	of 1,798 households who had slid back from their level of well- being.
	**Self-Sufficient to Subsistence						_	(1,746/	(1,746/	(1,746/					present, however, the administered SWDI tools were still not yet	bonig.
								218,884)	218,884)	218,884)					encoded due to lack of workforce and budget for hiring of SWDI	
								0.009%	0.009%	0.009%					encoders.	
	**Self-Sufficient to Survival						-	(8/	(8/	(8/						
	Percentage compliance of Pantawid							218,884)	218,884)	218,884)						
	Pamilya households on school enrolment	90.00%	90.00%				96.58%	97.29%	97.29%	97.29%	7.3%		8.10%			
	of children	22.3070	22.2070				22.2370		2270	22070						

9	Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/		Pi	nysical Targe	ets				sical ishments				sessme			
	Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	1st Semester	Total	Variance	Major	Varianc	Full target Achieved	Reasons for Variance	Steering Measures
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(13)=(7)+(8)+( 10)+(11)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)
		(261,210/ 290,233)	(271,645/ 301,828)				(280,298/ 290,233)	(293,638/ 301,828)	(293,638/ 301,828)	(293,638/					The variance are the 4Ps members enrolled in Facilities marked as non-compliant by facility focal persons in education facilities under CV Monitoring. These members are for systems intervention and/or case management intervention of the Program which will depend on the OBTR reasons which can be found on the Compliance Verification System.  Non-compliance in Education may be due to reasons which can be found in the Offline Beneficiary Tracking Record of the Compliance Verification System: Conflict with Livelihood, Financial, Unavoidable Circumstances, Victim of Domestic Violence, Family Conflict, Teenage Pregnancy, Moved-out without notice, Sibling Care, Abandoned Child/Neglected, Child in conflict with the law, Disability, Abuses, Can no longer cope with the demands of school, Beneficiaries nowhere to be found.	The non-compliant members or the variances are subject for appropriate systems intervention and/or appropriate case management intervention and other interventions which the Program can provide.  Strengthened partnership with NGAs and other partner agencies for referral and provision of appropriate and necessary interventions to the identified Program members.
1.3	Percentage compliance of Pantawid Pamilya households on availment of health services	90.00%	90.00%				96.38%	96.23%	96.23%	96.23%	6.22%		6.91%			
	No. of Pantawid Pamilya households availing key health services	(10,131/ 11,257)	(10,328/ 11,475)				(10,850/ 11,257)	(11,042/ 11,475)	(11,042/ 11,475)	(11,042/ 11,475)					The variances are 4Ps members enrolled in facilities marked as non-compliant by facility focal persons in health facilities under CV Monitoring. They are subject for appropriate systems intervention and/or appropriate case management intervention and other interventions which the Program can provide.  Non-compliance in availment of health services may be due to reasons which can be found in the Offline Beneficiary Tracking Record of the Compliance Verification System: Conflict with Livelihood, Transferred Residence, Sick, Moved-out without notice, Deceased, Unavoidable Circumstances, Moved-out without notice, Loss interest.	The non-compliant members or the variances are subject for appropriate systems intervention and/or appropriate case management intervention and other interventions which the Program can provide.  Strengthened partnership with NGAs and other partner agencies for referral and provision of appropriate and necessary interventions to the identified Program members.
1.4	Percentage of Pantawid Pamilya children not attending school that returned to school	N/A	N/A	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-		#DIV/0!	!		
	Total Number of Pantawid Pamilya Children Not Attending School in Previous SY and Non-Compliant for At least 3 Months	N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A						Note: The indicator is determined by measuring the share of children aged 3 to 18 years old in elementary or high school, monitored thru Compliance Verification System, who are not attending school in the previous school year (SY) that were made to return to school in the current SY. "Previous SY" is as
	Number of Pantawid Pamilya Children Who Returned to School in Current SY and Compliant for At Least 8 Months	N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A						of P3-P4 of previous year and "current SY" is as of P2 of present year (SY2022). P2 Compliance Monitoring Report will be available by July 2022.
1.5	Percentage of Pantawid Pamilya households not availing key health services that availed key health services	N/A	N/A	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-	#DIV/0!				

Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/		PI	hysical Targ	ets			Phy: Accompl	sical ishments			_	sessme			
Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	1st Semester	Total	Variance	Major	Variand	Full target Achieved	Reasons for Variance	Steering Measures
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(13)=(7)+(8)+( 10)+(11)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)
Total No.of Pantawid Pamilya Non- Compliant to At Least 1 Health Conditions	N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A						Note: The indicator is determined by measuring the share of households perennially noncompliant with all health conditions, including attendance to FDS, for at least 7 months of the previous year that were made to comply for at least 10 months of the current year. "Previous year" is as of P5 of previous year
No.of Pantawid Pamilya Households Turned Compliant to Health Conditions	N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A						and "current year" is as of P4 of present year. P4 (August- September) of the present year will fall on the 3rd Quarter and the compliance turnout report for P4 shall be available by October 2022.
Current Fund Percentage of SLP Participants engaged in	During the S	Social Preparati	l ion Stage, SLF	participants	NO TARGET	100.000/	400.000/	400.000/	400.000/	0.000/					
nicroenterprise	are allowed	to choose the	track best sui		NO TARGET	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%					
Total number of SLP participants are equipped.	ped to engage i	in a microenter	prise			4	156		160						
a. SLP Regular/Referrals						4	45	49	49						
b. Enhanced Partnership Against Hunger and Poverty (EPAHP)						-		-	-						
c. EO 70 Implementation						-		-	-						
d. Livelihood for Marawi IDPs						-		-	-						
e. Zero Hunger Program						-	111	111	111						
Expanded Project On EPAHP						-	-	-	-						
Resettlement Support (PERS) Partnership For Sustainable Living Project															
(PSL) Project						-	-	-	-						
Kabuhayan Integration, Business Initiatives, And Gainful Access To Networks (KAIBIGAN)-Fire Victims Project						-	111	111	111						
Capacity Development For Farmers, People's Organizations And Households In						-	-	-	-						
Urban And Rural Communities Project Urban Poor Project												-	-		
Total number of households who received so	eed canital fun	d skills training	n and CBLA			4	156	160	160						
1.7 Percentage of SLP participants employed	During the S	Social Preparati I to choose the	ion Stage, SLF		NO TARGET	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00%					
Total number of SLP participants equipped	to be employed	d				-	-	-	-						
a. SLP Regular/Referrals						-	-	-	-						
b. Enhanced Partnership Against Hunger and Poverty (EPAHP)						-	-	-	-						
c. EO 70 Implementation						-	-	-	-						
d. Livelihood for Marawi IDPs						-	-	-	-						
Total number of households who received e	mployment ass	sistance						-	-						
Number of SLP participants with  1.8 established or recovered enterprise, or are employed (LAG)		Social Preparati I to choose the			NO TARGET	1,066	457	1,523	1,523	0.00%					
Microenterprise Development						1066	457	1523	1,523						
Employment Facilitation						-	-	-	-						
Percentage of completed KC-NCDDP 1.9 projects that have satisfactory or better sustainability evaluation rating		Not applicable	e for FO-NCR.												

	Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/		Ph	ysical Targ	ets			•	sical ishments				sessmer			
	Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	1st Semester	Total	Variance	Major	Variance	Full target Achieved	Reasons for Variance	Steering Measures
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(13)=(7)+(8)+( 10)+(11)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)
	Number of Pantawid households provided with conditional cash grants	90.00%	90.00%				95.51% (197,865/ 207,165)	92.07% (195,456/ 212,289)	92.07% (195,456/ 212,289)	92.07% (195,456/ 212,289)	2.07%		2%		The variance is due to Households under Over-the-counter mode of payment with request of EMV card enrollment/ replacement and /or on process of EMV card distribution. The	Continuous and fast track enrolment of Pantawid Pamilya households with no EMV Card. And for Landbank to hasten processing and distribution of cash cards.  Complete re-enumeration of MCCT households in the
	1.10.1 Regular CCT	90.00% (184,228/ 204,698)	90.00% (191,060/ 212,289)				95.47% (195,425/ 204,698)	92.07% (195,456/ 212,289)	92.07% (195,456/ 212,289)	92.07% (195,456/ 212,289)					cash grants will be requested for top-up for Active HHs with claimed EMV card.	Listahanan and/or resolve existing issues of the Program in the MCCT and fast track guidance for the withheld grants.  Note: The data for the MCCT was based on the P5 payment period 2021 since it was topped-up in March 23, 2022 which is
	1.10.2. Modified CCT	90.00% (2,220/ 2,467)	90.00% (2,312/ 2,569)				98.91% (2,440/ 2,467)	0	0	0					Grants withheld - not included in the GAA FY 2022	period 2021 Since it was topped in March 23, 2022 Which is included in the 1st Quarter. For 2nd Quarter, Targets were included however no physical accomplishments since there was no GAA downloaded for the MCCT since their grants are withheld.
1.11	Percentage of Pantawid Pamilya-related grievances resolved within established time protocol															
	Total No. grievances received														Note: Per coordination of FO-NCR RPMO Pantawid with the CO-	
	No. of Pantawid Pamilya-related grievances resolved within established time protocol														NPMO Pantawid, the indicator is already deleted in the HPMES indicators.	
1.12	Percentage of re-assessed self-sufficient (Level 3) households with Transition Plan	80.00%	20.00%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	90.41%	7.95%	7.95%	7.95%	-12.05%	-60%				
	Number of re-assessed self-sufficient (Level 3) households	(16,074/ 20,093)	(5,932/ 29,661)				(18,166/ 20,093)	(2,358/ 29,661)	(2,358/ 29,661)	(2,358/ 29,661)					Shown data in the 1st Quarter 2022 is based on the 2021 SWDI Reassessment Targets which was cascaded by the NPMO. This shall be revised if new targets will be set by the NPMO.  For the 2nd Quarter 2022, the 2019 SWDI data was used as the baseline in which 29,661 households were assessed as Level 3 self-sufficient. Out of 29,661 Level 3 households, a total of 2,358 were already reassessed.	
	Number of re-assessed self-sufficient (Level 3) households with Transition Plan	164	0				164	0	0	0					Further, in March 2022, the SSDMD of the NPMO has instructed and endorsed Code 14 households (no eligible beneficiary certified by the RPMO) as non-negotiable targets which are needed to be assessed before the end of June 2022, thus they were prioritized to be accomplished.  This indicator has been revised by the NPMO to replace	
1.13	Number of re-assessed self-sufficient (Level 3) households with Updated Intervention Plan  Number of household provided with program	590	859				590	859	859	859					transition plan with Updated Intervention Plan in the Social Case Study report. For FO-NCR, household who were reassessed as self-sufficient shall be provided with Transition Plan while households who slid back from self-sufficient LOWB back to subsistence or survival shall be provided with Intervention Plan. Shown data for the Number of re-assessed self-sufficient (Level 3) households with Transition Plan is based on the cumulative	

Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/		Pl	hysical Targe	ts				sical ishments				sessmei			
Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	1st Semester	Total	Variance	Major	Variance	Full target Achieved	Reasons for Variance	Steering Measures
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(13)=(7)+(8)+( 10)+(11)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)
Current Fund															
1.1.Total number of households who received seed capital fund and total number of households trained (Seed Capital Funds, Skills Training, and CBLA)		-	1,208	1,895		4	156				3900%			Big portion of the actual accomplishment is due to the approval of MC 2 Series of 2022 that covers the KAIBIGAN project under the Zero Hunger Program.	Note: Actual participants served under SLP regular and LAG may change depending on the mode of implementation during assessment.
a. SLP Regular/ Referrals	4	-	1,168		1,172	4	45	49	49						
EO 70 Implementation	-	-	20		20	-	-	-	-					_	
b. Households/Former Rebels	-	-	20		20	-	-	-	-		ļ	ļ			
Households in CVAs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				ļ	4	
c. Livelihood for Marawi IDPs	-	-	-	4 005	1,895	-	111	-	111		1	1		-	
d. Zero Hunger Program Expanded Project On EPAHP	-	-	-	1,895		-	111	111	111					-	
Resettlement Support (PERS)	-	-	-	300	300	-	-	-	-						
Partnership For Sustainable Living Project (PSL) Project	-	-	-	193	193	-	-	-	-						
Kabuhayan Integration, Business Initiatives, And Gainful Access To Networks (KAIBIGAN)-Fire Victims Project	-	-	-	312	312	-	111	111	111						
Capacity Development For Farmers, People's Organizations And Households In	-	_	-	800	800	-	-	-	-						
Urban And Rural Communities Project Urban Poor Project	_	_	_	290	290	_	_	_	_					-	
Total number of households who received Employment Assistance Fund				200	-	-	-	-	-	#DIV/0!					
a. SLP Regular/ Referrals					-	-	-	-	-						
Enhanced Partnership Against Hunger and Poverty (EPAHP)					-	-	-	-	-						
b. EO 70 Implementation					-	-	-	-	-						
Households/Former Rebels					-	-	-	-	-						
c. Households in CVAs	<b></b>				-	-	-	-	-				ļ		
d. Livelihood for Marawi IDPs  Total number of participants provided with livelihood assistance grants (LAG)	1,066	1,097	-	-	2,163	1,066	457	1,523	1,523	-640		-30%		There are a total of 789 beneficiaries who received the Livelihood Assistance Grants during last week of June 2022 which were not yet encoded in the Livelihood Assistance Grants Information System. Only the encoded are counted as accomplishment by the NPMO. The said beneficiaries will be reported through the system on July 2022 submission of report since the deadline for submission of the LAG-IS to the NPMO is until end of the month.	
Total number of participants who received complementary livelihood recovery services from partners by SLP LAG Implementation					-	-	-	-	-						
Number of SLP projects with livelihood assets built, rehabilitated and/or protected						-	-								

Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/		Pi	hysical Targo	ets			Phy: Accompl					sessmer			
Performance Indicator	04	-00	-00	0.4	Tatal	04	•	1st	T-1-1	Variance		Variance	е	Reasons for Variance	Steering Measures
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	Semester	Total		Major	Minor	Full target Achieved		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(13)=(7)+(8)+( 10)+(11)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)
Strategic Focus 2: Improve well-being of Bene	ficiaries and 4	4Ps househole	ds through sti	rengthened s	ocial welfare s	ystem									
DRGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME 1: WELLBEING	OF POOR FA	MILIES IMPRO	OVED												
OUTCOME INDICATOR															
Continuing Fund Percentage of SLP Participants engaged in	During the S	Cooled Property	ion Stage, SLF	2 nortininanta											
1.6 microenterprise			track best suit		NO TARGET	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00%					
Total number of SLP participants are equipped and the state of the sta						_	-	_							
a. SLP Regular/Referrals						-	-	-	-						
Enhanced Partnership Against Hunger and															
b. Poverty (EPAHP)				1		-	-	-	-						
c. EO 70 Implementation						-	-	-	-						
d. Livelihood for Marawi IDPs						-	-	-	-						
Total number of households who received se								-	-						
1.7 Percentage of SLP participants employed			ion Stage, SLF track best suit		NO TARGET	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00%					
Total number of SLP participants equipped	to be employed	d				-	-	-	-						
a. SLP Regular/Referrals						-	-	-	-						
b. Enhanced Partnership Against Hunger and Poverty (EPAHP)						-	-	-	-						
c. EO 70 Implementation						-	-	-	-						
d. Livelihood for Marawi IDPs						-	-	-	-						
Total number of households who received e	mployment ass	sistance						-	-						
Number of SLP participants with  1.8 established or recovered enterprise, or are employed (LAG)			ion Stage, SLF track best suit		NO TARGET	1430	-	1430	1430	0.00%					
Microenterprise Development						1430		1430	1430						
Employment Facilitation						-		-	-						
Percentage of completed KC-NCDDP															
1.9 projects that have satisfactory or better		ivot applicable	e for FO-NCR.												
sustainability evaluation rating OUTPUT INDICATORS															
1.13 Number of household provided with program	n modalities														
Continuing Fund															
1.1.Total number of households who received															
seed capital fund and total number of households			450		450								#DIV/0!		
rained (Seed Capital Funds, Skills Training, and	-	-	450	-	450	-	-	-	-	-			#DIV/0!		
CBLA)															
a. SLP Regular/ Referrals	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
EO 70 Implementation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
b. Households/Former Rebels	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
Households in CVAs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
c. Enhanced Partnership Against Hunger and Poverty (EPAHP)			450		450	-	-	-	-						
d. Livelihood for Marawi IDPs	-	-	-	-		-	-	-							

Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/		Р	hysical Targe	ets				sical lishments				sessmer			
Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	1st Semester	Total	Variance		Variance		Reasons for Variance	Steering Measures
40									(13)=(7)+(8)+(		Major	Minor	Full target Achieved		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	10)+(11)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)
1.2. Total number of households who received Employment Assistance Fund					-	-	-	-	-	-			#DIV/0!		
a. SLP Regular/ Referrals					-	-		-							
Enhanced Partnership Against Hunger and Poverty (EPAHP)					-	-	-	-	-						
b. EO 70 Implementation					-	-	-	-	-						
Households/Former Rebels					-	-	-	-	-						
c. Households in CVAs					-	-	•	-	•						
d. Livelihood for Marawi IDPs					-	-	-	-	-						
Total number of participants provided with livelihood assistance grants (LAG)	1,430	-	458	-	1,888	1,430	0	1,430	1,430	,			0%	The reflected accomplishment during the 1st Quarter CY 2022 is charged under GAA 2021 which were not payed out on CY 2021. For the target for LAG under continuing fund FY 2022 is for implementation in 3rd Quarter CY 2022 since the beneficiaries will be based on the endorsement from NPMO-CO and they only provided the endorsement on May 27, 2022.	
Total number of participants who received complementary livelihood recovery services from partners by SLP LAG Implementation					-	-	1	-	1						
Number of SLP projects with livelihood assets built, rehabilitated and/or protected						-	-								

Control property   Control pro						OBLIGAT	ION							DISBURS	EMENT						
Personal Configuration of Configuration	Objective/ Program/ Sub-Program/	A !! - ! ! O!	Desilerat (OAA)		Amount			Perc	ent Utiliz	ation			Amount		1	Perc	ent Utiliza	tion		1	Recommedation/
Committed Representation   Committed Represent		Allotment Class	Budget (GAA)	Q1	Q2	Total	Q1				Total	Q1		Total	Q1				Total	- Issues/Concerns & Challenges	Remarks
Committed Representation   Committed Represent	POOR. VULNERABLE AND MARGINAL	IZED CITIZENS AF	RE EMPOWERED	AND WITH IMPRO	VED QUALITY C	F LIFE															
Control Parameter   Cont																					
Process   Proc	WELLBEING OF POOR FAMILIES IMPR	ROVED																			
TOTAL Laume-Barrel  44.66.651.477  17.10.12.27.00  47.10.10.10.10.10.10.10.10.10.10.10.10.10.			687,257,371.58	119,986,247.57	153,923,597.99	273,909,845.56	17.46%	22.40%	0.00%	0.00%	39.86%	92,093,835.44	145,722,958.61	237,816,794.05	33.62%	53.20%	0.00%	0.00%	86.82%		
Current Agengreisteries  (Prince 1979) (Prin																					
Post   Continuing Appropriate   Continuing A																					
Continuing Agregation   Pi   30,000,00   T, 17,715   March 19,774,214			446,498,541	89,920,443	107,099,531	197,019,973.83	20.14%	23.99%	0.00%	0.00%	44.13%	68,268,506	105,661,285	173,929,791.29	34.65%	53.63%	0.00%	0.00%	88.28%		
PS   500, PG																					
Continuing Appropriation   16,000, 201   1	CMF	D0	000 700 044	75 070 055	04 404 700	400 774 004 44	40.070/	04.400/	0.000/	0.000/	42.450/	00.550.400	400 000 050	400 047 050 04	00.000/	00.050/	0.000/	0.000/	00.450/	-	
Centinating Agronophistics		MOOE															0.00,0				
Regular CF   MOC   10,02 %   15,147,2250   1,477,1150   M4,677,00   2,321,594,100   2,399, 1,395   0,007   0,075   1,479,1150   7,000,2450   2,287,440,000   0,514, 2,230   0,075   0,	Continuing Appropriation	WICCE																		1	
Care   Mode   1,511,552   3,652,70   4,575,5429   15.075   3,057,50   3,057			10,032,334	1,515,654	3,002,703	4,570,542.57	13.0376	30.3376	0.00 /6	0.00 /6	43.02 /6	271,430	3,443,034	3,713,123.13	3.33 /6	13.23/6	0.0078	0.0076	01.1078		
Page											<del>                                     </del>										
Second Common Appropriation   Current Appropriation	OWI	MOOF	10 032 934	1 513 834	3 062 709	4.576.542.97	15.09%	30.53%	0.00%	0.00%	45.62%	271 490	3 443 634	3.715.123.13	5 93%	75 25%	0.00%	0.00%	81.18%		
TOTAL (General-Subscription Courter) 4, 476,779,100   44,477,791,500   44,	Regulart CCT	moor.	10,002,001	1,010,001	0,002,100	1,010,0101	10.0070	00.0070	0.0070	0.0070	10.02.70	271,100	0,110,001	0,110,120110	0.0070	70.2070	0.0070	0.0070			Second Quarter Payroll Coverage is for P1 2022 (February-March) which
Current Appropriation   \$144,772.500   \$1.775,719.100   \$4.9877.000   \$2.925,995.10000   \$2.925,995.1000   \$2.925,995.1000   \$2.925,995.1000   \$2.925,995.			5,164,722,950	1,476,719,150	844,877,000	2,321,596,150.00	28.59%	16.36%	0.00%	0.00%	44.95%	1,476,719,150	789,024,850	2,265,744,000.00	63.61%	33.99%	0.00%	0.00%	97.59%		
Modified Coff General Substitution (16 A 172 A 190 A 184 A 1			5,164,722,950	1,476,719,150	844,877,000	2,321,596,150.00	28.59%	16.36%	0.00%	0.00%	44.95%	1,476,719,150	789,024,850	2,265,744,000.00	63.61%	33.99%	0.00%	0.00%	97.59%		
Modified CIT     Modified CIT   Modified CIT   Modified CIT     Modified CIT   Modified CIT     Modified CIT   Modified CIT     Modified CIT   Modified C		Grants/Subsidies	5,164,722,950	1,476,719,150	844,877,000	2,321,596,150.00	28.59%	16.36%	0.00%	0.00%	44.95%	1,476,719,150	789,024,850	2,265,744,000.00	63.61%	33.99%	0.00%	0.00%	97.59%		
Continuing Appropriation   Sp. 194, 194, 194, 194, 194, 194, 194, 194,	Modified CCT																			MCCT.	claimed. This will reliect on the overall dilization on the 4th Quarter.
Current Appropriation   0.582,51-00   5,158,000   0.515,000   0.	TOTAL (Grants/Subsidies Only)				0								0								Complete re-enumeration of MCCT households in the Listahanan and/or
Sustainable Livelihood Program    147,856,104   0.538,400   0.588,400   0.598,400   0.00%   0.	Current Appropriation		, ,		0								0								
TOTAL (Lump-Sum) 177-88,014 26,518,667 Current Appropriation 147-88,014 22,673-67 Current Appropriation 157-87 Current A		Grants/Subsidies	69,693,140	9,138,400	0	9,138,400.00	13.11%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	13.11%	9,041,200	0	9,041,200.00	98.94%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	98.94%	,	guidance for the withheld grants.
Current Appropriation																					
DRF PS 13,720.00 2,398.31 2,096.724 5,519,654.51 16,88% 21,21% 0,00% 0,00% 38,44% 2,161.73 3,054.672 5,216,409.70 41,42% 55,52% 0,00% 0,00% 39,34% 0,00% 0,00% 0,00% 39,34% 0,00% 0,																					
PS			147,836,104	22,673,676	27,183,286	49,856,961.46	15.34%	18.39%	0.00%	0.00%	33.72%	20,608,453	25,320,731	45,929,183.97	41.34%	50.79%	0.00%	0.00%	92.12%		
MOSE   133.334,000   20.963.746   24.201.532   44.565.277.91   15.27%   1	DRF	D0	40.700.000	0.000.004	0.000.704	E 240 CE4 E4	40.040/	04.040/	0.000/	0.000/	20.040/	0.404.707	0.054.070	E 246 400 70	44 400/	FO FOO(	0.000/	0.000/	00.049/		
Continuing Appropriation  Continuing Appropr			,,			-, -,		, .	0.00,0	0.00,0				-, -,		00.0-70	0.00,0			on June 9, 2022 amounting to	
Continuing Appropriation Continuing Appropriation Continuing Appropriation Continuing Appropriation Continuing Appropriation Continuing Continuing Appropriation Continuing Continuing Appropriation Continuing C	CME	WOOL	133,334,000	20,363,743	24,201,332	44,303,277.19	13.2170	10.1376	0.0076	0.0076	33.42 /6	10,440,710	22,190,410	40,043,134.27	41.3970	49.0176	0.00%	0.00%	31.20%	PhP50,000,000.00 under OP funds still waiting	
Continuing Appropriation	Civil	MOOF	782 104	0	72 030	72 029 76	0.00%	9 21%	0.00%	0.00%	9 21%	0	69 640	69 640 00	0.00%	96 68%	0.00%	0.00%	96 68%		2022.
DRF	Continuing Appropriation			3.844.391								2.531.326									
MODE   7,037,866   3,626,97   3,410,895   7,037,865,90   51,54%   48,46%   0.00%   0.00%   0.00%   2,531,326   3,442,032   5,973,357.41   55,97%   48,91%   0.00%				-,,	,,	10,200,000						_,,,,,,,	,,	,,			0.0070			because of lack of guidance notes on how to	
CMF   19,912,044   217,220   12,031,315   12,248,735.88   1.09%   60.42%   0.00%   0.00%   61.51%   0   7,380,012.59   0.00%   60.25%   0.00%   60.25%   0.00%   60.25%   0.00%   60.25%   0.00%   60.25%   0.00%   60.25%   0.00%   60.25%   0.00%   60.25%   0.00%   60.25%   0.00%   60.25%   0.00%   60.25%   0.00%   0.00%   60.25%   0.00%   0.0		MOOE	7,037,866	3,626,971	3,410,895	7,037,865.90	51.54%	48.46%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	2,531,326	3,442,032	5,973,357.41	35.97%	48.91%	0.00%	0.00%	84.87%		
Microenterprise Development Track   TOTAL (Grants/Subsidies Only)   77,325,08   16,780,000   21,704,065   38,484,065.00   21,704,0	CMF																				
TOTAL (Grants/Subsidies Only)		MOOE	19,912,044	217,420	12,031,315	12,248,735.08	1.09%	60.42%	0.00%	0.00%	61.51%	0	7,380,013	7,380,012.59	0.00%	60.25%	0.00%	0.00%	60.25%	also affects the utilization of funds specifically to	
Current 6 3,664,000 16,780,000 21,704,065 38,484,065.00 26.36% 34.09% 0.00% 0.05% 16,765,000 18,200,565 34,965,565.00 43.56% 47.29% 0.00%																					
Grants/Subsidies   63,664,000   16,780,000   21,704,065   38,484,065.00   26.36%   34.09%   0.00%																					
Continuing   13,661,081   0   0.00%	Current																			The remaining balance under continuing fund is	
Grants/Subsidies   13,661,081   0   0   0.00%   0.00		Grants/Subsidies		16,780,000	21,704,065							16,765,000	18,200,565							requested for extension of obligation	
Employment Facilitation Track  TOTAL (Grants/Subsidies Only)  O 0 0 0 0.00 #DIV/0! #DI	Continuing	0		0	0							0	0							4	
TOTAL (Grants/Subsidies Only) 0 0 0 0.00 #DIV/0! #DIV/	Employment Facilitation Track	Grants/Subsidies	13,661,081	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
Current 0 0 0 0 0.00 #DIV/0! #				0		0.00	#DIV/0I	#DIV/01	#DI\//01	#DIV/01	#DIV/01		0	0.00	#DIV/01	#DIV/01	#DI\//01	#DIV/01	#DIV/01		
Grants/Subsidies   O   O   O   O   O   O   O   O   O			0	0	<u> </u>							0	0							Ħ	
Continuing 0 0 0 0 0.00 #DIV/0! #DIV/0	Current	Grants/Subsidies	0	0	0							0	0							Ħ	
Grants/Subsidies 0 0 0 0 0.00 #DIV/0!	Continuing	C. anilo/Ouboildies	0	n	0							0	n							đ	
EO 70 Implementation  TOTAL (Grants/Subsidies Only) 400,000 0 0 0.00% 0.	Simming	Grants/Subsidies	n	n	0							0	0							ī l	
TOTAL (Grants/Subsidies Only) 400,000 0 0 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0 0 0 0	EO 70 Implementation	M. 10, Cubbidios	Ů	Ü		0.00							Ŭ	0.00							
Current 400,000 0 0 0.00% 0.00			400,000	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	As of 1st Semester, there is no received	
Grants/Subsidies 400,000 0 0 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0 0 0 0			400,000	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
Continuing 0 0 0 0 0.00 #DIV/0!		Grants/Subsidies	400,000	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			funds
	Continuing		0	0	0							0	0								
Grants/Subsidies 0 0 0 0 0.00 #DIV/0!		Grants/Subsidies	0	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		

					OBLIGAT	TION							DISBURS	EMENT						
Objective/ Program/ Sub-Program/	Allotment Class	Budget (GAA)		Amount			Perc	ent Utiliza	ation			Amount			Perc	ent Utiliz	ation		Issues/Concerns & Challenges	Recommnedation/
Performance Indicator	Allottilett Class	Budget (GAA)	Q1	Q2	Total	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	Total	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	issues/concerns a chanenges	Remarks
Zero Hunger																				
TOTAL (Grants/Subsidies Only)		57,101,000	21,810,000	1,084,000	22,894,000.00	38.20%	1.90%	0.00%	0.00%	40.09%	21,810,000	1,084,000	22,894,000.00	95.27%	4.73%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	5	
Current		35,291,000	0	1,084,000	1,084,000.00	0.00%	3.07%	0.00%	0.00%	3.07%	0	1,084,000	1,084,000.00	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%		
	Grants/Subsidies	35,291,000	0	1,084,000	1,084,000.00	0.00%	3.07%	0.00%	0.00%	3.07%	0	1,084,000	1,084,000.00	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	5	
Expanded Project On EPAHP Resettlement Support (PERS)	Grants/Subsidies	5,340,000	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0	implementation of projects under the Zero	The concern was already raised to NPMO and still waiting for Guidance Note that is under review of partner agencies.
Partnership For Sustainable Living	Grants/Subsidies	3,435,400	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0	Hunger program except for Fire Victim Project and PSL project.	
Kabuhayan Integration, Business initiatives, And Gainful Access To Networks (KAIBIGAN)-Fire Victims Project	Grants/Subsidies	7,113,600	0	1,084,000	1,084,000.00	0.00%	15.24%	0.00%	0.00%	15.24%	0	1,084,000	1,084,000.00	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%		
Capacity Development For Farmers, People's Organizations And Households n Urban And Rural Communities Project	Grants/Subsidies	14,240,000	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0	!	
Urban Poor Project	Grants/Subsidies	5,162,000	0	0		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!				
Continuing		21,810,000	21,810,000	0	21,810,000.00		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		21,810,000	0	21,810,000.00					100.00%		
Accounts Payable	Grants/Subsidies	21,810,000	21,810,000	0	21,810,000.00	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	21,810,000	0	21,810,000.00	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	5	
Livelihood Assistance Grants																				
TOTAL (Lump-Sum)		50,000,000	0	0	0.00		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0	0		0.00%						
Current Appropriation		50,000,000	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	5	
DRF																				
CMF															1					
	Grants/Subsidies	50,000,000			0.00		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00							
Current Appropriation		0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	<u> </u>	
DRF																				
CMF																				
nhancement Partnership Against Hur	nger and Poverty -																			
TOTAL (Lump-Sum)		5,939,883	2,033,904	1,135,862	3,169,766.32			0.00%	0.00%		414,061	475,265	889,325.66		14.99%			28.06%		
Current Appropriation		3,599,201	1,352,536	30,165	1,382,701.11	37.58%	0.84%	0.00%	0.00%	38.42%	331,943	168,010	499,953.05	24.01%	12.15%	0.00%	0.00%	36.16%	4	
DRF									<u> </u>										4	
CMF		0.000.57	4.050.5		4 000 701 11	07.50	0.046	0.00	0.05	00.4007		100 5 : -	400.050.05	04.07	10.150	0.00**	0.00**	00.4601	4	
0	MOOE	3,599,201	1,352,536 <b>681.368</b>	30,165	1,382,701.11		0.84%	0.00%	0.00%		331,943	168,010 <b>307.255</b>	499,953.05					36.16%	4	
Current Appropriation		2,340,682	681,368	1,105,697	1,787,065.21	29.11%	47.24%	0.00%	0.00%	76.35%	82,117	307,255	389,372.61	4.60%	17.19%	0.00%	0.00%	21.79%	4	
DRF									-					ļ	1				4	
CMF	14005	0.040.000	004.000	4 405 000	4 707 007 01	00.4401	47.0401	0.0001	0.0001	70.0501	00	007.0==	000 070 01	4.0001	47.4001	0.0001	0.0007	04.7607	4	
	MOOE	2,340,682	681,368	1,105,697	1,787,065.21	29.11%	47.24%	0.00%	0.00%	76.35%	82,117	307,255	389,372.61	4.60%	17.19%	0.00%	0.00%	21.79%		

Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/		P	hysical Targ	gets						PI	hysical Acc	omplishment							٨٥٥	sessmen	t of		
Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total		Q1			Q2			st Semeste			Total		Variance		Variance		Reasons for Variance	Steering Measures
						M	F	T	М	F	Т	M	F	Т	M	F	T				Eul tarnet		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	!-!!/	(7)			(8)			(9)				(13)	(14)=(13)-(6)	Major	Minor	Achieved	(13)	(19)
Strategic Focus 2: Improve well-being of I ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME 2: RIGHTS																							
RESIDENTIAL AND NON-RESIDENTIAL CA			HE VOLNER	ABLE SEC	I OKS FROM	I ED AND I	I																
OUTCOME INDICATOR	THE GOD I	TOOKAII.																					
Percentage of clients in residential																							
1 and non-residential care facilities	7.11%	12.28%	17.55%	21.13%	21.13%	13.65%	16.35%	15.20%	15.10%	10.25%	12.36%	32.91%	13.77%	21.81%	22.33%	22.42%	22.38%	9.53%	78%				
rehabilitated																							
No. of Clients Rehabilitated	130						189	305	137		258	313	181	494	211		502	216					
Residential Care Facilities	117	238	3 445	655	655	109	187	296	118	85	203	287	143	430	185	253	438	192					
RSCC	10	20	) 30	40	40	34	15	49	25	15	40	17	3	20	17	, 3	20	0			0%	A total of 20 children reached the Level 3 rehabilitation indicator as they were discharged in the center (transferred to other C/RCF and reintegrated and placed under Foster Care) while other children were rehabilitated due to the provision of programs and interventions for their total growth and development.	
Haven for Children	9	18	3 27	36	36	9	0	9	11	0	11	20	0	20	20	0	20	2		11%		The reabbilitated cases are a product of concerted efforts of the Multi-disciplinary team members who works together to provide the basic services of every child inside the facility with observance to the four emerging rights of the child: Survival, Protection, Participation and Development. The center has to sustain provision of various therapeutic and rehabilitative services to every resident towards rehabilitation of their social functioning.	disciplinary approach. The continuous provision of case management practices utilizing the Modified Social Stress Model (MSSM), counselling and group work session, morning meeting, outdoor activities like Angola
Nayon ng Kabataan	5	10	3 25	39	39	2	3	5	4	2	6	6	5	11	6	5 5	11	-2		-15%			
Haven for Women	10	20	) 30	40	40	0	22	22	0	13	13	0	35	35	C	35	35	15	75%			Some residents are not yet reintegrated to families because of the ongoing court cases and no approval from court for the reintegration of client to family.	Coordination with the LSWDO for the conduct of virtual case conference to facilitate reintegration of clients to their families. Court coordination for the request for reintegration of client to family.
Marillac Hills	15	30	) 45	60	60	0	20	20	0	30	30	0	50	50	O	50	50	20	67%			The limited number of cases has great impact in the rehabilitation of residents since Rehab team members could focus and closely monitor the implementation of the intervention plans prepared with them.	Conduct of Regular Rehabilitation Team Meetings helps to facilitate the rehabilitation of clients. Intervention plans were delivered as per timeline.

	Starta and Baranan Carlo Baranan I			Physi	ical Targ	jets						Р	hysical Acc	omplishm	ents								
	Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/ Performance Indicator	Q1		Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	М	Q1 F	Т	М	Q2 F	Т	М	1st Semest	er T	М	Total	Т	Variance	Assessment Variance		Steering Measures
	(1)	(2)		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	М	(7)	l l	M	(8)		M	(9)		M	F	(13)	(14)=(13)-(6	Major Minor	Full target	(19)
	Elsie Gaches Village	8	8	16	24			4		5 9	4	6	10		8 11	19	8	19			19%	Exceeded the target for the 2nd Quarter due to the different therapeutic interventions being	Continuous provision of different therapeutic activities that could
	Sanctuary Center	:	2	4	7	10	10	0	10:	2 102	0	0	C	) 10	2 (	102	0	102	2 102	98	3 2450%	Sustaining the number of residents promotes efficiency and effectiveness of service. The request for moratorium of admission helped in limiting number of clients being served.	
	Jose Fabella Center	52	2	105	239	373	373	19	,	9 28	43	16	59	6	2 25	87	62	25	5 87	-18	-17%	The Center has to work harder for the succeeding quarters to meet the physical target for rehabilitated cases this year. There is a need for more admissions of cases and more family reintegration and job placement like what he had in the first quarter to produce a higher number of rehabilitated cases in the succeeding quarters.	regular basis; 2. Implementation of a multidisciplinary approach with the goal of identifying and addressing residents' needs as well as improving residents' social functioning, which will
	GRACES	2	2	4	6	9	9	15	1	1 26	0	3	3	3 1	5 14	29	15	14	1 29	25	5 625%	Clients who were rehabilitated regardless of the level were monitored by the Allied Services and ensuring the programs and services are properly implemented.	Continuous communication and
	IACAT TIP Center	4	4	8	12	16	16	26	(	26	31	0	31		.7 (	57	57	. (	57	49	613%	Large number of cases are offloaded refer by IACAT-NAIA.	Continues partnership LEA's and LGU's for referals.
П	Non-Residential Care Facilities	13	3	40	76	120	120	7		2 9	19	36	55	1	6 38	64	26	38	64	24			
	RSW		1	3	5	7	7	2	1	) 2	1	2	3	3	3 2	5	3	2	2 5	:	2 67%		Partnership with stakeholders for the job opportunity of clients which is currently working out by the Center. Identified clients are currently undergoing training for possible official employment.
	NVRC	-	7	9	11	13	13	5	:	2 7	17	7	24	. 2	2 9	31	22		31	23	2 244%	The 5% subscribed percentage for rehabilitated clients of NVRC is very low considering that there are 128 carryover cases that are scheduled to be rehabilitated this year.	Percentage of rehabilitated clients for NVRC can be increased from 5% to 10%.

21 12 12 1		Pl	nysical Tar	gets						Ph	ysical Acc	omplishmen	ts										
Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/ Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total		Q1	1		Q2			st Semeste			Total		Variance		sessmer Variance		Reasons for Variance	Steering Measures
			-			М	F	T	M	F	T	М	F (0)	T	M	F	T	(1.0) (1.0)			Full tarner	((2)	(42)
(1) INA Healing Center	5	(3)	(4)	) 100	100	0	0	0	1	27	28	1	(9)	28	1	27	(13)	(14)=(13)-(6)	Negor	Minor	Achieved 0%	The center achieved the target number of rehabilitated clients for this quarter. This due to the conduct of Home Visits and Kamustahan with the Bereaved Clients where their level of grief recovery are assessed through the Rehabilitation Indicators Index Tool and they are being provided an avenue to also express how far they've gone with regards to their grief given all the grief interventions provided by the center.	Sustain the practice.
OUTPUT INDICATORS:	4 020	2.264	2,968	2 667	3,667	950	1 150	2,006	007	4 400	2.007	054	4 244	2.265	045	1,298	2,243	1					
Number of Clients Served Residential Care Facilities	1,829 1,452	2,264 1,798						1,627	907 770	1,180 871	2,087 1,641	951 804	1,314 992	2,265 1,796	945 804	1,298 992	1,796						
Residential Care Facilities  RSCC	<b>1,452</b>	70				34	18	52	28	16	1,641		18	54	36	18	54					Low number of referrals for the 1st Semester CY 2022. Only two (2) cases were referred for admission. The center also has one (1) readmission which was transferred to another CCA in May and was readmitted on June 21, 2022. Hence, in the caseload inventory, there is only 53 children registered.	Sent a letter to all LGUs informing on the possible transfer of childrer and staff to Haven for Women in lieu of its demolition due to unsafe buildings per assessment of QC Engineering Office.
Haven for Children	68	86	104	121	121	76	0	76	78	o	78	78	0	78	78	0	78	-8		-12%		Only a total of two (2) admissions from the City Social Welfare and Development Office of Las Piñas City. The Social Workers continuosly coordinated with different DSWD offices in the National Capital Region and the nearby province of CALABARZON through circulation of letter that the Haven for Children is open for admission.	Closed coordination with Municipalities/City Social Welfare and Development of Metropolitan Manila that has a massive number of street children who needs an intensive case management along their physical, emotional, psychological and social fucntioning. Closed coordination with differer DSWD offices within National Capital Region and the nearby province of CALABARZON that Haven for Child facility is open for admission that fall under the category of the center.
Nayon ng Kabataan	60	80	110	128	128	41	19	60	40	19	59	48	21	69	48	21	69	-11		-18%	•	Low referral from LGU partners and partner NGOs.	Close coordination with different CSWDO and NGO to increase the number of admission in the center.
Haven for Women	62	80	98	115	115	5	60	65	6	59	65	7	69	76	7	69	76	-4		-6%			Ensure safety measure in admission such as requiring for swab test and 14 day quarantine of newly admited clients in the isolation room.

Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/		F	hysical Ta	rgets							sical Acco	omplishmen							٨٥	sessment	of		
Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	м	Q1 F		M	Q2 F		M 1	st Semeste	er T	М	Total F	т	Variance		Variance	01	Reasons for Variance	Steering Measures
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	IVI	(7)		IAI	(8)		IVI	(9)		IAI	F	(13)	(14)=(13)-(6)	Major	Minor	Full target Achieved	(13)	(19)
Marillac Hills	123	14	6 16	9 191	191	1	122	123	0	123	123	1	137	138	1	137	138	-8	3	-7%		Since the start of the pandemic the center could hardly meet the target clients to be served for more than 2 years now. This is because of the limited rescue operation of Law enforcement agencies and referrals from LGUs. The center is dependent to outside referrals in the accomplishment of the physical target.	Pre-admission conferences were being conducted on a regular basis to discuss the cases being referred by partners. No referrals were refused as long as it falls under the clientelle category of the center.
Elsie Gaches Village	636	64	9 66	3 677	677	348	282	630	355	286	641	355	287	642	355	287	642	-5	,	-1%		The target for this quarter was not achieved due to the pending referrals from LGUs, NGOs and other resdiential care facilities because of some lacking documents needed and health problems of the residents being referred.	Continuous partnership with LGU's NGO's and other resdiential care facility of DSWD Admission is still ongoing.
Sanctuary Center	221	22	6 23	1 237	237	0	215	215	0	202	202	0	215	215	0	215	215	-11		-5%		No admission from January 4, 2022 to February 6, 2022 due to a total of 78 residents and 29 staff tested positive for COVID-19. Mandaluyong CESU recommended to lessen the number of residents inside the dormitory. Thus, requested for Moratorium of admission was submitted until June 30, 2022 to facilite trasnfer, discharge of residents.	Referring offices are on hold pengding lifting of Moratorium
Jose Fabella Center	175	35	0 79	6 1243	1,243	153	59	212	180	45	225	192	83	275	192	83	275	-78	5 -43%	,			To strengthen the JFC's information dissemination activities with the goal of increasing the number of referrals for admission and to address the limitations of the center brought about by the COVID-19 Pandemic, e.g., the RT-PCR requirement for admission of referred clients.
GRACES	40	8	1 12	2 163	163	58	90	148	62	91	153	62	91	153	62	91	153	72	180%	i.		There are pending admission who were already subjected to Pre- Admission Conference awating for the compliance based on the recommendation of the GRACES management.	Closely coordinate the concern referring party to ensure the admission of clients on time.
IACAT TIP Center	15	3		5 54		4	42	46	21	30	51	25	71		25		96		6 440%				Coordinate to other Center facilities for referrals for minor cases in need of psychosocial intervention/ further Case Management and Families for Adult Victim Surviors for reintegration.
Non-Residential Care Facilities	377	46	6 54	0 635	635	130	249	379	137	309	446	147	322	469	141	306	447	3					
RSW	96	11	0 12	0 133	133	53	43	96	57	45	102	58	45	103	58	45	103	-7	,	-7%		Other target admission for clients did not engaged to the Center anymore due to absorption to outside.  Additional client targeted will be facilitated in the succeeding quarter of the year.	Coordinate with partner stakeholders for interested PWD clients who are seeking skills enhancement or development as alternate for the limited sheltered work in the center.

Ctanta and Baseman / Costa Baseman /		Pi	hysical Targ	jets						Př	ysical Acc	omplishmer	nts										
Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/ Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total		Q1			Q2			1st Semeste			Total		Variance		sessmen Variance		Reasons for Variance	Steering Measures
						М	F	T	M	F	T	М	F	T	М	F	T				Full target		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		(7)			(8)			(9)				(13)	(14)=(13)-(6)	Major	Minor	Achieved	(13)	(19)
NVRC	140	180	) 220	259	259	68	74	142	66	84	150	74	90	164	68	8 74	142	-16		-11%		The center is institutionalizing the quarterly enrolment system based on RMO 002 series of 2022.	The remaining 95 or 36.67% clients to be served can still be acheved in the 3rd and 4th quarter applying the quarterly enrolment system.
INA Healing Center	141	176	3 200	243	243	9	132	141	14	180	194	15	187	202	18	5 187	202	26		18%		The center able to hit the target number of clients served for this quarter. The intensified coordination of the center with the LGUs lead to this achievement which the center admitted numbers of new bereaved clients for this quarter.	Conduct massive advocacy activities in the community.  Sustain the practice of continuous coordination and collaboration with the LGUs.
ALOS of clients in residential facilities																							
Admission Based																							
RSCC			<u> </u>				0.00 189.54			1,714.00 2,981.50			4,776.00 388.96			4,776.00 388.96			1	1	-	<del> </del>	<del> </del>
Haven for Children Nayon ng Kabataan			<u> </u>				452.00			520.00			484.00			484.00			<del>                                     </del>	1	<del>                                     </del>	1	1
Haven for Women							201.60			354.50			255.84			255.84							
Marillac Hills							69,700.00			67,000.00			68,350.00			68,350.00							
Elsie Gaches Village							8,016.86			4,766.08			5,963.74			5,963.74							
Sanctuary Center							9,501.00			0.00			4,750.50			4,750.50							
Jose Fabella Center							297.00			238.00			264.00			264.00				1			
GRACES							1,534.00 46.00			2,437.00 49.00			943.00 47.50			943.00 47.50				1			
RSW IACAT TIP Center			1				513.00			31.00			544.00			544.00				1		+	+
NVRC							451.21			253.81			705.02			705.02							
INA Healing Center							0.00			61.00			61.00			61.00							
Discharged Based																							
RSCC							2,362.00			1,097.00			454.86			454.86							
Haven for Children							1,245.40			1,293.87			1,281.75			1,281.75							
Nayon ng Kabataan							1,183.00 386.70			1,216.00 207.90			1,198.00 255.88			1,198.00 255.88				-			
Haven for Women Marillac Hills							944.00			875.00			910.00			910.00				-	<u> </u>		
Elsie Gaches Village			1				8.204.00			6.597.00			8.828.57			8.828.57				1			
Sanctuary Center							125.00			1,217.00			1,017.00			1,017.00							
Jose Fabella Center							35.00			336.00			198.00			198.00							
GRACES							942.00			1,925.00			153.00			153.00							
IACAT TIP Center							26.00			31.00			28.50			28.50			ļ	ļ			
RSW			<u> </u>				1,571.00 160.90			99.00 145.12			1,670.00 306.02			1,670.00 306.02			<b>!</b>	<del> </del>	<del>                                     </del>		
NVRC INA Healing Center			<b>!</b>				8.00			5.00			13.00			13.00			<del>                                     </del>	1	<del>                                     </del>		
Percentage of facilities with standard																							
client-staff ratio							25.00%			33.33%			33.33%			33.33%							
Number of Facilities with Standard Client- Social Worker Ratio							3			4			4			4							
FONCR ( 12 facilities)																						MH: Under ratio is noted on the part of SW's handling all the category of	MH: No referrals were refused a long as it is under the clientele
RSCC					227		19:1 COMPLIANT			15:1 COMPLIANT			15:1 COMPLIANT			15:1 COMPLIANT						cases of the center. The low admission of clients affect to reach	and category of the center and with no serious pyschological
Haven for Children					20:1	NO	16:1 OT COMPLIA	NT	NC	16:1 T COMPLIAI	NT	NO	16:1 OT COMPLIA	NT	N	16:1 OT COMPLIA	NT					the standard number of cases to be managed by each Social Worker.	and medical conditions.
Nayon ng Kabataan					20:1		9:1 COMPLIANT			9:1 COMPLIANT			9:1 COMPLIANT			9:1 COMPLIANT						RSCC: The center assigned one (1)	IHC: To fast track the hiring of Social Welfare Officer II and
Haven for Women					151	NO	13:1 OT COMPLIA	NT	NC	13:1 T COMPLIA	NT		13:1 OT COMPLIA	NT	N	13:1 OT COMPLIA	NT					Social Worker to handle children under Foster Care which should also	Psychologist I for the center has only two (2) Social Workers
Marillac Hills					153		13:1 (CICL) 16:1 (SE/SA) OT COMPLIA	NT		13:1 (CICL) 18:1 (SE/SA) T COMPLIA	NT		13:1 (CICL) 17:1 (SE/SA) DT COMPLIA	NT	N	13:1 (CICL) 17:1 (SE/SA) OT COMPLIA	NT					be counted as part of the case management.	handling all the programs and activities of the center.
Elsie Gaches Village					250	NO	53:1 OT COMPLIA	NT	NC	56:1 T COMPLIA	NT	NO	56:1 OT COMPLIA	NT		56:1 OT COMPLIA						IHC: The Center has only two (2)	

Contract Programmed Cort. Programmed		P	hysical Targ	gets						Pi	nysical Acco	omplishment	ts								6		
Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/ Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total		Q1			Q2			st Semeste	r		Total	•	Variance		sessmen Variance		Reasons for Variance	Steering Measures
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	M	(7)	Т	M	(8)	Т	М	(9)	Т	M	F	(13)	(14)=(13)-(6)	Major	Minor	Full target	(13)	(19)
Sanctuary Center	(2)	(3)	(*)	(5)	(6)	NC	54:1 T COMPLIA	NIT	NC	54:1 OT COMPLIA	NIT	NOT	54:1 T COMPLIA	NIT	NC	54:1 OT COMPLIA		(14)=(13)*(0)	major	HEIGH	Achieved	Social Workers handling all the	SC: For the Field Office through the HRPPMS to fast track the
Jose Fabella Center					2501		17:1 COMPLIANT			18:1 COMPLIANT			20:1 COMPLIANT			20:1 COMPLIAN						programs and activities of the center and they are augmenting the tasks	hiring and recuritment process of
GRACES					251		40:1 T COMPLIA			66:1 OT COMPLIA			66:1 T COMPLIA			66:1 T COMPLIA						left by the other Social Welfare Office II and Psychologist I. The two (2)	positions for the Center. To date, all positions were posted
IACAT TIP Center							23:1 T COMPLIA			16:1 OT COMPLIA			16:1 T COMPLIA			16:1						Social Workers will work hard to admit new clients to hit the standard	for publication.
RSW					100001		31:1 T COMPLIA			33:1 OT COMPLIA			33:1 T COMPLIA			33:1 OT COMPLIA						client-social worker ratio.	IHC: Conduct of assessment
NVRC					100c1		28:1 T COMPLIA			30:1 OT COMPLIA			32:1 T COMPLIA			32:1 OT COMPLIA						-	through home visit given that the center already have the list of potential clients for admission.
INA Healing Center					fiction		70:1 T COMPLIA			101:1 COMPLIANT			101:1 COMPLIANT			101:1 COMPLIAN						-	Strengthen partnership and
Number of Facilities with Standard Client-						NC.	3	NI		3		C	3			3							coordination with partners and
Houseparent Ratio FONCR							3									<u> </u>						MH: There is always over ratio on the	MH: Regular submission of
( 9 facilities)																						part of Houseparents handling CICL	progress report to court is being
RSCC					991 1001 1001	1 15:1	5:1 (Infant) 0:1 (Toddler (Older child COMPLIANT	ren)	15:1	5:1 (Infant) 9:1 (Toddler) I (Older child COMPLIANT	ren)	9 15:1	5:1 (Infant) 9:1 (Toddler) (Older child COMPLIANT		15:1	5:1 (Infant) 9:1 (Toddler (Older child COMPLIAN	) dren)					cases because mostly of referrals received by the center are CICL. There is under ratio on those handling SE and SA cases due to limted	discharge once completed the
Haven for Children					15:1	NC	3:1 T COMPLIA	NT	NC	3:1 OT COMPLIA	NT	NOT	3:1 T COMPLIA	NT	NC	3:1 OT COMPLIA	NT					rescue operation by the operatives.	rehabilitation. On the case of SA and SE, attendance to court
Nayon ng Kabataan					1531		8:1 COMPLIANT			8:1 COMPLIANT		С	8:1 COMPLIANT			8:1 COMPLIAN	г					RSCC: The center assigned some of the HPs to act as modular teachers	hearings to complete testimony is ensured for this is one of the
Haven for Women					2021	NC	5:1 T COMPLIA	NT	NC	5:1 OT COMPLIAI	NT	NOT	5:1 T COMPLIA	NT	NC	5:1 T COMPLIA	NT					and as SAP liquidation team which were issued with RSO.	factors being considered for their discharge.
Marillac Hills					20:4	17:1	25:1 (CICL) (SE) / 22:1 T COMPLIA		17:1	26:1 (CICL) (SE) / 19:1 ( OT COMPLIA		17:1 (	26:1 (CICL) (SE) / 21:1   T COMPLIA		17:1	26:1 (CICL) (SE) / 21:1 OT COMPLIA	(SA)					GRACES: There are MOA and JO Staff who were newly promoted to	Ensured efficient delivery of programs and services.
Elsie Gaches Village					1551		56:1 T COMPLIA			56:1 OT COMPLIAI			56:1 T COMPLIA			56:1 T COMPLIA						contractual postion. Thus, there are vacant postions in the Center for	For the Field Office through the
Sanctuary Center					1551	NC	60:1 T COMPLIA	NT	NC	60:1 OT COMPLIAI	NT	NOT	60:1 T COMPLIA	NT	NC	60:1 T COMPLIA	NT					posting in the Field Office.	HRPPMS to fast track the hiring and recuritment process of the
Jose Fabella Center					8389		11:1 COMPLIANT			19:1 COMPLIANT		С	22:1 COMPLIANT			22:1 COMPLIAN							
GRACES					1001	14	1 (Ambulato :1 (Bedridde T COMPLIA	n)	15	:1 (Ambulator 5:1 (Bedridder OT COMPLIA	n)	14:	1 (Ambulato :1 (Bedridde T COMPLIA	n)	15	:1 (Ambulate 5:1 (Bedridde T COMPLIA	en)						
IACAT TIP Center							N/A			N/A			N/A										
RSW							N/A N/A			N/A N/A			N/A N/A			N/A N/A						4	
NVRC INA Healing Center							N/A N/A			N/A N/A			N/A N/A			N/A N/A						-	
Supplementary Feeding Sub-Program							IN/A			IN/A			IN/A			IN/A							
Outcome Indicators																							
Percentage of malnourished children in CDCs and SNPs with improved nutritional status	80.00%	80.00%	80.00%	80.00%	80.00%	21.85%	20.63%	21.25%	27.01%	25.32%	26.17%	48.86%	45.95%	47.42%	48.86%	45.95%	47.42%	-32.58%	59%				
Number of Malnourished Children before						5,336	5,230	10,566	5,336	5,230	10,566	5,336	5,230	10,566	5,336	5,230	10.566						
feeding sessions  Number of Malnourished Children with														•	-		-,						
8 improved nutritional status (After feeding session)	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	1,166	1,079	2,245	1,441	1,324	2,765	2,607	2,403	5,010	2,607	2,403	5,010						

		Ph	vsical Targ	ets						Pl	vsical Acco	omplishmen	ts										
Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/							Q1			Q2	,		st Semeste	r		Total		Variance		sessmer Variance		Reasons for Variance	Steering Measures
Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	M	F	Т	М	F	Т	M	F	Т	М	F	Т			variance	е		_
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		(7)			(8)			(9)				(13)	(14)=(13)-(6)	Major	Minor	Full target Achieved	(13)	(19)
a. Severely underweight to Underweight	20.00%	20.00%	20.00%	20.00%	20.00%	207	200	407	370	325	695	577	525	1,102	577	525	1,102					Data shows that for the improved	
b. Underweight to Normal	80.00%	80.00%	80.00%	80.00%	80.00%	959	879	1,838	1,071	999	2,070	2,030	1,878	3,908	2,030	1,878	3,908					nutritional status of children beneficiaries mostly are in normal status.	
c. Overweight to Normal	NO TARGET	NO TARGET	NO TARGET	NO TARGET	NO TARGET	1,136	939	2,075	-	-	-	1,136	939	2,075	1,136	939	2,075					status.	
Percentage of children in CDCs and 9 SNPs with sustained normal nutritional status (over total children served)	80.00%	80.00%	80.00%	80.00%	80.00%	84.11%	83.65%	83.88%	108%	108%	108%	95.98%	95.60%	95.79%	95.98%	95.60%	95.79%	15.79%		20%	5		
a. Number of children in CDCs and SNPs with normal nutritional status (Upon weigh-in, before feeding)	118,155	,	108,491	-	226,646	57,888	60,267	118,155	57,888	60,267	118,155	115,776	120,534	236,310	115,776	120,534	236,310					Note: Out of 118,525 target children beneficiaries, the largest number are in normal status.	
b. Number of children in CDCs and SNPs with sustained normal nutritional status (After feeding)	94,524	-	108,491	-	203,015	48,689	50,416	99,105	62,433	64,816	127,249	111,122	115,232	226,354	111,122	115,232	226,354						
Output Indicators																							
Number of children in CDCs and SNPs provided with supplementary feeding	118,525	,	108,491	-	217,016	65,325	67,355	132,680	65,348	67,332	132,680	65,348	67,332	132,680	65,348	67,332	132,680	14,155		12%		All LGUs in NCR were provided with hot meals. A total of 16 LGUs already completed 120 feeding days while one (1) LGU is still ongoing feeding.	Note: The milk feeding for 11th Cycle as per National Dairy Authority (NDA) BAC, only three (3) LGUs will commence the implementation by mid of July 2022 while the remaining 14
a. 11th Cycle	118,525	-	-	-	108,525	65,325	67,355	132,680	65,348	67,332	132,680	65,348	67,332	132,680	65,348	67,332	132,680	24,155					LGUs was reposted due to failed bidding.
b. 12th Cycle	-	-	108,491	-	108,491	-				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				Implementation for the 12th cycle will	, bridger and a
b.1. 4th, 5th and 6th municipalities						-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-			1	be conducted on the 2nd semeseter CY 2022 since the Region is still on	
b.2. Areas under PPAN						-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				-				the procurement process.	
Social Welfare for Senior Citizens Sub	-Program																						
Outcome Indicator																							
Percentage of beneficiaries using social pension to augment daily living subsistence and medical needs																							
Number of beneficiaries using social 12 pension to augment daily living subsistence and medical needs																							

Strate and Brown of Sort Brown of		Ph	nysical Targ	gets						P	hysical Acc	omplishmer	nts										
Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/ Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total		Q1	_		Q2			1st Semeste			Total	_	Variance		sessmei Varianc		Reasons for Variance	Steering Measures
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	M	(7)	Т	М	(8)	Т	М	(9)	Т	M	F	T (13)	(14)=(13)-(6)	Major	Minor	Full target	(13)	(19)
Output Indicators	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(0)		(1)			(0)			(3)				(13)	(14)=(13)*(0)	major	1111.03	Achieved	(13)	(15)
Number of senior citizens who received social pension within the quarter	220,485	220,485	220,485	220,485	220,485			129,451			115,479			115,479			115,479	-105,006		-48%	6	1.Massive validation is being conducted to 17 LGUs in NCR to cater all the variances of the region and to include all qualified applicants of the region to the program, considering that for the last two (2) years, SPPMO could not conduct massive validation due to health restriction brought by the COVID-19 Pandemic;  2. Lack of available laptop to encode the validation forms.this affects the timeline of the sppme in processing of the data foe elgibility check; and  3. Late downloading of data from Central Office  4. Majority of the LGUs with huge number of beneficiaries opted to conduct the payout after election  5. SPPMO cannot immediately process the big amount of cash advances considering that the Region  6. Some Social Pension Beneficiaries during the conduct of pay-out transferred/changed their residence without prior notice to the OSCA, CSWDO and DSWD Field Office, hence claiming their SocPen stipend is not feasible because their whereabouts is unknown despite exerted effort of the FO, OSCA, CSWD, and Barangay Staff to located the beneficiaries.	Consolidate all the data of validated eligibilbe applicants     SPPMO to simultaneously encode and conduct homevisitation and validation     SPPMO is currently preparing all documentary requirements for cash advances for 1st Quater and 2nd Quarter 2022 implementation of the program Note: Sex disagregation of the social pensioners will be available once payout is finished.
Number of centenarians provided with cash gift  Protective Program for Individuals, Fa	40	46	24		120	6	34	40	5	10	15	11	44	55	11	44	55	-31	-36%			Delayed submission of documentary requirements of centenarians/surviving relatives necessary for the process / release of cash gift since some of the relatives were already living in the province and abroad.      Died prior the awarding of cash gift	SPPMO assisted centenarian and surviving relatives by referering and endorsing them to concerned agencies that would help facilitate the completion of documents necessary for the release of cash gift.  2 Provision of continous Technical Assistance to LGU regarding centenarian Program and documentary requirements.  3. Focal person assigned were also reminded that complete documentary requirements upor validation/ visitation were neede to fast track the process of cash gift.

		Ph	ysical Targ	ets						Ph	ysical Acco	mplishment	ts										
Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/ Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total		Q1			Q2		19	st Semeste	r		Total		Variance		sessmer Varianc		Reasons for Variance	Steering Measures
Performance indicator	Q1	Q2	Ų3	Q4	i otai	М	F	Т	M	F	Т	M	F	Т	М	F	Т			variance	е		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		(7)			(8)			(9)				(13)	(14)=(13)-(6)	Major	Mnor	Full target Achieved	(13)	(19)
Outcome Indicator																							
16 AICS-Crisis Intervention Section (CIS)																							
Percentage of clients who rated																							
protective services provided as					95.00%	98.78%	93.29%	97.07%	87.10%	96.26%	93.96%	94.34%	95.67%	95.12%	94.34%	95.67%	95.12%	0.12%	,	0.12%	5	Note: The ARTU approved atleast	Sustain various mechanisms in
satisfactory or better																						10% of all the client served within the	administering the survey: Pen
Total number of clients who gave						657	298	955	403	1,202	1,605	1,060	1,500	2,560	1,060	1,500	2,560					period are provided with Client	and Paper, Technical Assistance
feedback in the client satisfaction form										-,	.,	1,000	.,	_,,	.,	.,	_,					Satisfaction Survey.	from Mamamayan Muna Desk
Total number of clients who rated						649	278	927	351	1,157	1,508	1,000	1,435	2,435	1,000	1,435	2,435						Team, Use of android tablets in
satisfactory or better															-						1	Random Sampling was conducted by	accomplishing the online survey
Number of clients who rated very						539	231	770	296	995	1,291	835	1,226	2,061	835	1,226	2,061					FO-NCR CIS to the clients in administering the client satisfaction	and Use of Client Survey Box to consolidate the Clients'
satisfactory																					1	survey.	responses.
Number of clients who rated satisfactory						110	47	157	55	162	217	165	209	374	165	209	374					survey.	responses.
6 AICS-Crisis Intervention Section (CIS-C	OS)																						
Percentage of clients who rated	•				İ							i							1	1	1		
protective services provided as					95.00%	95.98%	96.96%	96.48%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	99.89%	99.87%	99.88%	99.89%	99.87%	99.88%	4.88%	,	5.14%	5		
satisfactory or better																							
Total number of clients who gave						070	395	700	13,242	9.131	22,373	13,615	9.526	23.141	13.615	9,526	23,141						
feedback in the client satisfaction form						373	395	768	13,242	9,131	22,373	13,615	9,526	23,141	13,615	9,526	23,141						
Total number of clients who rated						358	383	741	13,242	9,131	22,373	13,600	9,514	23,114	13,600	9,514	23,114					7	
satisfactory or better						336	303	741	13,242	9,131	22,373	13,600	9,514	23,114	13,600	9,514	23,114						
Number of clients who rated very						223	268	491	13,235	9,128	22,363	13,458	9.396	22,854	13,458	9.396	22.854						
satisfactory						223	200	491	13,233	9,120	22,303	13,436	9,390	22,054	13,436	9,390	22,004					╡	
Number of clients who rated satisfactory						135	115	250	7	3	10	142	118	260	142	118	260						
17 Minors Travelling Abroad																							
Percentage of clients who rated																							
protective services provided as					100%	99.19%	98.93%	99.00%	98.04%	98.93%	98.68%	98.42%	98.93%	98.79%	98.42%	98.93%	98.79%	-1.21%	,	-1.21%	5		
satisfactory or better																						Decree dente evelvete date	
Total number of clients who gave						124	375	499	255	656	911	379	1,031	1,410	379	1,031	1,410					Respondents evaluated the performance of service through the	
feedback in the client satisfaction form						124	3/5	499	255	050	911	3/9	1,031	1,410	3/9	1,031	1,410					traditional pen-and-paper. Few of	Expansion of the screening area
Total number of clients who rated						123	371	494	250	649	899	373	1,020	1,393	373	1,020	1,393					them rated neither satisfied nor	to accommodate increasing
satisfactory or better						123	371	434	230	049	033	373	1,020	1,595	373	1,020	1,555					dissatisfied in terms of access and	applicants.
Number of clients whho rated very						114	344	458	232	594	826	346	938	1,284	346	938	1.284					facilities of the department.	
satisfactory							0	.00	202	00.	020	0.0	000	1,201	0.0	000	1,201					_	
Number of clients whho rated						9	27	36	18	55	73	27	82	109	27	82	109						
satisfactory											·					-							
Output Indicators																							
Number of beneficiaries served through		Crisis Interven																					
AICS (Continuing Funds)		assistance) wi																					
	Serbis	yo target is 20	,000 (ANA pe	er type ot ass	sistance)																		
Type of Assistance	34,000	16,000	0	0	50,000	26,526	39,441	65,967	60,900	88,620	149,520	87,426	128,061	215,487	87,426	128,061	215,487	165,487	331%				
a. Medical Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	3,220	7,106	10,326	6,899	7,349	14,248	10,119	14,455	24,574	10,119	14,455	24,574						
b. Burial Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	430	896	1,326	543	948	1,491	973	1,844	2,817	973	1,844	2,817						
c. Educational Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	322	643	965	1,174	2,063	3,237	1,496	2,706	4,202	1,496	2,706	4,202						Maximize use of GL as mode of
d. Transportation Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	42	58	100	31	50	81	73	108	181	73	108	181					Delayed issuance of SAA/NCA to	providing assistance to clients.
e. Food Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	22,168	30.319	52.487	52.253	78,210	130.463	74,421	108.529	182.950	74.421	108.529	182.950					Region to faciliate the Cash	
f. Non-Food Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		1	1	1	Advances of the SDOs.	Adjustment of schedule of pay
g. Other Cash Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	344	419	763	-	-	-	344	419	763	344	419	763		<b>†</b>	1	1	1	out to accommodate the reques
h. Psychosocial	-	731475	-	-	-		- 413	-			-	-	-	-	-	- 413	-		<del> </del>	<del> </del>	1	╡	of partner legislators
i. Referral		-	-	-	- :	-	-	-							-				<del>                                     </del>	1	+	┥	
i. Neielidi	-	-	-		•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		-			L	L	1	1	1

0		Ph	ysical Targe	ets						Pi	ysical Acco	mplishmen	ts										
Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/	04	Q2			T-4-1		Q1			Q2			st Semeste	r [		Total		Variance		sessmer		Reasons for Variance	Steering Measures
Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	М	F	T	М	F	Т	М	F	Т	М	F	T			Variance	•		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		(7)			(8)			(9)				(13)	(14)=(13)-(6)	Major	Minor	Full target	(13)	(19)
Client Category						26,526	39,441	65,967	60,027	89,493	149,520	86,553	128,934	215,487	86,553	128,934	215,487				Availeved		
Family Head and Other Needy Adult (FHC	ONA)					20,688	29,620	50.308	50,192	72,421	122,613	70,880	102,041	172,921	70,880	102,041	172,921						
Women in Especially Difficult Circumstand	ces (WEDC)	1				25	640	665	1	82	83	26	722	748	26	722	748						
Children in Need of Special Protection (Ch						-		-		-		-	-	-	-	-							
Youth in Need of Special Protection (YNS)	P)					12	19	31	2	2	4	14	21	35	14	21	35						
Senior Citizen (SC)	,					5,712	9,033	14,745	9,815	16,969	26,784	15,527	26,002	41,529	15,527	26,002	41,529						
Solo Parents						-		-		-		-	-	-	-	-							
Persons With Disability (PWD)						88	129	217	17	19	36	105	148	253	105	148	253						
Persons Living with HIV-AIDS (PLHIV)						1		1		-		1	-	1	1	-	1						
Number of beneficiaries served through AICS (Current Funds)	per type of a	Crisis Interven assistance) wi get is 113,280	nile Crisis Inte	rvention Sec	tion - Offsite																		
Type of Assistance	0	26,756	51,712	54,812	133,280	-	-	-	21,701	30,621	52,322	21,701	30,621	52,322	21,701	30,621	52,322	25,566	96%				
a. Medical Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	-	-	-	3,999	6,779	10,778	3,999	6,779	10,778	3,999	6,779	10,778						
b. Burial Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	-	-	-	249	580	829	249	580	829	249	580	829			1		1	
c. Educational Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	-	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	1	1	-	1						Maximize use of GL as mode of
d. Transportation Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	-	-	-	115	119	234	115	119	234	115	119	234					Delayed issuance of SAA/NCA to	providing assistance to clients.
e. Food Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	-		-	15,754	21,255	37,009	15,754	21,255	37,009	15,754	21,255	37,009					Region to faciliate the Cash	
f. Non-Food Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	-	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-					Advances of the SDOs.	Adjustment of schedule of pay
g. Other Cash Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	_	-	-	1.583	1,888	3,471	1,583	1,888	3,471	1,583	1,888	3.471					1	out to accommodate the reques
h. Psychosocial	711471		7 4 47 (	-	-	_	-	-	- 1,000	1,000	-	1,000	-	5,471	- 1,000	1,000							of partner legislators
i. Referral			-		_	_				-	-	_			-							7	
Client Category						_		-	21,701	30,621	52,322	21,701	30,621	52,322	21,701	30,621	52,322						
Family Head and Other Needy Adult (FHO	ONA)					_		_	18,434	22,682	41,116	18,434	22,682	41,116	18,434	22,682	41,116						
Women in Especially Difficult Circumstance						-	-	-	82	2,778	2.860	82	2,778	2,860	82	2,778	2.860					=	
Children in Need of Special Protection (Ch	•					-			- 02	2,770	2,000	- 02	2,770	2,000	- 02	2,770	2,000					_	
Youth in Need of Special Protection (YNS)	- /						-	-	25	34	59	25	34	59	25	34	59					_	
Senior Citizen (SC)	Γ)						-		2,955	4,801	7,756	2,955	4,801	7,756	2,955	4,801	7,756					_	
Solo Parents							-		2,955	4,001	1,130	2,955	4,001	7,750	2,800	4,001	7,750					_	
Persons With Disability (PWD)						-	-	-	205	326	531	205	326	531	205	326	531		-		<u> </u>	_	
Persons With Disability (PWD)  Persons Living with HIV-AIDS (PLHIV)				-		-	-			326	531	205	326	531	205	326	- 531					+	
						-		-	-	-		-	-	-		-							
Lingap at Gabay Para sa May Sakit (Lin	nGaP sa Ma	Sa)																					
Number of beneficiaries served through 19 Lingap at Gabay Para sa May Sakit (LinGaP sa MaSa)						-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					No client served for FY 2022, the repayment of 2018 and 2019 payables.	maining balance was intended for
Assistance to Communities in Need (A	(CN)																						
Construction/ Repair of Day Care Center and Senior Citizen Center through Assistance to Communities in Need																							
Number of subprojects completed	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
Number of beneficiaries served through ACN	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA		-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-					
Number of clients served through community-based services	100	100	100	100	400	42	50	92	466	139	605	508	189	697	508	189	697	497	249%			The client served exceeded due to the	
a. Women/Adult	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	19	25	44	416	102	518	435	127	562	435	127	562					high volume of Person Living with HIV	7
b. Children	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	15	10	25	32	23	55	47	33	80	47	33	80					served with a total of 466 clients this	
c. Youth	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	5	5	10	11	10	21	16	15	31	16	15	31					1st semester especially the newly	
d. Older Persons	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	2	1	3	5	1	6	7	2	9	7	2	9		ļ			diagnosed.	
e. PWDs	ANA	ANA ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	1	2	3	1	- 3	1 4	2	2	4 11	2 1	10	4	-	<b> </b>	<b> </b>	1	4 -	
f. Solo Parents  Number of minors traveling abroad issued with travel clearance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	301	327	7 628	635	690	1,325	936	1,017	1,953	936	1,017	1,953	-				100% of the assessed eligible applicants are issued with travel clearance	Continual service provision, efficiently and effectively.

0, 1, 10, 10, 10, 1		Ph	ysical Targ	ets						Ph	nysical Acco	omplishme	nts									
Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/ Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total		Q1			Q2	-		1st Semeste	er		Total		Variance		sessmer Variance		Reasons for Variance Steering Measures
	-			-		M	F	Т	M	F	Т	M	F	Т	M	F	Т				5	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		(7)	ı		(8)			(9)				(13)	(14)=(13)-(6)	Major	Mnor	Achieved Achieved	(13) (19)
Comprehensive Program for Street Ch	ildren, Stre	et Families	and Badjau	S																		
Number of Street Children, Street Families and IPs served			ı																			_
Street Children/Children-At-Risk	NO TARGET	175	204	750	1,129	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-175	-100%			Still no clients were served during the 1st Semester since the sub-allotment
Street Families	NO TARGET	32	30	84	146	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-32	-100%			for the subsidies was approved on June 28, 2022 and still waiting to be
a. Street Children/Children-At-Risk	NO TARGET	100	129	670	899	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-						downloaded to NCR by the STB-CO. Since the program is for devolution, workshops and trainings for
b. Street Families/Families-At-Risk	NO TARGET	75	75	80	230	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				-						Comprehensive Program Manual was conducted. Implementation of the
c. Sama-Badjau Street Children	NO TARGET	17	20	64	101	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					program will be done by 2nd Semester CY 2022.
d. Sama-Badjao Street Families	NO TARGET	15	10	20	45	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
Number of networks, linkages, task force and/or other interagencies formed	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
Number of LGUs implementing the program (cities/municipalities)	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
Number of implementers/other service providers provided with capacity building activities in handling street children, street families and lps	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
Output Indicators																						
Number of children served through Alte		- !!- O D																				
Number of Children Served through Alte	ernauve ran	illy Care Pr	ogram																			
No. of Children Placed Out for Domestic Adoption Issued with CDCCLAA	23	30	30	31	114	13	10	23	5	7	12	18	17	35	18	17	35	-18	3 -34%			Continuous delayed receipt of compliance from partner CCAs, RCFs and LGUs which delay issuance fo CDCLAA to subject children; one CDCLAA social worker was assigned as RACC Officer which is a huge added task. PMB halted issuance of CDCLAA for a time due to revision of CDCLAA and other templates in line with RA 11642.
No. of Children Placed Out for Domestic Adoption Issued with PAPA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	73	3	4	7	4	4	8	7	8	15	7	8	15		-		0%	,
No. of Children Placed Out for Foster Care	2	5	10	10	27		2	2	4	6	10	4	8	12	4	8	12	5	71%			Closely coordinate with partner CCAs, RCFs and LGUs for endorsement of dossiers of children for matching and placement to foster care.
No. of Children cleared for Inter-country Adoption	12	20	20	21	73	9	3	12	9	2	11	18	5	23	18	5	23	-9	)	-28%		Low number of cases of children endorsed for presentation to Regional Matching Conference.  Closely coordinate with partner CCAs, RCFs and LGUs to follo up submission of dossier of children with issued CDCLAA and for presentation to matchir conference.
Number of children issued with Certificate of Consent to Adoption (CA)	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	5	3	8	-	-	-	5	3	8	5	3	8		-		0%	5
No. of eligible children placed under foster care provided with subsidy	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	151	65	76	141	66	80	146	66	80	146	66	80	146	5	5	-3%		Note: The target of 153 is for year round target including carry over cases. Hence, discharge and new cases within the year are accounted.

0		Pi	ysical Targ	ets						Phy	sical Acco	mplishmen	ts										
Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/ Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total		Q1			Q2			st Semeste			Total		Variance		sessmen Variance		Reasons for Variance	Steering Measures
(1)	(2)	-		(5)		M	<b>F</b> (7)	T	M	F (8)	T	M	(9)	Т	M	F	T (10)	(14)=(13)-(6)	Major	Minor	Full target	(40)	(40)
	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)												(13)	(14)=(13)-(6)		1	Achieved	(13)	(19)
No. of Regular Foster Parents developed	1	1	4	4	10		1			4			5			5		3	150%	5			
No. of Regular Prospective Adoptive Parents (PAPs) developed	1	3	4	4	12		1			3			4			4		-			0%		
Number of Prospective Adoptive Parents (PAPs) developed under independent placement and foster-adopt categories	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA		6			8			14			14		-			0%		
Social Welfare for Distressed Overseas	Filipinos	and Traffick	ed Persons	Sub-Progr	am																		
Outcome																							
Percentage of assisted individuals who are reintegrated to their families and communities		ring mechani is yet to be o		ndicator																			
Trafficked Persons																							
Distressed Overseas Filipinos and Families																							
Output																							
Number of trafficked persons provided with social welfare services	105	105	105	105	420	22	183	205	84	93	177	106	276	382	106	276	382	172	82%	5		The number of offloaded and	Continuous assessment and
a. Adult	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	17	169	186	77	87	164	94	256	350	94	256	350					repatriated OFWs affects the increase of clients.	provision of economic assistance to eligible TIP clients and Monitor
b. Children	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	5	14	19	7	6	13	12	20	32	12	20	32						the movement of the TIP cases.
Number of distressed and undocumented overseas Filipinos provided with social welfare services	184	184	185	185	738	14	48	62	12	59	71	26	107	133	26	107	133		-64%	5		No assisted mass repatriation at the NAIA due to the existence of the OSS-ISSO at the aiport however, the target for the Region given by the CO is still higher.      Existence of One stop Shop DSWD Airport team (deployed by the ISSO,DSWD-Central Office). This was installed during pandemic which its primary concern is to assist distressed Returning Overseas Filipinos. Kindly take note that these arriving OFs are mostly non-residence of National Capital Region. likewise, the three(3) social workers deployed in IACAT-Task Force Against Trafficking in Person (TFATP) are only assisting victim-survivors of human trafficking.	To send communication letter to International Social Services Office requesting to lower the target of the region.
MALAYSIA JEDDAH,KSA						7	0	7	3	2	5	10	2 10	12 14		1 10	12			1			
RIYADH,KSA						1	18	19	4	28	32	7	46	51	5	5 46	51						
QATAR						1	7	8	0	2	2	1	9	10	1	9	10	)					
HONG KONG DUBALUAE						0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			+	1		
KUWAIT						0	4	4	1	5	6	1	9	10	1	9	10	Ó		1	1		
CHINA						0	1	1	1	7	8	1	8	9	1	8	9	)					
INDONESIA IRAQ		1				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	)	1	1	1	-	
IRAQ						0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	) 0	0	)		1	1		
AUSTRALIA						0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	)					
DAMMAM, KSA						0	5	5	0	1	1	0	6	6	0	6	6	5		1			
IRELAND JAPAN		1				0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1		1	1	1		
SOUTH KOREA						0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C			1	<b>i</b>		
MYANMAR						0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	)					
NETHERLANDS						0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	)		<b> </b>	ļ		
PAKISTAN		L				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		)		1	1	1	l

		Ph	nysical Targ	nets						Ph	vsical Acc	omplishme	nts										
Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/			i -		l		Q1			Q2	.yo.ou. 7.00		1st Semest	er	I	Total		Variance		sessmen		Reasons for Variance	Steering Measures
Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	М	F F	Т	М	F I	Т	М	F	Т Т	М	F	Т			Variance	•	Troubbillo for Variation	Crossing modeline
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		(7)		IVI	(8)			(9)		IVI		(13)	(14)=(13)-(6)	Major	Minor	Full target	(13)	(19)
(1) PERU	(4)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(0)	_	1 ^			(0)		<b>!</b>	(3)	1 ^	_	_	(13)	(19)=(13)-(0)	major	HIDI	Achieved	(13)	(19)
PANAMA				<b>-</b>		0	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0		)					
SINGAPORE				1		0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0		1					
SWITZERLAND		1	-	1		0	0	0	0	0	- 0			0	0	0		3				+	
SPAIN				1		0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0		1					
SRI LANKA				1		0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0		1					
TURKEY		1				0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	-						
UKRAINE				1		0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0		1					
JORDAN		1				0	0	0	0	2	2			) 2	0	2							
SYRIA		1	-	1		0	0	0		2	2		2	2	0	2	-					+	
ABU DHABI, UAE				1		0	0	0	0	0				0	0								
LEBANON		1				0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0		1					
MACAU				1		0	1	1	0	0	0			1	0	1	1	1					
JUBAIL,KSA		1				0	0	0	0	0	0			1	0	1							
CYPRUS				1		0	0	0	0	0	0	7		0	0	0							
OMAN		1				0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0		1					
THAILAND		1	-	1		1	2	2	0	0	- 0	-	1 2	0 2	1	2						+	
USA		1	1	<del>                                     </del>		1	1 2	3	0	0	0			3	1	2						+	
TAIWAN		<del> </del>	1	1		<u> </u>	1 2	0	0	U 4			1	0	0	0		4				-	
BERMUDA		1	1	<del> </del>		- O	1 0	0	0	1 0	1		1	1 2	0	1	1 2				1	+	
BERMUDA BRAZIL		<del> </del>	<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>		1	1 0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0				-	1	<del> </del>	
BRAZIL BRUNEI		<del> </del>	1	1		<u> </u>	1 0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	1					-	
BRUNEI COLUMBIA		<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>		- O	1 1	1	0	0	0	9	1	1	0	1	1			-	1		
COLUMBIA				ļ		0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	1	)				-	
CUBA DENMARK						0	0	0	U	0	0		) (	0	0	0		2					
DENMARK						0	0	0	0	U	U		) (	0	0	0		)					
EGYPT				ļ		0	0	0	0	0				0	0	0		)					
EQUITORIAL GUINEA						0	0	0	0	0	0	(		0	0	0		)					
FRANCE						0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0		)					
GERMANY						0	0	0	0	0	0	(		0	0	0		)					
GUAM						0	0	0	0	0	0	(		0	0	0		)					
HARADH,KSA						0	0	0	0	0	0	(		0	0	0		)					
INDIA						0	0	0	0	0	0	(		0	0	0		)					
ITALY						0	0	0	0	0	0	(		0	0	0		)					
JAMAICA						0	0	0	0	0	0	(		0	0	0		)					
KAZAKHSTAN						0	0	0	0	0	0	(		0	0	0		)					
MAJURO MARSHALL ISLAND						0	0	0	0	0	0	(		0	0	0		)					
NAJRAN,KSA						0	0	0	0	0	0	(		0	0	0		)					
NORWAY						0	0	0	0	0	0	(		0	0	0		)					
PAPUA NEW GUINEA						0	0	0	0	0	0	(	0	0	0	0		)					
PORTUGAL						0	0	0	0	0	0	(	0	0	0	0		)					
VIETNAM						0	0	0	0	0	0	(		0	0	0		)					
BANGLADESH						0	0	0	0	0	0	(		0	0	0		)					
BAHRAIN		<b>.</b>	ļ	<b></b>		0	1	1	0	0	. 0	(	1	1	0	1	1						
AL KHOBAR,KSA		<u> </u>	<b></b>	ļ		0	0	0	0	1	1		1	1	0	1	1						
AFRICA		ļ	ļ	ļ		0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	. 0		)				ļ	
ABHA CITY, KSA		<u> </u>	<b></b>	ļ		0	0	0	0	1	1		1	1	0	1	1						
SEYCHELLES		ļ	ļ	ļ		0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	. 0		)				ļ	
CROATIA		<u> </u>	<b></b>	ļ		0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0		)					
CANADA		<u> </u>	<b></b>	ļ		0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0		)					
ANGOLA		<u> </u>	<b></b>	ļ		0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0		)					
UNITED KINGDOM		<b>.</b>	ļ	<b></b>		0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	. 0		)					
LIBYA		<u> </u>	<b></b>	ļ		0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0		)					
BARBADOS		ļ	ļ	<u> </u>		0		0	0	0	0	(		0	0	0		)					
FINLAND		<u> </u>	<b></b>	ļ		0		0	0	0	0			0	0	0		)					
MADINAH, KSA		<u> </u>	<b></b>	ļ		0		0	0	Ū				0	0	0		)					
NIGERIA		<u> </u>	<b></b>	ļ		0	,	0	0	0				0	0	0		)					
ITALY		ļ	ļ	<u> </u>		0		0	0	0	0	(		0	0	0		)					
BAHRAIN		<u> </u>	ļ	<u> </u>		0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1						
SEAFARER/CREWSHIP STAFF		ļ	1	<u> </u>		0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0		)					
OFWs FAMILY MEMBER IN PHILIPPINES			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		0	0	0	0	1	1	(	) 1	1	0	1	1						
BREAKDOWN BY AGE CATEGORY																							
a. Adults						13	47		11							101	125						
MALAYSIA						7	0	7	3	2	5	10		12		2	12						
JEDDAH,KSA						3	6	9	1	4	5	4	1 10	14		10	14						<u> </u>
RIYADH,KSA						1	18	19	4	28	32		5 46			46	51						
QATAR						1	7	8	0	2	2	1	1 9	10	1	9	10	)					<u> </u>
HONG KONG						0	0	0	0	0	- 0			0	0	0		)					<u> </u>
DUBAI,UAE						1	2	3	0	2	2	1	1 4	5	1	4		5					•

		Ph	ysical Targ	nets						Р	hysical Acc	omplishme	nts										
Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/					I		Q1			Q2	, 0.00 7.00		1st Semest	er		Total		Variance		essmen		Reasons for Variance	Steering Measures
Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	М	F	Т	М	F	Т	М	F	Т	М	F	Т	-	١,	/ariance			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		(7)		IVI	(8)	•	141	(9)		141	•	(13)	(14)=(13)-(6)	Major	Minor	Full target	(13)	(19)
(1) KUWAIT	(4)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(0)	ļ ,	(1)			(0)	_ ^	<b>_</b>	(3)	1		4	(13)	(14)=(13)-(b)	major	NEIG	Achieved	(13)	(19)
CHINA				-			) 4	4	1	7	0		) 2	9 0	0	4	4	1					
INDONESIA							) 1	0	1	0	0			9	0	0	9	3					
IRAQ		1		-			0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	3			-		
IRAN							) 0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	3					
AUSTRALIA							) 0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	3					
DAMMAM, KSA				+			) 5	5	0	1	1	1	) 6	6	0	6	6						
IRELAND				+			) 0	0	0	i o	,		) (	0	0	0	0						
JAPAN				1			) 0	0	1	0		1		1	1	0	1	1					
SOUTH KOREA				+			) 0	0	1	0				0	0	0	1						
MYANMAR				1			) 0	0	0	0	V			0	0	0	0	i i					
NETHERLANDS				1		1	0	0		0				0	0	0	0	1					
PAKISTAN							0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	)					
PERU						Č	0	0	0	0				0	0	0	0	1					
PANAMA				1		Č	0 0	0	0	0	Ö			0	0	0	Ö	á					
SINGAPORE						Č	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	1					
SWITZERLAND		1				1	<u> </u>	0	0	Ö	V			0	0	0	0	i l			1		
SPAIN				1		,	) 0	0	0	0	n			0	0	0	0	i i					
SRI LANKA		t		1		7	1 0	0	0	0				0	0	0	0				1		
TURKEY		1					<u> </u>	0	0	0				0	0	0	0				1		
UKRAINE		1	1	1		1	1 0	0	0	0				1 0	0	0	0				1		
JORDAN				1		,	0	0	0	2				2	0	2	2						
SYRIA		1				7	) 0	0	0	2				2	0	2	2	1			1		
ABU DHABI, UAE							0	0	0	0				0	0	0	0	5					
LEBANON				1		1	0	0		0	Ŏ			0	0	0	0	1					
MACAU							) 1	1	0	ő	0		1	1	0	1	1	ĺ					
JUBAIL,KSA				1		1	1 0			0				<u> </u>	0	'n	Ċ						
CYPRUS						7	0	0	0	Ö				0	0	0	0	i i					
OMAN				1		1	0	0		0	Ŏ			0	0	0	0	1					
THAILAND				+			) 1	1	0	0	0	1	) 1	1	0	1	1	1					
USA				1		1	1 0			0	Ŏ			<u> </u>	0	'n	Ċ						
TAIWAN						- 6	) 0	0	0	1	1		1	1	0	1	1						
BERMUDA				1			) 0	0	0	<u> </u>	'n			1 0	0	'n	'n						
BRAZIL				1		1	0	0		0	Ŏ			0	0	0	0	1					
BRUNEI							) 1	1	0	0	0		1	1	0	1	1	ĺ					
COLUMBIA							) 0	0	0	0	0	1 6		0	0	0	0	)					
CUBA						Č	) 0	0	0	Ö	0	ì		0	0	0	n	)					
DENMARK							0	0	0	0	0	1 6		0	0	0	0	0					
EGYPT						Č	) 0	0	0	Ö	0	ì		0	0	0	n	)					
EQUITORIAL GUINEA							0	0	0	0	0	1 6		0	0	0	0	0					
FRANCE						Č	0	0	0	0	0	ì		0	0	0	0	i i					
GERMANY							0	0	0	0	0	1 6		0	0	0	0	0					
GUAM				1		Ö	0	0	0	0	ň			0	0	0	0	i l			l l		
HARADH,KSA				1		0	0	0	0	0	0	i c		0	0	0	0	oj l			i i		
INDIA				1			) 0	n	0	0	n	ì		0	0	0	0	oj l			i i		
ITALY						i c	0	n	n	0	n	Ò		0	0	0	0				i i	İ	
JAMAICA			l	1		0	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0	oj l			i i		
KAZAKHSTAN						l c	0	0	Ö	Ö	Ö			0	0	0	0				l i		
MAJURO MARSHALL ISLAND							0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0				i i		
NAJRAN,KSA							0	0	0	0	Ö		) (	0	0	0	0					İ	
NORWAY							0	0	0	0			) (	0	0	0	0	)				i i	
PAPUA NEW GUINEA						0	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0						
PORTUGAL						C	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0					İ	
VIETNAM						C	0	0	0	0			) (	0	0	0	0				l i		
BANGLADESH						C	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0					İ	
BAHRAIN						C		1	0	0			) 1	1	0	1	1				l i		
AL KHOBAR,KSA						C	0	0	0	1	1	(	) 1	1	0	1	1						
AFRICA						C	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0				l i		
ABHA CITY, KSA						C	0	0	0	1	1		) 1	1	0	1	1					İ	
SEYCHELLES						C	0	0	0	0	0	i c	) (	0	0	0	0				i i	İ	
CROATIA			l	1		Č	0	0	0	ő				0	0	0	0	oj l			i i		
CANADA				1			) 0	n	0	0	n	ì	) (	0	0	0	0	oj l			i i		
ANGOLA			l	1		Č	0	0	0	ő	0			0	0	0	0	oj l			i i		
UNITED KINGDOM				1			) 0	n	0	0	n	ì	) (	0	0	0	0	oj l			i i		
LIBYA				1		ľ	n n	n	0	n n	n			0	0	0	0	i l			i i		
BARBADOS				1			0 0	0	0	0	n			0	0	0	0	i l			l l		
FINLAND		t	1	<del>                                     </del>		1 6	1 0	0	0	1 0	1 0	1 6		1 0	0	0	0	il I			1		

01 1 10 10 10		Ph	nysical Targ	jets						Pi	hysical Acc	omplishme	nts										
Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/ Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total		Q1			Q2			1st Semest	er		Total		Variance		sessmen Variance		Reasons for Variance	Steering Measures
						M	F	Т	M	F	Т	M	F	Т	M	F	Т						
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		(7)			(8)			(9)				(13)	(14)=(13)-(6)	Major	Minor	Full target Achieved	(13)	(19)
NIGERIA						0	0	0	0	0	0	C	) (	0	0	0	0						
ITALY BAHRAIN						0	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0						
SEAFARER/CREWSHIP STAFF		1	-			0	1 0	0	1	0	1	1		1 1	1	0	1						
OFWs FAMILY MEMBER IN PHILIPPINES						0	0	0	0	1	1		) 1	1	0	1	1	1					
b. Children						0	0	0	1	5	6	1		6	1	5	6						
MALAYSIA						0	0	0	0	0	0	C		0	0	0	0						
JEDDAH,KSA						0	0	0	0	0	0	C	) (	0	0	0	0						
RIYADH,KSA						0	0	0	0	0	0	C	) (	0	0	0	0						
QATAR						0	0	0	0	0	0	0	) (	0	0	0	0						
HONG KONG DUBAI,UAE						0	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0	1					
KUWAIT		1				0	0	0	0	0	0	1		0	1	- 0	0						
CHINA						0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	1					
INDONESIA						0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0						
IRAQ						Ö	0	0	0	0	Ö	i c		0	0	0	0						
IRAN						0	0	0	0	0	0	C	) (	0	0	0	0						
AUSTRALIA						0	0	0	0	0	0	C	) (	0	0	0	0						·
DAMMAM, KSA		ļ				0	0	0	0	0	0	C	) (	0	0	0	0	1					
IRELAND		1				0	1 0	0	0	0	0	<u> </u>	) (	0	0	0	0	4			<b></b>		
JAPAN SOUTH KOREA		<b>!</b>	-			0	1 0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0	<del>                                     </del>		-	<del>                                     </del>		
SOUTH KOREA MYANMAR		1				0	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0	<del>                                     </del>			1		
NETHERLANDS						0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0						
PAKISTAN						Ö	0	Ö	0	) Ö	Ö	i c		il ö	0	0	0						
PERU						0	0	0	0	0	0	C	) (	0	0	0	0						
PANAMA						0	0	0	0	0		C	) (	0	0	0	0						
SINGAPORE						0	0	0	0	0		0	) (	0	0	0	0	i					
SWITZERLAND						0	0	0	0	0		0	) (	0	0	0	0						
SPAIN SRI LANKA						0	0	0	0	0 0	٥		) (	0	0	0	0						
SRI LANKA TURKEY		1	-			0	0	0	0	0	,		) (	0	0	0	0						
UKRAINE						0	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0	1					
JORDAN						0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0						
SYRIA						0	0	0	0	0	0	C	) (	0	0	0	0						
ABU DHABI, UAE						0	0	0	0	0	0	C	) (	0	0	0	0						
LEBANON						0	0	0	0	0	0	C	) (	0	0	0	0						
MACAU						0	0	0	0	0	0	0	) (	0	0	0	0						
JUBAIL,KSA						0	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0	1					
CYPRUS OMAN		1				0	0	0		0	0			0	0	0	0	1					
THAILAND						0	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0	1					
USA						0	0	0	0	0	0	Č		0	0	0	0						
TAIWAN						0	0	0	0	0	0	C	) (	0	0	0	0						
BERMUDA						0	0	0	0	0	0	C	) (	0	0	0	0						
BRAZIL		<u> </u>				0	0	0	0	0	0	C	) (	0	0	0	0						
BRUNEI		1				0	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0	1					
COLUMBIA CUBA		<del> </del>				0	0	0	0	0 0	0		) (	0	0	0	0	<del>                                     </del>			1		
DENMARK		1				0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	1			<del>                                     </del>		
EGYPT		i				0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0						
EQUITORIAL GUINEA						0	0 0	0	0	0	0	i c		0	0	0	0						
FRANCE						0	0	0	0	0			) (	0	0	0	0						
GERMANY						0	0	0	0	0	0	C	) (	0	0	0	0						
GUAM		<b></b>				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	) (	0	0	0	0	1					
HARADH,KSA		<del> </del>				0	1 0	0	0	0	0	C	) (	0	0	0	0	1			l		
INDIA ITALY		<del> </del>				0	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0	<del>                                     </del>			1		
JAMAICA		<del> </del>				0	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0	<del>                                     </del>			<b>-</b>		
KAZAKHSTAN		1				0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0				1		
MAJURO MARSHALL ISLAND		İ				0	0	0	0	0	0	i c		0	0	0	0	1					
NAJRAN,KSA						Ö	0	0	0	0	0	C	) (	0	0	0	0						
NORWAY						0	0	0	0	0	0	0	) (	0	0	0	0						
PAPUA NEW GUINEA		ļ				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	) (	0	0	0	0						
PORTUGAL		1				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	) (	0	0	0	0	1					
VIETNAM		<del> </del>				0	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0	<del>                                     </del>			-		
BANGLADESH BAHRAIN		<del> </del>	-			0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	1			<b>-</b>		
BAHRAIN		1	1	l .			1 0	0	U	, U	U		) (		U	0		1		1			

		Ph	ysical Targ	iets						Р	hysical Acc	omplishme	nts										
Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/							Q1			Q2	, 0.00 7.00		1st Semest	er		Total		Variance		essmen		Reasons for Variance	Steering Measures
Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	М	F	Т	М	F F	Т	М	F	Т	М	F	Т	1	,	/ariance	•		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		(7)			(8)			(9)			-	(13)	(14)=(13)-(6)	Major	Minor	Full target	(13)	(19)
AL KHOBAR,KSA	(~)	(0)	(-1)	(0)	(0)	٢	) ^-/	n	n	) \-/	n	) (	) (-/	)	n	0	(13)	(1)-(.0) (0)	.,.		Achieved	(10)	1.0/
AFRICA						Ö	0	0	0	i ö	0			0 0	0	0	Ö	1					
ABHA CITY, KSA						C	0	0	0	0	0	) (	) (	0	0	0	0						
SEYCHELLES						C	0	0	0	0	0	) (	) (	0	0	0	0						
CROATIA						C	0	0	0	0	0	) (	) (	0	0	0	0						
CANADA						C	0	0	0	0	0	(	) (	0	0	0	0	i					
ANGOLA						C	0	0	0	0		) (	) (	0	0	0	0						
UNITED KINGDOM						C	0	0	0	0	0	) (	) (	0	0	0	0						
LIBYA						0	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0						
BARBADOS FINLAND							0	0	0	0		) (	) (	0	0	0	0						
MADINAH, KSA							0	0	0	0				0	0	0	0	1					
NIGERIA							) 0	0	0	0			) (	) 0	0	0	0						
ITALY						,	0	0	0	0				0	0	0	0						
BAHRAIN						Ö	0	0	0	i ö	0			0 0	0	0	Ö	1					
SEAFARER/CREWSHIP STAFF						C	0	0	0	0	0	) (	) (	0	0	0	0						
OFWs FAMILY MEMBER IN PHILIPPINES		İ					0 0	0	0	0	0			0 0	0	0	0						
c. Youth							0	0	0	0	0	) (	) (	0	0	0	0						
MALAYSIA						C	0	0	0	0	0	) (	) (	0	0	0	0						
JEDDAH,KSA						C	0	0	0	0		(	) (	0	0	0	0						
RIYADH,KSA						C	0	0	0	0		) (	) (	0	0	0	0						·
QATAR						C	0	0	0	0		) (	) (	0	0	0	0						
HONG KONG		ļ					0	0	0	0			) (	0	0	0	0	4					
DUBAI,UAE						C	0	0	0	0	,	) (	) (	0	0	0	0						
KUWAIT							, 0	0	0	0		'	) (	0	0	0	0						
CHINA INDONESIA						0	, 0	0	0	0		,	) (	0	0	0	0						
INDONESIA IRAQ							0	0	0	0		) (	) (	0	0	0	0	1					
IRAQ IRAN							0	0	0	0	0	) (	) (	0	0	0	0						
AUSTRALIA							0	0	0	1 0	1 0			0	0	0	0	1					
DAMMAM, KSA							) 0	0	0	0	1 0			) 0	0	0	0	1					
IRELAND							) 0	0	0	1 0	1 0			) 0	0	0	0						
JAPAN						Č	0	0	0	0	1 0			0	0	0	0						
SOUTH KOREA						C	) 0	0	0	0	0	) (	) (	) 0	0	0	0						
MYANMAR						C	0	0	0	0	0	) (	) (	0	0	0	0						
NETHERLANDS						C	0	0	0	0	0	) (	) (	0	0	0	0						
PAKISTAN						C	0	0	0	0	0	) (	) (	0	0	0	0						
PERU						C	0	0	0	0	0	) (	) (	0	0	0	0	i					
PANAMA						C	0	0	0	0	0	) (	) (	0	0	0	0	1					
SINGAPORE						C	0	0	0	0	0	) (	) (	0	0	0	0						
SWITZERLAND							0	0	0	0	0	) (	) (	0	0	0	0						
SPAIN SRI LANKA						0	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0						
SRI LANKA TURKEY							0	0	<u> </u>	0			) (	) 0	0	0	U	1					
UKRAINE		<b> </b>				-	0	0	0	1 0	1 0	(		0	0	0	0	1					
JORDAN		<del> </del>					1 0	0	0	1 0	1 0			0	0	0	0	1			$\vdash$		
SYRIA		<b> </b>					) 0	0	0	1 0	1 0			) 0	0	0	0	1					
ABU DHABI, UAE							0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0						
LEBANON						,	0	0	0	0 0	0		) (	0 0	0	0	0	1					
MACAU							0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0						
JUBAIL,KSA							0	0	0	0	0	) (	) (	0	0	0	0	i					
CYPRUS						C	0	0	0	0	0	(	) (	0	0	0	0						
OMAN						C	, ,	0	0	0		(	) (	0	0	0	0						
THAILAND						C	, ,	0	0	0		) (	) (	0	0	0	0						
USA						C		0	0	, ,		) (	) (	0	0	0	0	1					
TAIWAN							,	0	0	0		) (	) (	0	0	0	0	1			ļ		
BERMUDA		<b>.</b>						0	0	0		) (	) (	0	0	0	0	4					
BRAZIL		1				C	,	0	0	0		(	) (	0	0	0	0	<del>                                     </del>			-		
BRUNEI		1				0		0	0	0			) (	0	0	0	0	<del>                                     </del>					
COLUMBIA CUBA		-					, ,	0	0	0		(	9	0	0	0	0	1					
CUBA DENMARK		<b> </b>				-	0	0	0	1 0	1 0	(		0	0	0	0	1					
EGYPT							1 0	0	0	0	1 - 2			0	0	0	0	1			<del>     </del>		
EQUITORIAL GUINEA			l				0	0	0	1 0				0	0	0	0	1					
FRANCE						-	) 0	0	0	<u> </u>	1 0			) 0	0	0	0	1					
GERMANY		<b> </b>					) 0	0	0	1 0	1 0			) 0	0	0	0	1					
GUAM		1					n n	n	0	il ö	il o			0	0	0	0	1					
													,	, ,									

		Ph	nysical Targ	note						P	hysical Acc	omnlishme	nte										
Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/			i -	1	l		Q1			Q2	nysicai Acc		1st Semest	er		Total		Variance		sessmen		Reasons for Variance	Steering Measures
Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	М	F F	Т	М	F	Т	М	F	т	М	F	Т		'	Variance	•	Troubblie for Variance	crossing measures
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	IVI	(7)		IVI	(8)		IVI	(9)		IVI	F		(14)=(13)-(6)	Major	Minor	Full target	(4.2)	(40)
	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		(1)			(0)			(9)				(13)	(14)=(13)-(6)	Major	Minor	Achieved	(13)	(19)
INDIA ITALY							0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0	)					
JAMAICA				-			0	0	<u> </u>	0	0		) (	) 0	0	0	U	)				-	
JAMAICA KAZAKHSTAN				-			0	0	0	0	0		) (	) 0	0	0	0	)				-	
MAJURO MARSHALL ISLAND		1	-				0	0		0	0			0	0	0	0	)					
NAJRAN,KSA		1	-				0	0		0	0			0	0	0	0	)					
NORWAY							0	0	- 0	0	0			) 0	0	0	0						
PAPUA NEW GUINEA							0	0	0	0	·			) 0	0	0	0						
PORTUGAL				-			0	0	0	0	0	1	) (	) 0	0	0	0	)					
VIETNAM		1					0	0	0	0	0		) (	) 0	0	0	0	)					
BANGLADESH		1		1		7	0	0	0	0				) 0	0	ň	Ŏ						
BAHRAIN		1		1		7	0	0		0				0	0	ň	Ŏ	ń					
AL KHOBAR,KSA						Č	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	)					
AFRICA						Č	0	0	0	0		1 6		0	0	0	0	)					
ABHA CITY, KSA						Č	0	0	0	0	Ö	ì		0	0	Ő	Ö	)					
SEYCHELLES						Č	0	0	0	0	0	1 6		0	0	0	0	)					
CROATIA			1	1		1 0	0	0	0	ŏ	0			0 0	0	0	0						
CANADA			l	1		1	1 0	0	0	0	0			) 0	0	0	0	)	<b>1</b>				
ANGOLA			1	1			0	0	0	0				0	0	0	0						
UNITED KINGDOM		İ		İ		i c	0	0	0	ő	i o			0	0	0	0						
LIBYA		İ		İ			0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	)					
BARBADOS		ĺ				Č	) o	Ö.	0	Ö	Ö	Ò		0	0	0	Ö						
FINLAND							0	0	0	0	0	· ·	) (	0	0	0	0	)					
MADINAH, KSA				1		Ċ	0	0	Ö	0	Ö	Ċ		0	0	Ö	Ö	)					
NIGERIA				1		C	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0	)					
ITALY						C	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0	)					
BAHRAIN						C	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0	)					
SEAFARER/CREWSHIP STAFF				1		C	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0	O					
OFWs FAMILY MEMBER IN PHILIPPINES						C	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0	)					
e. Senior Citizens						1	1	2	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	1	2	2					
MALAYSIA						C	0	0	0	0	0	(	) (	0	0	0	0	)					
JEDDAH,KSA						C	0	0	0	0	0	(	) (	0	0	0	0	)					
RIYADH,KSA						C	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0	)					
QATAR						C	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0	)					
HONG KONG						C	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0	)					
DUBAI,UAE						C	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0	)					
KUWAIT						C	0	0	0	0	0	(	) (	0	0	0	0	)					
CHINA						C	0	0	0	0	0	(	) (	0	0	0	0	)					
INDONESIA						C	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0	)					
IRAQ						C	0	0	0	0	0	(	) (	0	0	0	0	)					
IRAN						C	0	0	0	0	0	(	) (	0	0	0	0	)					
AUSTRALIA						C	0	0	0	0	0	(	) (	0	0	0	0	)					
DAMMAM, KSA		ļ	ļ			C	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0	D					
IRELAND		ļ					0	0	0	0	0	(	) (	0	0	0	0	D					
JAPAN		<u> </u>	ļ	1			0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0	D					
SOUTH KOREA		ļ	ļ			C	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0	D					
MYANMAR		<u> </u>	<b></b>	ļ		C	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0	0	)					
NETHERLANDS		<u> </u>	<b></b>	ļ			0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	0		)					
PAKISTAN		<b>!</b>	<u> </u>				0	0	0	0	0	-		0	0	0	0	)	<u> </u>		1		
PERU		<b></b>	<b></b>	<b>!</b>			0	0	0	0			) (	0	0	. 0		Į.	<b> </b>			ļ	
PANAMA		<b>.</b>	ļ	<b>.</b>		<u> </u>	0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	. 0		)	<u> </u>		<b></b>		
SINGAPORE		<b></b>	<b></b>	<b>!</b>			0	0	0	0	0		) (	0	0	. 0		Į.	<b> </b>			ļ	
SWITZERLAND		<b>.</b>	ļ	<b>.</b>			·	0	0	0				0	0	0	0	1	<u> </u>				
SPAIN		<b>.</b>	ļ	<b>.</b>			·	0	0	0			) (	0	0	0	0	,	<u> </u>		<b></b>		
SRI LANKA		<b>.</b>	ļ	<b>.</b>		C		0	0	J				0	0	0	0	1	<u> </u>				
TURKEY		<del> </del>	1	1		C		0	0	0				0	0	0	0		ļ	-	1		
UKRAINE		<b>!</b>	1	<b>.</b>			·	0	0	0			(	0	0	0	0	1	<b> </b>		<b>—</b>		
JORDAN		<del> </del>	1	1		C		0	0	0				0	0	0	0	)	ļ	-	1		
SYRIA		<del> </del>	1	1		0		0	0	0				0	0	0	0		ļ	-	1		
ABU DHABI, UAE		<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>		C	, ,	0	0	0		9	) (	0	0	0	0	) )	<u> </u>	-	1	ļ	
LEBANON		<del> </del>	1	1		0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0		ļ	-	1		
MACAU		<del> </del>	1	1		0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	)	ļ	-	1		
JUBAIL,KSA		<del> </del>	1	1		0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0		ļ	-	1		
CYPRUS		<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>		<u> </u>	1 0	0	0	0	ļ	9	) (	0	0	0	0	) )	<u> </u>	-	1	ļ	
OMAN THAILAND		<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>			0	0	0	1 0	1			0	0	0	0	7	<u> </u>	-	1	ļ	
		<del> </del>	1	1		1 1	1 1	2	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	1 1	2	4	ļ	-	ļ		
USA		<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>	1		- 0	1 0	0	0	0	- 0		, (	0	0	0	0		<b> </b>	-	1	<del> </del>	
TAIWAN			<u> </u>				) 0	0	0	0			) (	0	0	0	0	J	l				

Starts and Baseman / Such Baseman /		Pi	hysical Tar	gets						Physical Acc	omplishme	ents						A				
Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/ Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total		Q1			Q2		1st Semes	er		Total		Variance		essmen /ariance		Reasons for Variance	Steering Measure
Performance indicator	QI	Q2	ų3	Q4	Iotai	M	F	T	М	F T	М	F	T	M	F	T			variance	9		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		(7)			(8)		(9)				(13)	(14)=(13)-(6)	Major	Minor	Full target Achieved	(13)	(19)
BERMUDA			Ĭ .			(	0	0	0	0 (	)	0	0	0 0	) (		0					
BRAZIL						(	0	0	0	0 0	)	0	0	0 0	) (		0					
BRUNEI						(	0	0	0	0 (		0	0	0 0	) (		0					
COLUMBIA						(	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0 0	) (		0					
CUBA						(	0	0	0	0 0	)	0	0	0 0	0	)	0					
DENMARK						(	0	0	0	0 (	)	0	0	0 0	) (	)	0					
EGYPT						(	0	0	0	0 (		0	0	0 0	) (		0					
EQUITORIAL GUINEA						(	0	0	0	0 0	)	0	)	0 0	0	)	0					
FRANCE						(	0	0	0	0 0	)	0	0	0 0	0		0					
GERMANY						(	0	0	0	0 0	)	0	)	0 0	) (	)	0					
GUAM			<b> </b>	-		(	0	0	0	0 0		0	)	0 0	) (		U			1		
HARADH,KSA						(	0	0	0	0 0	)	0	)	0 0	) (		0			1		
INDIA						(	) 0	0	0	0 0	)	0	)	0 0	) (		0			1		
ITALY						(	) 0	0	0	0 0		0	)	0 0	) (		0			1		
JAMAICA						(	) 0	0	0	0 0	)	0	)	0 0	) (		0			1		
KAZAKHSTAN						(	) 0	0	0			0	)	0 0			0			_		
MAJURO MARSHALL ISLAND		1	ļ				) 0	0	0	0 0		0	)	0 0	) (		0					
NAJRAN,KSA		1	ļ				) 0	0	0	0 0		0	)	0 0	) (		0					
NORWAY		1	ļ				) 0	0	0	0 0		0	)	0 0	) (		0					
PAPUA NEW GUINEA PORTUGAL		1	ļ	-			) 0	0	U	0 0	)	0	)	0 0	) (		0			1		
VIETNAM							) 0	0	0	0 0		0	)	0 0	) (		0			-		
VIETNAM BANGLADESH		1	ļ	-			) 0	0	U	0 0	)	0	)	0 0	) (		0			1		
BAHRAIN			1	+			) 0	0		0 0		0	2	0 0	) (		0					
BAHRAIN AL KHOBAR.KSA		1	ļ	-			) 0	0	U	0 0	)	0	)	0 0	) (		0			1		
AL KHOBAR, KSA AFRICA			1	+			) 0	0		0 0		0	2	0 0	) (		0					
AFRICA ABHA CITY, KSA						- ;	) 0	0	0	0 0		0	2	0 0	, ,		0			-		
SEYCHELLES		1	ļ	-			) 0	0	0	0 0	)	0	2	0 0	) (		0			1		
CROATIA			1	+			) 0	0		0 0	,	0	2	0 0	,		0					
CANADA							) 0	0	0			0	2	0 0	) (		0			-		
ANGOLA			1	+			) 0	0		0 0	,	0	2	0 0	,		0					
UNITED KINGDOM						- ;	) 0	0	0	0 0		0	2	0 0	, ,		0			-		
			1	+			) 0	0		0 0		0	2	0 0	) (		0					
LIBYA BARBADOS						- ;	) 0	0	0	0 0		0	2	0 0	, ,		0			-		
BARBADOS		1	1	+		H - 2	1 0	0	0	0 0		0	)	0 0			0			1		-
MADINAH, KSA		1	<del> </del>	-			V 0	0	0			0	2	0 0			0			<del>                                     </del>		
MADINAH, KSA NIGERIA		1	<del>                                     </del>	+			1 0	0	0			0	2	0 0			0			+		
NIGERIA ITALY		1	<del> </del>	-			V 0	0	0			0	2	0 0			0			+		
BAHRAIN		1	<del>                                     </del>	+			1 0	0	0			0	2	0 0			0			+		-
BAHRAIN SEAFARER/CREWSHIP STAFF		+	<del> </del>	1		1	1 0	0	0	0 0		0	2	0 0			0			1		-
		1	<b>!</b>				0	0	0	0 0		U	)	0 0			U			1		<b></b>
OFWs FAMILY MEMBER IN PHILIPPINES						(	) 0	0	0	i 0  (		U	J	UJ C	) (		U					L

Objective/ Program/ Sub-Program/ Performance Indicator  (1)  SANIZATIONAL OUTCOME 2: RIGHTS OF THE POOR AND THE tective Social Welfare Program tective Programs to Individuals and Families in Especially Diff Outcome Indicator  Crisis Intervention Section (CIS)	Q1 (2) VULNERAB	Q2 (3)	Physical Target	Q4	Total	М	Q1		cal Accomplish	Q2		Total	Variance	Reasons for Variance	Q1	Disbursements Q2	Total	Steering Measures
(1)  SANIZATIONAL OUTCOME 2: RIGHTS OF THE POOR AND THE tective Social Welfare Program tective Programs to Individuals and Families in Especially Diff Outcome Indicator  Crisis Intervention Section (CIS)	(2)	(3)		Q.7	iotai													Otooring modean oo
tective Social Welfare Program tective Programs to Individuals and Families in Especially Diff Outcome Indicator Crisis Intervention Section (CIS)						M	F	Т	M	F	T					·	7781-77414751475147	
tective Social Welfare Program tective Programs to Individuals and Families in Especially Diff Outcome Indicator Crisis Intervention Section (CIS)	VOLINEINAL	I E SECTORS	(4)	(5)	(6)		(7)			(8)		(11)=(12)+(13)+(1	(12)=(11)-(6)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(10)=(14)1(10)1(10)1(1	-19
tective Programs to Individuals and Families in Especially Diff Outcome Indicator Crisis Intervention Section (CIS)		SLE SECTORS	PROMOTED AI	ND PROTECTED	,													
Crisis Intervention Section (CIS)	ficult Circum	stances Sub-P	rogram															
														Note: The ARTU approved				Sustain various mechanism
Percentage of clients who rated protective services provided as satisfactory or better					95.00%	98.78%	93.29%	97.07%	87.10%	96.26%	93.96%	95.12%	0.12%	atleast 10% of all the client				in administering the survey:
Total number of clients who gave feedback in the client														served within the period are				Pen and Paper, Technical
satisfaction form						657	298	955	403	1,202	1,605	2,560		provided with Client				Assistance from
Total number of clients who rated satisfactory or better						649	278	927	351	1,157	1,508	2,435		Satisfaction Survey.				Mamamayan Muna Desk Team, Use of android table
											· ·			Random Sampling was				in accomplishing the online
Number of clients who rated very satisfactory						539	231	770	296	995	1,291	2,061		conducted by FO-NCR CIS to				survey and Use of Client
Numbee of clients who rated satisfactory						110	47	157	55	162	217	374		the clients in administering the				Survey Box to consolidate to
Crisis Intervention Section (CIS-OS)														client satisfaction survey.				Clients' responses.
Percentage of clients who rated protective services provided																		
as satisfactory or better					95.00%	95.98%	96.96%	96.48%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	99.88%	4.88%					
Total number of clients who gave feedback in the client						373	395	768	13,242	9,131	22,373	23,141						
satisfaction form				ļ														
Total number of clients who rated satisfactory or better						358	383	741	13,242	9,131	22,373	23,114						
Number of clients who rated very satisfactory						223	268	491	13,235	9,128	22,363	22,854						
										3,120								
Numbee of clients who rated satisfactory						135	115	250	7	3	10	260						
Output Indicators (Continuing Funds)																		
Number of beneficiaries served through AICS:																		
Crisis Intervention Section (CIS)	14,000	16,000	0	0	30,000	9,715	15,201	24,916	3,868	7,242	11,110	36,026	6,026		84,971,227.90	41,331,261.00	126,302,488.90	
a. Medical Assistance	4,000	5,000	0	0	9,000	1,791	3,801	5,592	782	1,925	2,707	8,299			22,366,890.26	16,609,687.00	38,976,577.26	
b. Burial Assistance	400	400	0	0	800	109	313	422	56	119	175	597			1,488,900.00	659,500.00	2,148,400.00	
c. Educational Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0.00	0.00	0.00	
d.Transportation Assistance	100	100	0	0	200	42	58	100	31	50	81	181			342,697.64	273,874.00	616,571.64	
e. Food Assistance	9,000	10,000	0	0	19,000	7,429	10,610	18,039	2,999	5,148	8,147	26,186			53,142,740.00	23,788,200.00	76,930,940.00	
f. Non-Food Assistance g. Other Cash Assistance	0 500	0 500	0	0	1,000	0 344	0 419	763	0	0	0	763			7,630,000.00	0.00	7,630,000.00	Maximize use of GL as mo
Client Category	300	300		0	1,000	9.715	15,201	24.916	3.868	7.242	11.110	36,026			7,030,000.00	0.00	7,030,000.00	of providing assistance to clients.
Family Head and Other Needy Adult (FHONA)						7,898	11,378	19,276	3,123	5,573	8,696	27,972						clients.
Women in Especially Difficult Circumstances (WEDC)						24	634	658	1	82	83	741						
Children in Need of Special Protection (CNSP)						0	0	0	0	0	0 4	0						
Youth in Need of Special Protection (YNSP) Senior Citizen (SC)						12 1.692	19 3.041	31 4.733	725	1.566	2.291	35 7.024						
Solo Parents						0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Persons With Disability (PWD)						88	129	217	17	19	36	253						
Persons Living with HIV-AIDS (PLHIV)						1	0	1	0	0	0	1						
Crisis Intervention Section - Offsite Serbisyo (CIS-OS)	20,000	-	-	-	20,000	16,811	24,240	41,051	57,032	81,378	138,410	179,461	159,461		140,479,526.97	405,988,705.89	546,468,232.86	
a. Medical Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	1,429	3,305	4,734	6,117	5,424	11,541	16,275		Frontloading of Continuing	33,705,726.97	85,381,105.89	119,086,832.86	
b. Burial Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	321	583	904	487	829	1,316	2,220		Frontloading of Continuing Funds.	9,628,800.00	17,337,900.00	26,966,700.00	
c. Educational Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	322	643	965	1,174	2,063	3,237	4,202			4,220,000.00	9,909,000.00	14,129,000.00	
d.Transportation Assistance e. Food Assistance	ANA ANA	ANA ANA	ANA ANA	ANA ANA	ANA ANA	0 14,739	0 19,709	0 34,448	0 49,254	73,062	0 122,316	0 156,764			0.00 92.925.000.00	0.00 293.360.700.00	0.00 386,285,700.00	
f. Non-Food Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	0	19,709	0	49,254	73,062	0	0			92,925,000.00	293,360,700.00	0.00	
g. Other Cash Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0.00	0.00	0.00	
Client Category						16,811	24,240	41,051	56,159	82,251	138,410	179,461						
Family Head and Other Needy Adult (FHONA)  Women in Especially Difficult Circumstances (WEDC)						12,790 1	18,242	31,032	47,069	66,848	113,917	144,949 7						
Children in Need of Special Protection (CNSP)						0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Youth in Need of Special Protection (YNSP)						0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Senior Citizen (SC)						4,020	5,992	10,012	9,090	15,403	24,493	34,505						
Solo Parents						0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Persons With Disability (PWD) Persons Living with HIV-AIDS (PLHIV)				ļ		0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Torsons Living with Fire-Aides (FERIV)	arget for Cris	is Intervention S	Section is 30,00	0 (with breakdov	n per type of	U	U	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	U	U	J	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
		while Crisis Inte		n - Offsite Serbis				l				l						

Objective/ Program/ Sub-Program/			Physical Target						cal Accomplish							Disbursements		
	0.4		1	Q4	T 1		Q1			Q2		T 1	Variance	Reasons for Variance	0.4	00	T 1	Steering Measures
Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	М	F	T	М	F	Т	Total			Q1	Q2	Total	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		(7)			(8)	•	(11)=(12)+(13)+(1	(12)=(11)-(6)	(13)	(14)	(15)	18)=(14)+(15)+(16)+(1	-19
Total Combined (CIS and CIS-OS)	34,000	16,000	0	0	50,000	26,526	39,441	65,967	60,900	88,620	149,520	215,487	181,487		225,450,754.87	447,319,966.89	672,770,721.76	
a. Medical Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	3,220	7,106	10,326	6,899	7,349	14,248	24,574			56,072,617.23	101,990,792.89	158,063,410.12	•
b. Burial Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	430	896	1,326	543	948	1,491	2.817			11,117,700.00	17,997,400,00	29,115,100,00	
c. Educational Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	322	643	965	1.174	2.063	3,237	4,202			4,220,000,00	9,909,000,00	14,129,000,00	
d.Transportation Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	42	58	100	31	50	81	181			342,697,64	273,874,00	616,571,64	
e. Food Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	22,168	30,319	52,487	52,253	78,210	130,463	182,950			146.067.740.00	317.148.900.00	463,216,640.00	
f. Non-Food Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0.00	0.00	0.00	
g. Other Cash Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	344	419	763	0	0	0	763			7.630.000.00	0.00	7.630.000.00	
Total Combined (Client Category)						26,526	39,441	65,967	60,027	89,493	149,520	215,487						
Family Head and Other Needy Adult (FHONA)						20,688	29,620	50.308	50,192	72,421	122,613	172,921						
Women in Especially Difficult Circumstances (WEDC)						25	640	665	1	82	83	748						
Children in Need of Special Protection (CNSP)						0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Youth in Need of Special Protection (YNSP)						12	19	31	2	2	4	35						
Senior Citizen (SC)						5,712	9,033	14,745	9,815	16,969	26,784	41,529						
Solo Parents						0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Persons With Disability (PWD)						88	129	217	17	19	36	253				<u> </u>		
Persons Living with HIV-AIDS (PLHIV)						1	0	1	0	0	0	1				İ		
Output Indicators (Current Funds)																		
Number of beneficiaries served through AICS:																		
Crisis Intervention Section (CIS)	0	4,100	6,400	9,500	20,000	0	0	0	9,677	16,983	26,660	26,660	22,560		0.00	198,258,220.44	198,258,220.44	
a. Medical Assistance	0	2,500	3,500	5.000	11.000	0	0	0	2.332	5.985	8.317	8.317				112.200.962.87	112.200.962.87	
b. Burial Assistance	0	400	500	900	1.800	0	0	0	249	580	829	829				8.647.300.00	8.647.300.00	
c. Educational Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1				5,000.00	5,000.00	
d.Transportation Assistance	0	100	200	300	600	0	0	0	115	119	234	234				978,757,57	978.757.57	
e. Food Assistance	0	1.000	2.000	3.000	6.000	0	0	0	5.397	8,411	13.808	13.808				41.768.700.00	41.768.700.00	
f. Non-Food Assistance	0	0	0	0,000	0,000	0	0	0	0	0,411	13,000	0				0.00	0.00	
g. Other Cash Assistance	0	100	200	300	600	0	0	0	1,583	1,888	3,471	3,471				34,657,500.00	34,657,500.00	
Client Category	U	100	200	300	000	0	0	0	9,677	16.983	26,660	26,660				34,037,300.00	34,037,300.00	
Family Head and Other Needy Adult (FHONA)						U	U	0	7.877	10,736	18.613	18.613						
Women in Especially Difficult Circumstances (WEDC)								0	82	2,778	2.860	2.860						
Children in Need of Special Protection (CNSP)								0	02	2,776	2,000	2,860						
Youth in Need of Special Protection (YNSP)								0	25	34	59	59						
Senior Citizen (SC)								0	1,488	3,109	4.597	4.597						
Solo Parents								0	0	0	4,557	0						
Persons With Disability (PWD)								0	205	326	531	531						
Persons Living with HIV-AIDS (PLHIV)								0	203	320	0	0						
r ersons civing with the AiDS (r Erliv)												, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
Crisis Intervention Section - Offsite Serbisyo (CIS-OS)	-	22,656	45,312	45,312	113,280	0	0	0	12,024	13,638	25,662	25,662	3,006		0.00	80,000,000.00	80,000,000.00	
a. Medical Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	0	0	0	1,667	794 0	2,461	2,461			0.00	10,397,000.00	10,397,000.00	
b. Burial Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	·	·	·	0	·	U				0.00	0.00	0.00	
c. Educational Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0.00		0.00	
d.Transportation Assistance e. Food Assistance	ANA ANA	ANA ANA	ANA ANA	ANA ANA	ANA ANA	0	0	0	0 10.357	12.844	0 23.201	23,201			0.00		69.603.000.00	
	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	0	0	0	10,357	12,844	23,201	23,201			0.00	69,603,000.00	69,603,000.00	
f. Non-Food Assistance	ANA	ANA		ANA	ANA	0	0		0	0	0	0			0.00		0.00	
g. Other Cash Assistance Client Category	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	0	0	0	12.024	13.638	25.662	25.662			0.00	0.00	0.00	
Family Head and Other Needy Adult (FHONA)		-	1			U	U	0		13,638 11,946	25,662 22,503	25,662 22,503	1		<u> </u>	+		
Women in Especially Difficult Circumstances (WEDC)		-	1			-	1	0	10,557 0	11,946	22,503	22,503			<u> </u>	+		
Children in Need of Special Protection (CNSP)		-	1			-	1	0	0	0	0	0			<u> </u>	+		
Youth in Need of Special Protection (CNSP)		-	1			-	1	0	0	0	0	0			<u> </u>	+		
		<b> </b>	<del>                                     </del>				<del>                                     </del>	0	1.467	1,692	3,159	3,159			<del></del>	<b> </b>		
Senior Citizen (SC)		<b> </b>	<del>                                     </del>				<del>                                     </del>			/		-,			<del></del>	<b> </b>		
Solo Parents		<b> </b>	<del>                                     </del>				<del>                                     </del>	0	0	0	0	0			<del></del>	<b> </b>		
Persons With Disability (PWD)		<b> </b>	<del>                                     </del>				<del>                                     </del>	0	0	0	0	0			<del></del>	<b> </b>		
Persons Living with HIV-AIDS (PLHIV)	Tamet for Cris	sis Intervention	Section is 20 00	00 (with breakdou	wn ner tyne of		<del>                                     </del>	0	0	0	0	0			-	<b> </b>		
Number of beneficiaries served through AICS:	assistance)	while Crisis Inte	ervention Sectio	n - Offsite Serbis ner type of assist	syo target is													

01:			Physical Target	ts				Phy	sical Accomplish	hments						Disbursements		
Objective/ Program/ Sub-Program/ Performance Indicator	01	02	Q3	Q4	Total		Q1			Q2		Total	Variance	Reasons for Variance	01	Q2	Total	Steering Measures
Performance indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Iotai	М	F	Т	М	F	T	Total			Qı	Q2	Iotai	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		(7)			(8)		(11)=(12)+(13)+(1	(12)=(11)-(6)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(18)=(14)+(15)+(16)+(1	-19
Total Combined (CIS and CIS-OS)	0	26,756	51,712	54,812	133,280	0	0	0	21,701	30,621	52,322	52,322	52,322		0.00	278,258,220.44	278,258,220.44	
a. Medical Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	0	0	0	3,999	6,779	10,778	10,778			0.00	122,597,962.87	122,597,962.87	
b. Burial Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	0	0	0	249	580	829	829			0.00	8,647,300.00	8,647,300.00	
c. Educational Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	0	0	0	1	0	1	1			0.00	5,000.00	5,000.00	
d.Transportation Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	0	0	0	115	119	234	234			0.00	978,757.57	978,757.57	
e. Food Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	0	0	0	15,754	21,255	37,009	37,009			0.00	111,371,700.00	111,371,700.00	
f. Non-Food Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0.00	0.00	0.00	
g. Other Cash Assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	0	0	0	1,583	1,888	3,471	3,471			0.00	34,657,500.00	34,657,500.00	
Total Combined (Client Category)						0	0	0	21,701	30,621	52,322	52,322						
Family Head and Other Needy Adult (FHONA)						0	0	0	18,434	22,682	41,116	41,116						
Women in Especially Difficult Circumstances (WEDC)						0	0	0	82	2,778	2,860	2,860						
Children in Need of Special Protection (CNSP)						0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Youth in Need of Special Protection (YNSP)						0	0	0	25	34	59	59						
Senior Citizen (SC)						0	0	0	2,955	4,801	7,756	7,756						
Solo Parents					Į .	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Persons With Disability (PWD)						0	0	0	205	326	531	531						
Persons Living with HIV-AIDS (PLHIV)						0	0	0	0	0	0	0					l	

					OBLIGA	TION							DISBUR	SEMENT						
				Amount	002.07		Ut	ilization R	ate			Amount	5.050.0	1	Ut	ilization R	ate		Issues/Concerns	Recommendations/
Program/ Sub-Program/ Performance	Allotment Class	Budget (GAA)	Q1	Q2	Total	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	Total	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	& Challenges	Remarks
POOR, VULNERABLE AND MARGINAL	IZED CITIZENS ARE	EMPOWERED AND	WITH IMPROVED Q	QUALITY OF LIFE																
ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME 2:																				
RIGHTS OF THE POOR AND THE VUL	NERABLE SECTORS	PROMOTED AND F	PROTECTED																	
Grand Total		6,389,672,261.89	1,337,955,090.48	1,818,330,534.21	3,156,285,624.69	20.94%	28.46%	0.00%	0.00%	49.40%	687,638,941.66	1,714,715,965.28	2,402,354,906.94	21.79%	54.33%	0.00%	0.00%	76.11%		
A. RESIDENTIAL AND NON-RESIDENT		GRAM																		
Residential and Non-Residential Care	Facilities																			
TOTAL		692,065,035	254,011,805	108,943,492	362,955,297.05	36.70%						130,393,269		19.17%				55.10%	EGV: The budget for CY 2022 is inadequate knowing the increasing number of	EGV: To increase the budget of EGV because of the increasing needs of additional
Current Appropriation		624,746,595	238,304,697	77,835,489	316,140,185.75	38.14%	12.46%	0.00%	0.00%	50.60%	66,010,322	103,627,220	169,637,542.06	20.88%	32.78%	0.00%	0.00%	53.66%	residents and the need for additional manpower like HP, SWO, AT, Nurses etc. which does not complement to the standards of residents versus staff. The needs of	staff, facilities, needs of residents and others in order to attain best quality of service.
DRF	PS		11.000.000			10.000/	00 500	0.000/	0.000/	40.400	10.000.100	10.000.100		10 1001	44.000/	0.000/	0.000/	05.000	residents in medicines, laboratories and other medical problem as well as sunnies.	NK: Request a budget for Infrastructure Project to ORCC - Field Office in compliance
	10	224,327,000	44,023,238	52,870,213	96,893,451.35	19.62%	23.57% 7.02%	0.00%	0.00%	43.19%		40,653,186	82,708,623.41			0.00%	0.00%			to RIC / NIC Assesment Result.
CMF	MOOE	355,429,000	194,281,458	24,965,276	219,246,734.40	54.66%	7.02%	0.00%	0.00%	61.69%	23,954,885	62,921,255	86,876,139.65	10.93%	28.70%	0.00%	0.00%	39.62%	NK: No budget for Infrastructure project of Nayon ng Kabataan needed for Level 3	HFW: To submit quotation for qualified bidder for the repair of facilities
CMF	MOOE	44.990.595	0	^	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	,	52.779	52,779.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DD/(0)	Accreditation.	nrw. To submit quotation for qualified bloder for the repair of lacifies
Continuing Appropriation	WICCE	67.318.440	15.707.109	31.108.003	0.00 46.815.111.30	23.33%						26.766.049	30.339.379.59							IACAT-TIP Center: To allocate additional fund for Provision of food and office
DRF		67,310,440	15,707,109	31,100,003	40,013,111.30	23.33%	40.21%	0.00%	0.00%	09.34%	3,573,330	20,766,049	30,339,379.39	7.03%	37.17%	0.00%	0.00%	04.01%	RSCC: Activities and utilization of funds were affected due to the proposed transfer of	
	MOOE	63,708,657	15,707,109	31,108,003	46,815,111.30	24.65%	48.83%	0.00%	0.00%	73.48%	3,573,330	26,766,049	30,339,379.59	7.63%	57.17%	0.00%	0.00%	64.81%	RSCC's operation to Haven for Women which causes some changes in the schedule and conduct of activities.	worker for Operation and Maintainace of Center and Pirovision of Office Equipment destop, Printer, Projector, and other necessary matrials.
CMF																			GRACES: There are saving for realignment foculing on the minor repair of the facility	IEC: 100% obligation was subanded as as before Assessed 21, 2022. Can be fully
	MOOE	2,760,657	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0	0.00			#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0	in a constraint of the accorditation and accommon Anti-Man ways conducted anima	utilized by way of frontoading of other expenses under Direct Release in coordination
	CO	849,126	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	6	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0	late hidea of staff ( lune only): subsidies for days are will be conducted on 4th Quarte	with the Rudget Section
B. Supplementary Feeding Sub-Progra	am																			
Supplementary Feeding Program						4.0004	4.000/			5.000			4 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0		4.000		2 222/			
TOTAL Current Appropriation		220,017,477 207,763.000	2,990,073 2,990,073	10,126,137 92,932	13,116,209.91 3.083.004.35	1.36%			0.00%			638,819	1,046,386.03 1.046.386.03				0.00%			
DRF		207,763,000	2,990,073	92,932	3,083,004.35	1.44%	0.04%	0.00%	0.00%	1.48%	407,567	638,819	1,046,386.03	13.22%	20.72%	0.00%	0.00%	33.94%	4	
DRF	MOOE	207.763.000	2.990.073	92.932	3,083,004.35	1.44%	0.04%	0.00%	0.00%	1.48%	407.567	638.819	1.046.386.03	13.22%	20.72%	0.00%	0.000/	33.94%	4	
CMF	WICCE	207,763,000	2,990,073	92,932	3,063,004.33	1.44%	0.04%	0.00%	0.00%	1.40%	407,367	030,019	1,040,366.03	13.22%	20.72%	0.00%	0.00%	33.947	Procurement Process is ongoing for the implementation of 12th Cycle Feeding	Reamining balance was modified for the procurement of the additional Micro Nutrient
Continuing Appropriation		12,254,477	0	10,033,206	10,033,205.56	0.00%	81.87%	0.00%	0.00%	81.87%			0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		Mix Powder to undernourished children beneficiaries in the 17 LGUs of the National
DRF		12,234,477	-	10,000,200	10,033,203.30	0.0070	01.07 /0	0.0070	0.00 /	01.07 /	,	•	0.00	0.0076	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.007		Capital Region.
5111	MOOE	2.076.529	0	1.700.133	1.700.132.56	0.00%	81.87%	0.00%	0.00%	81.87%		0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
CMF	III.OOL	2,010,020	Ü	1,700,100	1,100,102.00	0.0070	01.0770	0.0070	0.0070	01.017			0.00	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.007	1	
	MOOE	10.177.948	0	8.333.073	8.333.073.00	0.00%	81.87%	0.00%	0.00%	81.87%	, C	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
C. Social Welfare for Senior Citizens S	Sub-Program																			
Social Pension for Indigent Senior Cit	izens																			
TOTAL		1,514,224,226	26,468,277	595,960,190	622,428,466.68	1.75%	39.36%	0.00%	0.00%	41.11%	4,784,429	385,382,696	390,167,125.16	0.77%	61.92%	0.00%	0.00%	62.68%		
Current Appropriation		1,361,393,000	23,454,163	448,628,042	472,082,205.76	1.72%	32.95%	0.00%	0.00%	34.68%	4,677,473	237,834,824	242,512,297.92	0.99%	50.38%	0.00%	0.00%	51.37%		
DRF																			A total of PhP8,506,500 of cash advances where returned due to some Social	
	PS	1,554,000	300,217	288,126	588,342.72	19.32%	18.54%	0.00%	0.00%	37.86%		283,076	583,292.55		48.11%		0.00%		Pension Beneficiaries during the conduct of pay-out transferred/changed their	
	MOOE	1,359,839,000	23,153,946	448,339,917	471,493,863.04	1.70%	32.97%	0.00%	0.00%	34.67%	4,377,257	237,551,749	241,929,005.37	0.93%	50.38%	0.00%	0.00%	51.31%	residence without prior notice to the OSCA, CSWDO and DSWD Field Office, hence	
CMF												<b></b>							claiming their SocPen stipend is not leasible because their whereabouts is unknown despite exerted effort of the FO, OSCA, CSWD, and Barangay Staff to located the	
Continuing Appropriation DRF		152,831,226	3,014,114	147,332,147	150,346,260.92	1.97%	96.40%	0.00%	0.00%	98.37%	106,955	147,547,872	147,654,827.24	0.07%	98.14%	0.00%	0.00%	98.21%	beneficiaries, and some are found out to receiving monthly pension from SSS.	
DKI	MOOE	152,831,226	3,014,114	147,332,147	150,346,260.92	1.97%	96.40%	0.00%	0.00%	98.37%	106,955	147,547,872	147,654,827.24	0.07%	98.14%	0.00%	0.00%	98.21%		
CMF																			i	
Implementation of Centenarians Act of	f 2016																			
TOTAL		12,450,882	4,421,164	4,600,000	9,021,164.00	35.51%						3,687,435	7,237,968.59				0.00%	80.23%		
Current Appropriation		12,438,012	4,421,164	4,600,000	9,021,164.00	35.55%	36.98%	0.00%	0.00%	72.53%	3,550,534	3,687,435	7,237,968.59	39.36%	40.88%	0.00%	0.00%	80.23%		
DRF																				
CMF																				
	MOOE	12,438,012	4,421,164	4,600,000	9,021,164.00	35.55%	36.98%	0.00%	0.00%			3,687,435	7,237,968.59		40.88%	0.00%	0.00%			
Continuing Appropriation		12,870	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	6 0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0		
DRF																			4	
CMF	11					0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00					WP-0 ::::	up n (***	# D D (***)	#F 0 (***			
	MOOE	12,870	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	ol C	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0	l	

					OBLIGA	TION							DISBUR	RSEMENT						
/ Program/ Sub-Program/ Performance	Allotment Class	Budget (GAA)		Amount	022.07		Ut	ilization Ra	ate			Amount	2,020.1		U	tilization R	ate		Issues/Concerns	Recommendations/
			Q1	Q2	Total	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	Total	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	& Challenges	Remarks
D. Protective Program for Individuals,	Families and Commi	unities in Need or in	Crisis Sub-Prog	ram																
Protective Services Program TOTAL		3.935.937.895	1.047.633.639	1 097 075 613	2.144.709.251.63	26.62%	27.87%	0.00%	0.00%	54.49%	608.819.564	1 102 868 080	1.801.688.552.76	28.39%	55.62%	0.00%	0.00%	84.01%	ARRS & FCS: Huge percentage ornot yet obligated utilized current tunds can be	
Current Appropriation		3,039,116,471			1,256,508,920.18			0.00%		41.34%			964,591,428.66					76.77%	ARRS & FCS: Huge percentage or not yet obligated utilized current tunds can be attributed to priority given to the utilization of continuing funds with same purposes during the first semester of CY 2022. Continuing vacancies of firee (3) positions with	
DRF																			salary under Centrally Managed Funds - 2 SWO II and 1 AAide IV — also relevantly	
CMF	MOOE	1,821,732,000	0	371,618,311	371,618,311.35	0.00%	20.40%	0.00%	0.00%	20.40%	0	219,086,879	219,086,879.43	0.00%	58.95%	0.00%	0.00%	58.95%	affects the utilization efforts of said funds. Nonetheless, ARRS and FCS are continuously reassessing available funds and needs of the Section, so that	AICS: Delayed issuance of Sub-Allotment Authority (SAA) and Notice of Cash Allocation (NCA) in the first quarters which consequently deferred process flow of
CIVIF	MOOE	1,217,384,471	179,463,713	705,426,896	884,890,608.83	14.74%	57.95%	0.00%	0.00%	72.69%	28,742,531	716,762,018	745,504,549.23	3.25%	81.00%	0.00%	0.00%	84 25%		provision of assistance both through cash and guarantee letters.
Continuing Appropriation	moor	896,821,424		20,030,406	888,200,331.45			0.00%		99.04%		257,020,091	837,097,124.10					94.25%	realignment modification of fund purposes can be properly done for the beneft of the Sections and higher or complete utilization of downloaded funds by the end of fiscal year.	MTAS: The Program/Activity/Projects are pending in the Bidding and Awards
DRF																			<u></u>	Committee (BAC) for processing of procurement.
CMF	MOOE	824,212,681	813,943,470	10,269,211	824,212,680.94	98.75%	1.25%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	580,077,033	194,063,091	774,140,124.10	70.38%	23.55%	0.00%	0.00%	93.92%	CBSS: For the 1st quarter of 2022, notice for cash allocation was only released on March 4, 2022 thereby affecting the approval of the Work and Financial Plan and	
Civii	MOOE	72,608,743	54,226,456	9.761.195	63.987.650.51	74.68%	13,44%	0.00%	0.00%	88.13%	0	62.957.000	62.957.000.00	0.00%	98.39%	0.00%	0.00%	98.39%	Project Proposal despite early submission of the said documents. On March 15,	
Assistance to Persons with Disability	and Older Persons																		atte ma suanav suantina av sin i Francisco av successor a securino a	
TOTAL		910,000	0	0	0.00		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%			0	0.00							
Current Appropriation DRF		590,000	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	1	
CMF						1													1	
	MOOE	590,000	0	0	0.00		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%			0	0.00						Subsidies depend on the clients submission by LGUs.	
Continuing Appropriation		320,000	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	-	
DRF														1					1	
J.II.	MOOE	320,000	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	1	
Unconditional Cash Transfer Program	(UCT)																			
TOTAL		0	0	0		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0! #DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		0	0		#DIV/0! #DIV/0!						
Current Appropriation DRF		0	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	1	
CMF																			1	
Continuing Appropriation		0	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
DRF CMF																			-	
Assistance to Individuals in Crisis Situ	uation (AICS) - (Incli	uded in PSP)																		
TOTAL	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
Current Appropriation		0	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
DRF CMF																			1	
Continuing Appropriation		0	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	1	
DRF																				
CMF																				
Assistance to Communities in Need (A TOTAL	(CN)	0	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/01	#DIV/0!	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
Current Appropriation		0	0	0			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	Ö	ő		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!			1	
DRF																				
CMF					2.00	#DIV/61	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DI\//c1	#DB//C1	<del> </del>		2.00	#DIV/0!	#DI\/%!	#DIV/0!	#DI\//01	#DIV/01	-	
Continuing Appropriation  DRF		0	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	"	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	1	
CMF																				
Comprehensive Program for Street Chi	ildren, Street Famili						4.00				400	40.1		40.5	40.000			20.45		
TOTAL  Current Appropriation		9,306,966 8.672,732	2,083,260 2,083,260	117,346 117,346	2,200,605.84 2,200,605.84			0.00% 0.00%		23.64% 25.37%	408,570 408,570	431,307 431,307	839,877.48 839,877.48	18.57% 18.57%				38.17%		
DRF		0,012,132	2,003,200	117,340	2,200,005.84	24.02%	1.33%	0.00%	0.00%	23.3170	400,570	451,307	033,017.40	10.57%	13.00%	0.00%	0.00%	30.17%	1	Implementation of the project is schedule on Second Semester. The amount of Php 71,596.00 under Training expense was modified for the salary of 1 AAI for the period
CMF																			Sub allotment for subsidies was approved on June 28, 2022 waiting for the	of January-June, 2022
Operational to a American	MOOE	8,672,732	2,083,260	117,346	2,200,605.84			0.00%		25.37%		431,307							downloaded of fund to NCR by STB-CO.	Continuing Fund is for obligation, extension letter for obligation was approved by
Continuing Appropriation DRF		634,233	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	- 0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	1	Regional Director.
CMF											1								1	
	MOOE	634,233	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
Alternative Family Care Program - (Incl TOTAL	luded in PSP)		•		0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/01	#DIV/CI	#DIV/0!	^		0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
Current Appropriation		0	0	0	0.00		#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	0	0	0.00						1	
DRF				Ū	0.00						Ů	Ľ	0.00						]	
CMF	·					up n uc:	#BB##			#BB##:					### P C***	## P (***	#BB2::-			
Continuing Appropriation  DRF		0	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	1	
CMF														<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>			1	
O.W.I						•					•									•

					OBLIGA	TION							DISBUR	SEMENT						
Program/ Sub-Program/ Performance	Allatmant Class	Budget (GAA)		Amount			Ut	ilization Ra	ite			Amount			Ut	ilization Ra	ite		Issues/Concerns	Recommendations/
Programy Sub-Programy Performance	Allottilent Class	Budget (GAA)	Q1	Q2	Total	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	Total	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	& Challenges	Remarks
E. Social Welfare for Distressed Overse	eas Filipinos and Tra	afficked Persons S	ub-Program																	
Recovery and Reintegration Program F	or Traffic Persons (	RRPTP)																		
TOTAL		4,212,140	346,873	1,499,645	1,846,518.00	8.24%	35.60%	0.00%	0.00%	43.84%	84,625	1,305,338	1,389,963.69	4.58%	70.69%	0.00%	0.00%	75.27%		
Current Appropriation		1,681,600	326,873	0	326,873.00	19.44%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	19.44%	84,625	24,156	108,781.25	25.89%	7.39%	0.00%	0.00%	33.28%		
DRF					,															
	MOOE	1,514,000	326,873	0	326,873.00	21.59%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	21.59%	84,625	24,156	108,781.25	25.89%	7.39%	0.00%	0.00%	33.28%		
CMF																			There was a delay in submitting the project proposal due to the inputs and comments	
	MOOE	167,600	0	0	0.00				0.00%			0		#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	needed for it to be enhanced. There is a need for enchancement of documents of	
Continuing Appropriation		2,530,540	20,000	1,499,645	1,519,645.00	0.79%	59.26%	0.00%	0.00%	60.05%	0	1,281,182	1,281,182.44	0.00%	84.31%	0.00%	0.00%	84.31%	clients due to compliance . Hence the obligation but not yet utilized.	
DRF																				
	MOOE	732,520	20,000	476,545	496,545.00	2.73%	65.06%	0.00%	0.00%	67.79%	. 0	323,941	323,940.68	0.00%	65.24%	0.00%	0.00%	65.24%		
CMF																				
	MOOE	1,798,020		1,023,100	1,023,100.00	0.00%	56.90%	0.00%	0.00%	56.90%	0	957,242	957,241.76	0.00%	93.56%	0.00%	0.00%	93.56%		
Services to Overseas Filipinos and the	eir Familes (Internati		es Office - ISSO)																	
TOTAL		547,641	0	8,112	8,111.58							8,112	8,111.58		100.00%			100.00%		
Current Appropriation		463,811	0	8,112	8,111.58	0.00%	1.75%	0.00%	0.00%	1.75%	0	8,112	8,111.58	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%		
DRF																				
CMF	11005	100.011		0.110		0.000/	4 750/	0.000/	0.000/			0.110		0.000/	400.000	0.000/	0.000/			
	MOOE	463,811	0	8,112	8,111.58		1.75%		0.00%			8,112	8,111.58					100.00%		
Continuing Appropriation		83,831	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1 0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
DRF							-													
CMF	MOOF	83.831	^		0.00	0.000/	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.000/			0.00	#DIV#01	#DIV #01	#DIV/01	#DIV #01	#DIV/0!		
	MOOE	83,831	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	υ.00%	0.00%	1 0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		

	Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/		Physica	l Targets			Phy	sical Accor	nplishments	5					Ass	sessme	nt of		
	Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	1st Semester	Q3	Q4	2nd Semester	- Annual Total	Variance		Variand	е	Reasons for Variance	Steering Measures/Remarks
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)							(11)=(7)+(8)+(9)+(1 0)	(12)=(11)-(6)	Major	Minor	Full target Achieved	(13)	(19)
	tegic Focus 1: Increase capacity of L																		
	GANIZATIONAL OUTCOME 3: IMMEDIA ASTER RESPONSE AND MANAGEME		D EARLY REC	OVERY OF DI	SASTER VICTIM	IS/SURVIVORS	ENSURED												
Dis	Outcome Indicators	TROGRAM																	
3.1	stage	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				FO - NCR has no provision of early recovery services for clients as of the moment.	The DRMD responds to the requests of LGUs. Thus, provision of augmentation support for the affected families.
	Output Indicators																	Posed on Learning Development Plan	
3.1	Number of trained DSWD QRT members ready for deployment on disaster response	-	30	420	-	450	28	56	84			0	84	54	180%			Based on Learning Development Plan and Modified Disbursement Plan, the QRT trainings should start in the 3rd Quarter CY 2022. However, the DRMD implemented ahead of time to avoid conflict of schedules for other equally important activities of the Region.	
3.3	Number of poor households that received cash-for-work for CCAM	-	-	20,500	-	20,500	-	-	0			0	0	-	#DIV/0!				The CFW implementation will start in 3rd Quarter CY 2022.
3.4	Number of LGUs provided with augmention on disaster response services	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	13	12	14			0	14	-				Augmentation to LGUs are based from requests.  Processing of relief augmentation requests by the disaster-affected LGUs based on assessment.	Processing of relief augmentation requests through managing and mobilizing resources, food and non-food items, in an effective, efficient and coordinated manner.  For 2nd Quarter CY 2022, a total of PhP2,106,410.08 worth of assistance was given to 3,200 familities with 14,799 individuals affected by COVID-19, PhP 1,059,624.00 assistance was provided to 1,700 families with 6,700 individuals affected by Flash Floods, PhP22,592.94 worth of assistance was given to 37 families with 137 individuals affected by Collapse Structure, and PhP1,102,447.92 in assistance was provided to 1,769 families with 7,076 individuals given for Community Outreaches/Others. These were coursed through/requested by the LGUs, Government Agencies (GAs), Non-Government Organization (POs), and legislators, including referrals and walk in clicate.
3.5	Number of internally displaced households provided with disaster response services	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	24,958	9,929	34,887			0	34,887	-					Coordination with the Local Government Unit (LGU), monitoring, and provision of relief augmentation to the disaster-affected communities.

,	trategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/		Physica	l Targets			Phy	sical Acco	mplishments	•			Annual Total	Variance	Ass	essme	nt of	Reasons for Variance	Steering Measures/Remarks
	Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	1st Semester	Q3	Q4	2nd Semester	Annual Total	variance		Varian	e	Reasons for Variance	Steering Measures/Remarks
3.6	Cash for Work for Community Works	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					
3.7	Food for Work for Community Works	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					
3.8	Number of households with damaged houses provided with early recovery services																		
	Emergency Shelter Assistance																		
	Partially Damage	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					DSWD-NCR has no Emergency Shelter Assistance (ESA) Program.
	Totally Damage	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					Affected families are provided with assistance through CIS-Onsite/Offsite
3.9	7. Percentage compliance to the mandated stockpile	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				Voluminous requests of affected LGUs and various intermediaries brought about by the COVID-19 and other disasters, hence, resulting to low level of stockpile.	The discussion on the required stockpile for the Field Offices is still on process. There is no final guidelines yet provided by the Central Office.

					OBLIG	ATION							DISBU	RSEMENT						
Objective/ Program/ Sub-Program/	Allotment Class	Budget (GAA)		Amount			Perc	ent Utiliz	ation			Amount			Perc	ent Utiliz	ation		Issues/Concerns & Challenges	Recommendation/
Performance Indicator	Anotherit Class	Budget (GAA)	Q1	Q2	Total	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	Total	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	- issues/concerns & challenges	Remarks
POOR, VULNERABLE AND MARGINALIZE	D CITIZENS ARE E	MPOWERED AN	D WITH IMPROVE	ED QUALITY O	FLIFE															
<b>ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME 3: IMMED</b>	IATE RELIEF AND E	EARLY RECOVER	RY OF DISASTERN	/ICTIMS/SURV	<b>IVORS ENSURED</b>	)														
DISASTER RESPONSE AND MANAGEME	NT PROGRAM																			
Grand Total		177,550,118.00	6,085,998.53	34,988,617.41	41,074,615.94	3.43%	19.71%	0.00%	0.00%	23.13%	1,081,225.27	2,541,361.01	3,622,586.28	2.63%	6.19%	0.00%	0.00%	8.82%		
Disaster Response and Rehabilitation Pro	ogram																			
TOTAL		137,815,738	6,085,999	5,510,617	11,596,615.94	4.42%	4.00%	0.00%	0.00%	8.41%	1,081,225	2,541,361	3,622,586.28	9.32%	21.91%	0.00%	0.00%	31.24%	The implementation of trainings	
Current Appropriation	)	134,736,166	6,085,999	5,432,652	11,518,650.79	4.52%	4.03%	0.00%	0.00%	8.55%	1,081,225	2,527,666	3,608,891.28	9.39%	21.94%	0.00%	0.00%	31.33%	were implemented in advance to	
DRF																			give time in the prepartion for the	
CMF																			Regional Anniversary and for the	
	MOOE	134,736,166	6,085,999	5,432,652	11,518,650.79	4.52%	4.03%	0.00%	0.00%	8.55%	1,081,225	2,527,666	3,608,891.28	9.39%	21.94%	0.00%	0.00%	31.33%	PIR on DRMD and the	
Continuing Appropriation	n i	3.079.572	0	77,965	77,965.15	0.00%	2.53%	0.00%	0.00%	2.53%	0	13,695	13,695.00	0.00%	17.57%	0.00%	0.00%	17.57%	implementation of Cash for Work.	
DRF		- 7 - 7 -		,	,							,	,						Most of the office supplies and	
CMF																			materials that are already	
01111	MOOE	3.079.572	0	77.965	77.965.15	0.00%	2.53%	0.00%	0.00%	2.53%	0	13.695	13.695.00	0.00%	17.57%	0.00%	0.00%	17.57%	obligated are still for delivery by	
National Resource Operation		0,010,01		,	,	0.0070		0.0070	0.0070			10,000	10,000.00	0.0070		0.0070	0.0070			
TOTAL		0	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0		
Current Appropriation	1	0	0	0		#DIV/0!					0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	#DIV/0		
DRF											-	-	0.00							
CMF																				
Continuing Appropriation		0	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0	i	
DRF		ŭ	Ť	·	0.00		<i>"</i> 2.0,0.	<i></i> <b> </b>				,	0.00			<i></i> <b></b>			_	
CMF						1														
Quick Response Fund																				
TOTAL		39.734.380	n	29.478.000	29.478.000.00	0.00%	74.19%	0.00%	0.00%	74.19%	n	n	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
Current Appropriation	1	38.125.217	0	29,478,000	-, -,			0.00%		77.32%		0	0.00		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	The inspection team of FO-NCR	
DRF		30,123,217	•	23,710,000	23,470,000.00	0.00 /6	11.52/0	J.00 /6	J.UU /0	11.52/0		l	0.00	0.00/0	0.00 /0	0.00/8	0.00/0	0.00 /	rejected the FFPs by the winning	
CMF			<b>-</b>																	request for augmentation of food
Civil	MOOE	38.125.217	0	29.478.000	29.478.000.00	0.00%	77.32%	0.00%	0.00%	77.32%	0	^	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		items to DSWD- Central Office.
Continuing Appropriation		1.609.163	0	29,470,000		0.00%	0.00%			0.00%	0	U		#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!		Regional Office, which may delay	Also, BAC and Procurement
DRF		1,009,103	U	U	0.00	0.00 /6	0.00 /6	0.00 /6	0.00 /6	0.00 /6			0.00	#DIV/U:	#DIV/0:	#DIV/U:	#DIV/0:	#514/0	the distribution of relief goods to	Office should fast track the
CMF			+			<b>-</b>										1				procurement of goods.
CIVIF	MOOE	1,609,163	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DI\//01	#DIV/01	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0	assistance.	
	IVIOUE	1,009,103	U	U	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	U	U	0.00	#017/0!	#DIV/U!	#DIV/U!	#10/10!	#017/0	·1	

		Phy	ysical Tarç	gets				sical ishments				sessmer			
Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/ Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	1st Semester	Total	Variance	Major	Variance Minor	Full target	Reasons for Variance	Steering Measures
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(13)=(7)+(8)+ (10)+(11)	(14)=(13)-(6)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)
Strategic Focus 2: Improve well-being of	Beneficiari	es and 4P	s househ	olds throu	igh streng	thened soc	ial welfare s	ystem							
ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME 4: CONTIN	UING COM	PLIANCE	OF SOCIA	L WELFA	RE AND D	EVELOPME	NT AGENCI	ES TO STAN	IDARDS IN 1	THE DELIVI	ERY OF	SOCIAL	WELFA	RE SERVICES ENSURED	
OUTCOME INDICATORS															
Percentage of SWAs, SWDAs and service providers with sustained compliance to social welfare and development standards	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.00%	100%	100.00%	100.00%	10.00%		10%			
Total number of SWAs, SWDAs and service providers	15	15	37	37	104	11	22	33	33	30				Continuous conduct of orientation, monitoring and	Continous virtual or actual monitoring visit to SWDAs to
Total number of SWAs, SWDAs and service providers with sustained compliance to social welfare and development standards	15	15	37	37	104	11	22	33	33	3			provision of technical assis to SWDAs with expired RL inactive SWDAs which help promote compliance to set standards. The remaining S with valid Registration and	provision of technical assistance to SWDAs with expired RL and inactive SWDAs which help promote compliance to set	monitor their operation and provide teachnical assistance in compliance to standard regulations.
a. Registered and Licensed SWAs	15	15	37	37	104	11	22	33	33	3					
b. Accredited SWDAs														License to Operate Certificate will	
b.1 Level 1 Accreditation														be monitored in the 2nd Semester	
b.2 Level 2 Accreditation														of 2022.	
b.3 Level 3 Accreditation															
c. Accredited Service Providers															
OUTPUT INDICATORS															
2 Number of SWAs and SWDAs registered, licensed and accredited															
a. Registered Private SWDAs	7	8	7	8	30	16	20	36	36	21	140%		Standards Section staff.  Validation assessment to S were conducted through on January to February while a visit for SWA has started in 2022. Intensified follow-up conduct of validation asses to SWDAs compliant with th documentary requirements	Validation assessment to SWDAs were conducted through online in January to February while actual	Standards Section continously provide technical assistance and followed-up submission of documents from SWDAs to
b. Licensed Private SWAs and Auxiliary SWDAs	7	8	7	8	30	17	19	36	36	21	140%			2022 Intensified follow up and	comply with the requirements pe MC 17 or the Guidelines for Registration, Licensing and Accreditation.

0		Ph	ysical Tarç	gets				sical lishments				sessmer Variance		B	
Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/ Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	1st Semester	Total	Variance		Minor	Full	Reasons for Variance	Steering Measures
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(13)=(7)+(8)+ (10)+(11)	(14)=(13)-(6)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)
c. Pre-accreditation Assessment SWAs	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	3	3					
c.1. Level 1 Pre-Accreditation Assessment	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	3	3				Monitoring visits were conducted by the Regional Inspectorate Committee to NVRC, Marillac Hills, Ina Healing Center, and Nayon ng Kabataan. Likewise, monitoring visits were conducted by the Standards Section with the	Continuous provision of technical assitance and follow through actions to LGU and DSWD operated center and Residential Facillities.  Note: The following Private SWAs werepre-assessed as of June 2022:
c.1.1. DSWD-Operated Residential Facilities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				Social Welfare Specialist Group to eight (8) Centers	Tanglaw-Touch Care Foundation, Inc.     Meritxell Children's World
c.1.2. LGU-Managed Facilities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				managed by the Local Government Units.	Foundation, Inc. 3. St. Vincent De Paul Shelter Fo
c.1.3. Private SWAs	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	3	3	3					Girls Inc.
c.2. Level 2 Pre-Accreditation Assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				The Standards Section continuously provide technical assistance session to various	Continuous provision of technical
c.2.1. DSWD-Operated Residential Facilities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				LGUs. Further, monitoring visit to LGUS ansd DSWD Centers are	assitance and follow through actions to LGU and DSWD operated center and Residential
c.2.2. LGU-Managed Facilities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				scheduled within 2nd Semester	Facillities.
c.2.3. Private SWAs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				CY 2022.	
c.3. Level 3 Pre-Accreditation Assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				No Center was pre-assessed for accreditation for the 2nd Quarter	Continuous provision of technical
c.3.1. DSWD-Operated Residential Facilities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			accreditation for the 2nd Qu but the Standards Section continuously provide techni assistance on compliance to	but the Standards Section continuously provide technical	assitance and follow through actions to LGU Heads and focal
c.3.2. LGU-Managed Facilities	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-				assistance on compliance to standard for Accreditation.	persons.
c.3.3. Private SWAs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_					

	2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,		Phy	ysical Tarç	gets			•	sical ishments				sessmen Variance		_ , , , .	
	Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/ Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	1st Semester	Total	Variance		Minor	Full target	Reasons for Variance	Steering Measures
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(13)=(7)+(8)+ (10)+(11)	(14)=(13)-(6)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)
3	No. of DSWD CRCF assessed for accreditation (level 1 and 2)	NO TARGET	NO TARGET	NO TARGET	NO TARGET	NO TARGET	0	0	0	0	0				Note: No CRCFs assessed for accreditation as level 1 and 2 within the reporting period covered.	Note: Accreditation shall be facilitated by the Standards Bureau while Pre-assessment
4	No. of DSWD CRCF certified for Excellence	NO TARGET	NO TARGET	NO TARGET	NO TARGET	NO TARGET	0	0	0	0	0				Note: No CRCFs certified for Excellence within the reporting period covered.	shall be facilitated by the FO-NCR
5	Beneficiary CSO Accredited	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	100%	3	0	3	3	0				All applications received for Accrediation is from the Sustainable Livelihood Program.	Provisio of technical assistance and conduct of virtual monitoring to CSO-SLPAs.
6	Number of service providers accredited	102	80	63	603	848	195	2	197	197	93	91%				
	Pre-Marriage Counselor	2	0	3	3	8	2	2	4	4	2	100%			There were four (4) applications received and issued with Accreditation Certificate for this Semester.	The Standards Section has coordinated with LGUs particualrly the Marikina, Malabon, Manila, and Valenzuela relative to the conduct of PMC and Accreditation of Pre-Marriage Counselors.
	DCWs(ECCD Services)	50	40	30	300	420	103	0	103	103	13		14%		Application for DCCs and DCWs Accreditation from LGU of Manila	Continuous coordination and follow-up to LGUs through official letter, electronic mail and others
	DCCs(ECCD Services)	50	40	30	300	420	90	0	90	90	0			Application for DCCs and DCV	July 2022.	mean of communication to ensure their submission and compliance.
	SWMCCs (Pre-assessed for Accreditation)	1	1	1	2	5	0	2	2	2	0			0%	Social Workers from the FO- Centers were provided with technical assistance on accreditation requirements and their applications are expected to receive and process within the Second Semester of 2022.	Continuous advocacy and coordination with partner Stakeholders.

	20 1 1 1 1 1 1		Phy	sical Targ	jets			•	sical ishments				sessmer Variance		_ , , , ,	
	Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/ Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	1st Semester	Total	Variance		Minor	Full	Reasons for Variance	Steering Measures
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(13)=(7)+(8)+ (10)+(11)	(14)=(13)-(6)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)
	Percentage of SWDAs with RLA , certificates issued within 30 working days upon receipt of compliant application	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%			0%		
	Total no. of compliant application received	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	33	39	72	72	0			are intending to operate ca process first their registrati then, they are given at leas		Standards Section facilitates processing of complete applications and documentary
	No. of SWDAs with RLA certificates issued within 30 working days upon receipt of compliant application	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	33	39	72	72	0			process first their registration		requirements within the set timeline per MC 17 series of 2018.
8	Percentage of detected violations/complaints acted upon within 7 working days	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%			0%		
	Total no. of violations/complaints detected	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	2	1	3	3	0			within the Semester and acte	Three (3) complaints received within the Semester and acted	Continous provision of technical assistance to organization in accordance with the guildeines on
	No. of detected violations/complaints acted upon within 7 working days	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	2	1	3	3	0				upon within the timeline of seven (7) working days.	handling complaints againsts SWDAs.

					OBLI	GATION							DISBUI	RSEMENT	-					
Objective/ Program/ Sub-Program/	Allotment Class	Budget (GAA)		Amount			Perc	ent Utiliza	ation			Amount			Perc	ent Utiliz	ation		Issues/Concerns &	Recommendation/
Performance Indicator	Allottilett Glass	budget (GAA)	Q1	Q2	Total	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	Total	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Challenges	Remarks
POOR, VULNERABLE AND MARGINA	LIZED CITIZENS A	RE EMPOWERED	AND WITH IMP	ROVED QUAL	ITY OF LIFE															
ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME 4: CON	ITINUING COMPLIA	ANCE OF SOCIAL	WELFARE AN	DEVELOPME	NT AGENCIES	TO STAN	NDARDS I	N THE DE	LIVERY (	OF SOCIA	L WELFARE SE	ERVICES ENSU	RED							
SOCIAL WELFARE AND DEVELOPME	NT AGENCIES RE	GULATORY PRO	GRAM																	
Grand Total		1,112,730.00	305,268.00	293,083.00	598,351.00	27.43%	26.34%	0.00%	0.00%	53.77%	62,963.56	75,602.77	138,566.33	10.52%	12.64%	0.00%	0.00%	23.16%		
Standards-setting, Licensing, Accred	itation and Monitor	ring Services																		
TOTAL		1,112,730	305,268	293,083	598,351.00	27.43%	26.34%	0.00%	0.00%	53.77%	62,964	75,603	138,566.33	10.52%	12.64%	0.00%	0.00%	23.16%		
Current Appropriation		902,730	305,268	83,083	388,351.00	33.82%	9.20%	0.00%	0.00%	43.02%	62,964	75,603	138,566.33	16.21%	19.47%	0.00%	0.00%	35.68%		
DRF																			Ongoing processing of	
CMF																				r or utilization in the
	MOOE	902,730	305,268	83,083	388,351.00	33.82%	9.20%	0.00%	0.00%	43.02%	62,964	75,603	138,566.33	16.21%	19.47%	0.00%	0.00%	35.68%	rowards asmanasa ta	2nd Semester CY
Continuing Appropriation		210,000	0	210,000	210,000.00	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	accredited SWDAs	2022
DRF																			acciedited SWDAs	
CMF																				
	MOOE	210,000	0	210,000	210,000.00	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		

:	Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/		Phy	sical Tar	rgets					Physica	Accomp	lishments	3			Variance		essmer arianc		Reasons for Variance/	Steering Measures
	Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total		Q1			Q2			Total			Major	Minor	Full target Achieved	Other Remarks	· ·
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		(7)			(8)			=(7)+(8)+(9)		(12)=(11)-(6)				(13)	(19)
Strategic	Focus 1: Increase capacity of LGUs to im ATIONAL OUTCOME 5: DELIVERY OF SO	Prove th	e deliver	y of soci	ial protec	tion and	SOCIAL WE	elfare serv	vices	NMENT	LINITS TI	ADOLICH	LOCALS	SOCIAL W	FLEADE	AND DEVELOR	MENT O	EEICE	SIMDD	OVED	
ONGANIZ			LI AILL	NO DEV	LEGITIM	1	CINAMO I	LOCA	GOVE	(IAINI EIAI		l	LOCAL	T T	LLI AILL	AND DEVELOR	I O	IIICE	J IIVII IN	I	
Outcome																					
5.1	Percentage of LSWDOs with improved functionality					Percent	Total No. of LGUs	LGUs with improved	Percent	Total No. of LGUs	LGUs with improved	Percent	Total No. of LGUs	LGUs with improved	Percent						
Baseline	Result:																				
	a. Level 1					(no of LSWDO)															
	a.2 City						-	-	-		-	-		-	-						
	a.3 Municipality					(no of	-	-	-		-	-		-	-						
	b. Level 2					LSWDO)															
	b.2 City b.3 Municipality						-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-						
	c. Level 3					(no of	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-						
	c.2 City					LSWDO)	_	_	_		_	_		_	_						
	c.3 Municipality						-	-	-		-	-		-	-						
	d. Low Service Delivery					(no of LSWDO)															
	d.2 City						-	-	-		-	-		-	-						
A	d.3 Municipality						-	-	-		-	-		-	-						
ASSESSIII	a. Level 1												Total LSWDO	Improved from PF to F	Percent						
	a.2 City													lo F							
	a.3 Municipality																				
	b. Level 2												Total LSWDO	Improved from F to	Percent					3rd Quarter CY 2022 as requested by an	reparatory meetings with LGUs e being conducted prior to the
	b.2 City																				onduct of the actual assessment lidation.
	b.3 Municipality																				indation.
	c. Level 3			13		13	-	-	0%		_	0%	Total LSWDO	from PF	Percent					Note: 85% or 13 out of 15 LGUs were targeted for re-assessment for 2nd	
	c.2 City			12		12	-	-	0%			0%		-	0%					Semester consistent with the Strategic Contributions of the Department.	
	c.3 Municipality			1		1	-	-	0%		-	0%		-	0%						
	d. Low Service Delivery												Total LSWDO	from PF	Percent						
	d.2 City																				
	d.3 Municipality																				

	Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/		Phy	sical Tar	gets					Physical	Accomp	lishments	3			Variance		essmen		Reasons for Variance/	Steering Measures
	Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total		Q1			Q2			Total			Major	Minor	Full target Achieved	Other Remarks	3
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		(7)			(8)		(11):	=(7)+(8)+(9)	)+(10)	(12)=(11)-(6)				(13)	(19)
Output In	dicators																				
5.2	Number of LGUs assess in terms of their functionality level along delivery of social protection	-	-	13	-	13	Total No. of LGUs	LGUs with improved functional ity		Total No. of LGUs	LGUs with improved functional ity	Percent	Total No. of LGUs	LGUs with improved functional ity	Percent					Re-assessment of LGUs is scheduled on 3rd Quarter CY 2022 as requested by LGUs in consideration of the recent election.	Preparatory meetings with LGUs are being conducted prior to the conduct of the actual assessment validation.
	City	-	-	12	1	12	-	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	-	0%	-			0%		
	Municipality	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	0%	-	-		-	-	0%						
5.3	Percentage of LGUs provided with technical assistance	100% (17/17)	100% (17/17)	100% (17/17)	100% (17/17)	100% (17/17)	No.of LGUs	No. of LGUs provided TA	Percent	No.of LGUs	No. of LGUs provided TA	Percent	No.of LGUs	No. of LGUs provided TA	Percent	-			0%	Regular TAs are continuously being provided by the Field Office on top of the requests received from partners.	
							17	17	100%	17	17	100%	17	17	100%						
5.4	Number of LGUs provided with technical	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	No. of	LGUs provid	ded TA	No. o	f LGUs provid	led TA	No. o	LGUs provid	ded TA					TA sessions for 2nd Quarter CY 2022	
	assistance using digital platforms along social protection							2			0			0		-				provided to LGUs were conducted face to face.	
	Number of learning and development interventions provided to LGUs (through LSWDOs)	-	1	3	2	6		0			0			0		1	-100%			The target LDI was not conducted due to the following reasons:  -The planned mode of learning was changed from online to face to face -The Work and Financial Plan for 2022 was amended and still for approvalProcurement fo Lease of Venue was still on process	-All LDIs will be conducted on the second semesterContinous provision of technical assistance through conduct of webinars, training and orientation to the LGUs.

5	Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/		Phy	sical Tar	rgets					Physical	Accompl	ishment	s			Variance		essment of ariance	Reasons for Variance/	Steering Measures
	Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total		Q1			Q2			Total			Major	Minor Full target Achieved	Other Remarks	g management
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		(7)			(8)		(11):	=(7)+(8)+(9)	+(10)	(12)=(11)-(6)			(13)	(19)
5.5	Percentage of LGUs provided with resource augmentation	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	No. of LGU request RA	No. of LGUs provided RA	Percent	No. of LGU request RA	No. of LGUs provided RA	Percent	No. of LGU request RA	No. of LGUs provided RA	Percent	-			Note: The following LGUs were provided resource augmentation amounting to PhP20,261,773.79 coursed	Augmentation to LGUs are based from requests.
							13	13	100%	12	12	100%	14	14	100%				through/requested by the Local Government Units (LGUs), various legislators, walk-in clients and other organizations from January to June 2022: 1. Quezon City 2. Manila 3. Pasig 4. Las Piñas 5. Makati City 6. Caloocan 7. Taguig 8. Mandaluyong 9. Malabon 10. Muntinlupa 11. Pasay 12. Valenzuela 13. Pateros 14. Marikina	Ensure availability of resources to continuously provide augmentation support to disaster-affected individuals, groups, and communities.
5.6	Percentage of LGUs that rated TA provided as satisfactory or better	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	Total no. of LGUs provided TA (participate d in the client satisfaction fool)	Total no. of LGUs rated TA as satisfactory or better	Percent	Total no. of LGUs provided TA (participate d in the client satisfaction fool)	Total no. of LGUs rated TA as satisfactory or better	Percent	Total no. of LGUs provided TA (participate d in the client satisfaction fool)	Total no. of LGUs rated TA as satisfactory or better	Percent	-			LGUs provided with TA but did not participate in the Client Satisfaction Survey despite inclusion in the official communication.	Encourage partners to participate in the survey and include it in the activity requirements whenever possible.
							3	3	0%	0	0	#DIV/0!	0	0	0%					
5.7	Percentage of LGUs that rated RA provided as satisfactory or better	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	Total no. of LGUs provided RA	Total no. of LGUs rated TA as satisfactory or better	Percent	Total no. of LGUs provided RA	Total no. of LGUs rated RA as satisfactory or better	Percent	Total no. of LGUs provided RA	Total no. of LGUs rated RA as satisfactory or better	Percent	-		0%	Note: The FO-NCR DRMD utilized the Client Satisfaction Tool of ARTU to measure the satisfaction of the LGUs.	The Client Satisfaction Measurement Form shall be administered to all requesting parties as basis for futher improvement of rendered service. Ratings for the 2nd Quarter CY
							13	13	100%	12	12	100%	14	14	100%				All 14 LGUs provided with resource augmentation provided the services satisfactory or better as of 1st Semester CY 2022.	2022 are as follows: April 2022 - 5.00/5.00 (VS) May 2022 - 4.69/5.00 (S) June 2022 - 5.00/5.00 (VS)

#### Other Technical Assistance (Tas) Provided to LGUs from January to June 2022:

	Acitivity	Mode of TA	Date	Participating LGUs
Technical A	Assistance and Resource Augmentation	•		
1	Service Delivery Assessment Preparatory Meeting	Face to Face	June 14 and 23, 2022	Taguig Caloocan City
2	TA on Case Management/Re-assessment in line with Accreditation	Face to Face	February 4, 2022	Malabon City
Social Wel	fare Specialists Group			
3	TA on Case Management/Re-assessment in line with Accreditation	Face to Face	January 25, 2022	Valenzuela City
4	TA on Case Management/Re-assessment in line with Accreditation	Face to Face	February 4, 2022	Malabon City
5	TA on Case Management/Re-assessment in line with Accreditation	Face to Face	February 28, 2022	Paranaque City
Social Tec	hnology Unit and LGU Coordinators			
6	TA on TARA Planning in line with development of Devolution Transition Plan	Face to Face	February 28, 2022	Malabon City
7	TA on preparation on CSWDO Service Delivery Assessment	Face to Face	March 22, 2022	Malabon City
Capability	Building Section			
8	Provision of Resource Person: Training Workshop on New ECCD Curriculum Refresher Course and its New Guideline Program Implementation	Face to Face	June 23-24, 2022	Pateros
Policy Dev	relopment and Planning Section			
9	DSWD-NCR Policy Forum on DSWD Proposed Bills, Issuances and Policies" Topic: National Disability Affairs Commission Act	Face to Face	April 1, 2022	Malabon City, San Juan, Caloocan, Las Piñas, Makati, Marikina, Parañaque, Pasay, Pasig, Taguig, Valenzuela, Pateros, Quezon City, Mandaluyong, Navotas
Standards				
10	Bahay Kanlungan Pre-Assessment Review / Conference	Face to Face	April 1, 2022	Valenzuela City
	le Livelihood Program			
11	Orientation on SLP Program Implementaion	Face to Face	April 29, May 3 and May 4, 2022	Valenzuela, Caloocan, Pasig City

#### QUARTERLY ACCOMPLISHMENT REPORT FY 2022

						OBLIGATIO	N						DISBUR	SEMENT						
Objective/ Program/ Sub-Program/	Allotment Class	Budget (GAA)		Amount			Pe	rcent Utiliza	tion			Amount			Perc	ent Utiliz	ation		Issues/Concerns & Challenges	Recommendation/
Performance Indicator	Anothient Class	Budget (GAA)	Q1	Q2	Total	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	Total	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	issues/Concerns & Challenges	Remarks
POOR, VULNERABLE AND MARGINAL																				
ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME 5: DEL	IVERY OF SOCIAL	WELFARE AND	DEVELOPMENT	PROGRAMS B	Y LOCAL GOVE	RNMENT UN	IITS THROU	GH LOCAL S	SOCIAL WEL	FARE AND D	EVELOPMENT (	OFFICES IMPRO	OVED							
Social Welfare and Development Tech	nnical Assistance																			
Grand Total		128,673,404.63		27,536,841.66	48,510,414.52	16.30%	21.40%	0.00%	0.00%	37.70%	19,424,648.29	21,818,698.92	41,243,347.21	40.04%	44.98%	0.00%	0.00%	85.02%		
Provision of Technical / Advisory Ass	istance and other																			
TOTAL		128,561,640	20,973,573		48,510,414.52	16.31%	21.42%	0.00%	0.00%	37.73%	19,424,648	21,818,699					0.00%	85.02%	As agreed during Physical and Financial Review	
Current Appropriation		116,657,000	20,702,355	27,201,132	47,903,486.57	17.75%	23.32%	0.00%	0.00%	41.06%	19,424,648	21,474,313	40,898,961.55	40.55%	44.83%	0.00%	0.00%	85.38%	conducted last April 2022, fund for activities not conducted within the 1st Quarter will be reallocated to	
DRF																			maximize funds. Hence, the WEP and Annexes were	Initially planned activities were pushed back as a result
	PS	102,101,000	20,347,552	26,880,858		19.86%	26.24%	0.00%	0.00%	46.09%	19,424,648	21,377,891	40,802,539.65			0.00%	0.00%	86.39%	TEVISOU.	of the implementation of Administrative Order No. 05,
	MOOE	14,196,000	354,803	320,273	675,076.62	2.50%	2.26%	0.00%	0.00%	4.76%	0	96,422	96,421.90	0.00%	14.28%	0.00%	0.00%			Series of 2022, requiring the approval of the Work and Financial Plan by the Standards and Capability Building
CMF		44.004.040	074 040	005 740	222 227 25	0.000/	0.000/	0.000/	0.000/	E 400/	_	244.000	244 225 22	0.000/	50 T 101	0.000/	0.000/			Group Head. This affected the financial obligations and
Continuing Appropration  DRF		11,904,640	271,218	335,710	606,927.95	2.28%	2.82%	0.00%	0.00%	5.10%	U	344,386	344,385.66	0.00%	56.74%	0.00%	0.00%	56.74%	Capability Building Section, initial funding for the	utilization of the activities.
DRF	MOOE	11.904.640	271.218	335.710	606.927.95	2.28%	2.82%	0.00%	0.00%	5.10%		344.386	344,385.66	0.000/	EC 740/	0.00%	0.00%	EC 740/	establishment of Regional Operations Center and	
CMF	MOUE	11,904,640	2/1,218	335,710	606,927.95	2.28%	2.82%	0.00%	0.00%	5.10%	0	344,386	344,365.66	0.00%	56.74%	0.00%	0.00%	30.74%	Institutional Development activities of the PDPS among	
Provision of Capability Training Prog	rame																		oulers.	
TOTAL	l	111.765	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
Current Appropriation		31,200	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0		#DIV/0!						
DRF		0.,200	, and the same of		0.00	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070			0.00			<i></i> <b>2.17,0.</b>				
CMF																			Late charging or allocation (March 2022) of Continuing	Include in the WFP Planning Workshop on the 4th
	MOOE	31,200	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	and Current funds per Unit/Section/Division on the	Quarter of 2022 the charging and allocation per U/S/D
Continuing Appropration		80,565	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		for the WFP of 2023
DRF																				
CMF																				
_	MOOE	80,565	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		

Note: Other training activities for TARA are charged under Direct Release Fund of the Region

	Ctasta and Basanana Cook Basanana			Physical Targets	3			Acco	mplishment						Reasons for Variance/	
	Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/ Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	1st Semester	Total	Variance	Assessr	nent of V	ariance	Other Remarks	Steering Measures
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(13)=(7)+(8)+(10)+( 11)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)
Polic	y and Plan Development									7						
1	Number of agency policies approved and disseminated	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	2	-	2	2	-				The follwing Regional Memorandum Orders were approved and disseminated for the 1st Quarter CY 2022:  1. RMO No. 001 Series of 2022: Guidelines on the Admission and Discharged of Clients/Residents in Centers/Residential Care Facilities during the National State of Public Health Emergency approved and disseminated on February 23, 2022.  2. RMO No. 002 Series of 2022: Addendum to the NVRC Manual of Operation re Procedures on Fixed Enrollment System of the National Vocational Rehabilitation Center (NVRC) approved and disseminated on March 31, 2022	Functionality of RPDRC and follow through submission of draft policies for further review and approval.
2	Number of agency plans formulated and disseminated	2	1	2	6	11	2	1	3	3					The following annual plans were formulated and submitted to the concerned offices and oversight agencies:	Preparation and submisison of the remaining Regional Plans for the succeeding quarters.
	a. Medium-term Plans	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-				FY 2023 Regional Proposed Budget with accomplished BP Forms submitted to Metropolitan Manila Development Authority as the RDC Chairperson on February 8, 2022;     FO NCR Office Performance Contract on March	
	b. Annual Plans	2	1	2	6	11	2	1	3	3					4, 2022; 3. FO-NCR CY 2022 Strategic Contributions submitted to CO-OSM on July 11, 2022	
3	Number of researches completed	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	-	-	-	-	-					
	Number of position papers prepared	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	-	-	-	-	-					
	I Technology Development		1									l			T	
6	Number of social technologies formulated					NO TARGET	-	-	-	-	-					
7	Number of new concepts of models of interventions responding to emerging needs					NO TARGET	-	-	-	-	-					
8	Number of new designs formulated					NO TARGET	-	-	-	-	-					
9	Number of models of intervention pilot tested					NO TARGET	-	-	-	-	-					
10	Number of models of intervention evaluated					NO TARGET	-	-	-	-	-					
11	Number of SWD programs and services enhanced					NO TARGET	-	-	-	-	-					
12	Number of concepts on the enhancement of an existing program/service					NO TARGET	-	-	-	-	-					
13	Number of designs of enhanced programs/services formulated					NO TARGET	-	-	-	-	-					

				Physical Targets	<b>S</b>			Acco	mplishment							
	Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/ Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	1st Semester	Total	Variance	Assessi	ment of \	ariance	Reasons for Variance/ Other Remarks	Steering Measures
14	Number of enhanced models pilot tested					NO TARGET	-	-	-	-	-					
15	Number of enhanced models evaluated					NO TARGET	-	-	-	-	-					
	Number of social technologies implemented/pilot-tested	ANA	2	0	0	2	-	-	-	-	-				The implementation was delayed due to the transition process of newly elected officials of the Local Government Units in NCR but with on-going coordination with CSWDO and other partner NGAs. A.) Protect Teen Project - Malabon city B.) Community GardenPH - Quezon City and Caloocan.	
	Percentage of frontline and non-frontline service requests acted upon within the Citizen's Charter timelines	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-				No service request received for 1st Semester CY 2022.	
16	Percentage of intermediaries adopting completed social technologies	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!					
	Total no. of intermediaries implemented/pilot-tested social technologies					-	-	-	-	-	-			#DIV/0!		
	No. of intermediaries adopting completed social technologies					-	-	-	-	-	-			#DIV/0!		
17	Number of intermediaries replicating completed social technologies					-	-	-	-	-	-			#DIV/0!		
18	Number of completed social technologies promoted					-	-	-	-	-	-			#DIV/0!		
19	Number of ST portfolio					NO TARGET	-	-	-	-	-					
	No. of intermediaries institutionalizing/ replicating completed social technologies	ANA	1	-	-	1	-	1	1	1	-			0%	MOA and Sangguiniang Resolution was submitted by LGU Navotas.	For notarization
	No. of intermediaries oriented on completed models of intervention	ANA	5	5	-	10	-	-	-	-	5	-100%			Reschedule of orientation on the 3rd Quarter of 2022	
20	Percentage of LGUs reached through social marketing activities	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!					
	Total no. of LGUs targeted					-	-	-	-	-		#DIV/0!				
	No. of LGUs reached through social marketing activities					-	-	-	-	-		#DIV/0!				
Natio	nal Household Targeting System for Pover	ty Reduction														
21	No. of intermediaries utilizing Listahanan results for social welfare and development initiatives	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	2	19	21	21	-					
	a. No.of request for statistical data granted	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	1	-	1	1	-				The FO-NCR NHTS granted the request for statistical data of RPMO SLP.	NHTS to continue to assist the internal and externa partners on their requests for statistical data.

				Physical Targets	3			Acco	mplishment							
	Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/ Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	1st Semester	Total	Variance	Assessi	nent of V	ariance	Reasons for Variance/ Other Remarks	Steering Measures
	b. No. of request for name-matching granted	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	1	19	20	20	-				Note:  1. A total of 395 households were name matched for the 1st quarter CY 2022 per request of RPMO SLP.  2. A total of 12,300 HHs cosists of 19 requests of RPMO SLP were name matched for the 2nd Quarter.	NHTS to continue to assist the internal and external partners on their requests for name matching.
	No. of remaining unassesed 4Ps and MCCT beneficiaries	0	95,838	0	0	95,838	0	1,246	1,246	1,246	-94,592	-99%			NHTS RPMO conducted orientation/training on April 7,8 & 11, 2022 for the 107 Pantawid Staff for MCCT special validation. NHTS also supervised in encoding of barcoded accomplished HAFs in the Listahanan Database. While the RCCT assessment still waiting fo the funds from Central Office.	NHTS provides technical support and assistance in the conduct of MCCT special validation.  Note: Reflected accomplishments were MCCT beneficiaries assessed for MCCT special validation.
26	Results of the Listahanan 3 assessment launched	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-			-	No final data yet to be launched.	
	Regional profile of the poor developed	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-			-	Regional profile of the poor shall be developed after the resluts of L3.	
	Number of partners with MOA on Listahanan (provinces)	-	-	2	3	5	-	-	-	-	-			-		
	Stakeholder Orientation on Data Sharing conducted	-	-	17 LGUs and all NGAs	17 LGUs and all NGAs	17 LGUs and all NGAs	-	-	-	-	-					
Infor	mation and Communications Technology N	lanagement														
SP. I	lo. 4 [Continuity] "Improved organizational		nological capaci	ity and readiness	to adopt with th	e new normal"										
	DSWD Enterprise Network with Uptime of 95	percent for FO														
	Percentage uptime for Field Office	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	0%			0%		
	Number of DSWD Sub-Regional Sites connected to the DSWD Enterprise Network	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	-					
	Percentage/Number of Information Systems of	developed/enhanc	ed and maintaine	d												
	Percentage of functional information systems deployed and maintained	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%			0%		
	Number of Information systems developed/enhanced in partnership with Business Owner	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	-					
	Number of information systems maintained thru interventions and corresponding technical assistance to business owner/users	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	-					
	Purposive data management for information s	haring														
	Percentage of mission critical databases managed and maintained	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%			0%		
	Number of DSWD databases supporting programs, projects and services managed and maintained	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-					
	Percentage of for build-up and deployed databases	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%			0%		
	Number of for build-up and deployed databases	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-					

			Physical Targets	•			Acco	mplishment							
Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/ Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	1st	Total	Variance	Assess	ment of V	ariance	Reasons for Variance/ Other Remarks	Steering Measures
Percentage uptime of DSWD Enterprise Netw	ork							Semester					1		
Percentage Uptime of Local Servers and	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	0%			0%		
Storage Percentage uptime of local															
datacenter/interim datacenter	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	0%			0%		
Percentage uptime of Power Management and Corresponding Power Backup	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	0%			0%		
Percentage uptime of Heating, ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC)	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	0%			0%		
Number of functional websites developed and maintained	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0%			0%		
Percentage uptime of Hosted Websites	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	0%			0%		
Digital identity and transactions secured															
Percentage of information systems developed subjected to vulnerability assessment and patched accordingly	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	10%	100%	100%	0%			0%		
Number of Information Systems with vulnerability assessment and patched accordingly										-					
Percentage of network intrusions mitigated and resolved	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%			0%		
Number of Intrusion blocked/prevented										-					
Number of network intrusions against applications										-					
Percentage of end points secured	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	10%	100%	100%	0%			0%		
Number of endpoints protected by enterprise antivirus/antimalware	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	549	578	1,127	1,127	-					
Number of endpoint licenses	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	549	578	1,127	1,127						
Responsive ICT support services															
Percentage of Technical Assistance (TA) requests responded and resolved within the set Service Level Agreement (SLA)/timeline	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%			0%		
Total Percentage of TA responded and resolved within SLA of All Division	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	100%	100%	100%	100%	-					
Total Number of TA received	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	313	352	665	665	-					
Total Number of TA responded and resolved within SLA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	313	352	665	665	-					
SP. No. 6 [Care] "Capacity Building and Occupat	tional Health"														
Number of Learning and Development Interventions on ICT Service Management conducted	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0			0%		
ICTMS personnel are able to attend at least one (1) Learning and Development Intervention (LDI) on Digitalization and Occupational Health Safety Protocol (OHSP).	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0			0%		
Number of Users Trained on ICT applications, websites, solutions, tools and products	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	-	-	-	-	-					
ICT systems, facilities and infrastructure put in	n place														

	Ctanta and Dan annual Carlo Dan annual			Physical Targets	3			Acco	mplishment						Reasons for Variance/	
	Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/ Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	1st Semester	Total	Variance	Assessr	ment of V	ariance	Other Remarks	Steering Measures
	Number of new ICT systems, ICT equipment, facilities and infrastructure put in place	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	1	1	-	-	-					
	Number of new facilities and infastructure put in place	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	-	•	-	-	-					
	Number of ICT equipment put in place	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	-	-	-	-	-					
27	Number of computer networks maintained	607	607	607	607	607	607	607	607	607	-			0%		
28	Percentage of functional information systems deployed and maintained	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	100%	100%	100%	100%	0.00%					
	Total No.of Functional Information Systems						10	10	10	10					All system are functional (CrIMS, e-Services-RLA, e-Services-MTA, PPIS, WFPIS, ECCD-IS, SWDI IS,	
	No. of Information Systems Deployed and Maintained						10	10	10	10					IAMS, Wi_Support Online Psychosocial Support System, SPMIS)	
29	Percentage of users trained on ICT applications, tools and products	NO TARGET	NO TARGET	NO TARGET	NO TARGET	NO TARGET	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%					
	Total no. of Target Users						-	•	-	-						
	No. of Users Trained						,		-	-						
30	Percentage of service support and technical assistance requests acted upon	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	100%	100%	100%	100%	0.00%					
	No.of TA and Support Service Requests Acted Upon						313	352	665	665						Continous provision of technical assistance re: access issue, repair, reformat, print problems etc.
	Total No.of TA and Support Service Requests Received						313	352	665	665						and conduct of hands on basic troubleshooting guide to users
31	Number of databases maintained	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	1	-			0%		eNGAS, eBudget, Pantawid Regional SWDI, IPD- IS, Payroll System, Pantwid ID System, Pantawid BUS Offline, SAP Search Application, ICT TA Support Database.
32	Number of functional websites developed and maintained	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-			0%		Ensure request of SMO and other field offices are posted in FO Website( News Articles, Vacancy, Transparency Seal, Invitation to BID)
33	No. of new ICT systems, facilities and infrastructure put in place	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	0	0	0	0	-					

				Physical Targets	<u> </u>			Acco	mplishment							
	Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/ Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	1st Semester	Total	Variance	Assessr	nent of V	ariance	Reasons for Variance/ Other Remarks	Steering Measures
Inte	nal Audit															
34	Percentage of IAS audit recommendations complied with	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.00%	100.00%	#DIV/0!	100.00%	-			0%		
	No.of IAS Audit Recommendations	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	0	0	0	0					All Audit Recommendations included in the IAS Compliance to Audit Recommendations (CARe) in 2021 specifically for SLP were already complied and submitted to IAS. Last report was submitted on August 16, 2021 through Records Section. Terminal	follow-up and coordination with concerned Auditees
	Total No.of Audit Recommendations Complied	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	0	0	0	0					Report was already submitted and signed by the Secretary.  For CY 2022, no audit schedule was cascaded by the CO-Internal Audit Service for FO NCR.	to provide updates based on the assessment of the Internal Audit Service.
35	Percentage of integrity management measures implemented	100%	100%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-			0%		
	Total No.of Integrity Measures Identified	30	30	0	0	30	30	30	30	30					The Internal Audit Service and Integrity Management Committee though its memorandum dated 22 June 2022 informed that IMP submission will no longer be required. Those assessed as remaining noncompliance or tagged as deficiency were encouraged to be continued. The FO NCR	Sustain the continuous follow-up on the implementtion of the planned activities and provision of technical assistance until the end of the 5 year IMP Plan/Implementation.
	Total No.of Integrity Measures Implemented	30	30	0	0	30	30	30	30	30						Close coordination and communication with IMP focals per D/S/U/C/RCFs is maintained.
Soc	al Marketing		•	•		•	•	•	•		•			,		
36	Percentage of respondents aware of at least 2 DSWD programs except 4Ps					-	-	-	-	-	-	#DIV/0!				For implementation on 2nd Semester CY 2022.
37	Number of social marketing activities conducted	16	16	16	16	64	76	86	162	162	130	406%				
	a. Information caravans (Virtual/Online and Community-based on the Air (radio) conducted by EO December 2021)	2	2	2	2	8	4	6	10	10	6	150%			The positive variance on the conduct and particiaption on informaiton caravan rises due to the implementation of the Duterte Legacy Caravans	The following information caravans are accomplished:  1 Information Caravan by the DRMD  3 Online Community-Based orientation by 4Ps (Usapang Malasakit) 2 Information Caravan spearheaded by SMO  1 Serbisyo Caravan spearheaded by SMO  3 Duterte Legacy Caravan spearheaded by DRMD and SMO

	Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/			Physical Targets	3			Acco	mplishment						Reasons for Variance/	
	Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	1st Semester	Total	Variance	Assessi	ment of Va	riance	Other Remarks	Steering Measures
	b. Issuance of press releases	6	6	6	6	24	46	22	68	68	56	467%			The variance in press releases reflects the increase in demand for news and stories that support Pantawid Paliyang Pilipino Program series of Graduation Ceremony, activities related to Disaster Response Management Division, Activities of the SLP during Cash Grants payout in the region and other Programs and services related.	Note: A total of twenty (20) press releases for DRMD, ten (10) for SLP, 18 for Pantawid Pamilya, 16 for C/RCFs, three (3) for Field Office and one (1) for NHTS.
	c. Communication campaigns (conducted by end of Decefmber 2021)	2	2	2	2	8	1	4	5	5	1		25%		Five (5) communication campaigns where conducted from January to June 2022 from the SWS Group which are two (2) for Women Sector, two (2) for Children Sector, and one (1) for Family Sector.	
	d. Number of IEC materials developed	6	6	6	6	24	25	54	79	79	67	558%			The variance in creating and developing IEC materials is robust since activities has been posted in the DSWD NCR facebook page and sharing of IEC materials from programs counterparts in the National Office of DSWD.	
Kno	wledge Management															
39	Number of knowledge products on social welfare and development services developed	-	2	-	1	3	1	15	16	16	14	700%			One (1) knowledge product was developed for the 1st quarter which are the Citizens Charter of 1. KEC/RLRC Reservation and Use; 2. Borrowing of KEC/ RLRC Materials; and 3. Provision of Resource Person to DSWD Intermediaries and Stakeholders (submitted by CBS).  While, fifteen (15) KPs developed by C/RCF/U/S for the second quarter. Out of the total accomplishment, five (5) is success stories, eight (8) is brochure, one (1) BPRA) and one (1) is Manifesto.	U/S/C/RCF are required to submit knowledge product for the 1st semester and good practice documentation for the 2nd semester based on the Office Performance Contract.

Strategy/ Program/ Sub-Program/			Physical Targets	5			Acco	mplishment						Reasons for Variance/	
Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	1st Semester	Total	Variance	Assessi	ment of Va	ariance	Other Remarks	Steering Measures
Number of knowledge sharing sessions conducted		2	2	-	4	1	3	4	4	2	100%		1! A S C C W -1- P P G -1- al C C -1- B C n	Propterty on June 1-2, June 6-7, June 16-17 via Google Meet (conducted by CBS)	Big KSS will be conducted through fora or orientation. Moreover, continous knowledge learning sessions will be conducted by the C/RCF/U/Ss.

					OBL	IGATION		DISBURSEMENT ercent Utilization Amount Percent Util												
Objective/ Program/ Sub-Program/	Allotment Class	Budget (GAA)		Amount			Perc	ent Utiliza	ation			Amount			Pero	ent Utiliza	ation		C	Recommendation/
Performance Indicator	Allotment Class	Budget (GAA)	Q1	Q2	Total	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	Total	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Issues/Concerns & Challenges	Remarks
UPPORT TO OPERATION																				
rand Total		23,419,550.00	3,298,100.39	3,711,340.36	7,009,440.75	14.08%	15.85%	0.00%	0.00%	29.93%	1,000,530.93	1,252,337.33	2,252,868.26	14.27%	17.87%	0.00%	0.00%	32.14%		
olicy and Plan Development																				
TOTAL		58,490	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
Current Appropriation		58,490	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	Ī	
DRF																			Ī	
CMF																			For utilization during 2nd Semester CY 2022. Conduct of	
	MOOE	58,490	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	data gathering is scheduled on 3rd Quarter CY 2022.	
Continuing Appropriation		0	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	!	
DRF																			1	
CMF																			<u> </u>	
ocial Technology Development																				
TOTAL		2,727,873	842,328	0	842,328.00	30.88%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	30.88%	175,175	225,176	400,351.87		26.73%	0.00%	0.00%	47.53%	Revision/amendment of approved WFP based on identified	
Current Appropriation		2,396,356	842,328	0	842,328.00	35.15%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	35.15%	175,175	225,176	400,351.87	20.80%	26.73%	0.00%	0.00%	47.53%	activities of STB-CO while the modification for Continuing	Implementation of the program is schedule on 2nd Sem
DRF																			fund was approved on June 27, 2022 by STB-CO	CY 2022.
CMF																			1	
	MOOE	2,396,356	842,328	0	842,328.00	35.15%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	35.15%	175,175	225,176	400,351.87	20.80%	26.73%	0.00%	0.00%	47.53%	Activities such as Capability Building, provision of Go bags	The modification for Continuing fund was approved or
Continuing Appropriation		331,518	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	and Tranings for Comprehensive Program beneficiaries	June 27, 2022 by STB-CO. On going process of appro
DRF																			and partner LGUs under FY 2021 Continuing Fund will be implemented on July 18-22 and July 27-29, 2022.	Project Proposal
CMF																			implemented on July 10-22 and July 27-25, 2022.	
	MOOE	331,518	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
ational Household Targeting System	for Poverty Reduc	tion																		
TOTAL		6,132,027	838,568	1,012,540	1,851,108.75	13.68%	16.51%	0.00%	0.00%	30.19%	574,860	634,675	1,209,534.95	31.05%	34.29%	0.00%	0.00%	65.34%	<b>1</b>	
Current Appropriation		6,125,000	838,568	1,008,494	1,847,062.75	13.69%	16.47%	0.00%	0.00%	30.16%	574,860	632,065	1,206,924.95	31.12%	34.22%	0.00%	0.00%	65.34%		
DRF																			For the remaining 3,317,695.31 will be utilized for the data	
	PS	4,334,000	687,380	860,149	1,547,528.80	15.86%	19.85%	0.00%	0.00%	35.71%	574,860	587,652	1,162,512.42	37.15%	37.97%	0.00%	0.00%	75.12%	sharing activity and Regional Launching for the 2nd	
	MOOE	806,000	151,188	148,345	299,533.95	18.76%	18.41%	0.00%	0.00%	37.16%	0	44,413	44,412.53	0.00%	14.83%	0.00%	0.00%	14.83%		
CMF																			of travel expenses. The request for modification for the	
	MOOE	985,000	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
Continuing Appropriation		7,027	0	4,046	4,046.00	0.00%	57.58%	0.00%	0.00%	57.58%	0	2,610	2,610.00	0.00%	64.51%	0.00%	0.00%	64.51%	be ensure utilization for the scanning/digitization of the encoded accomplished Household Assessment Forms.	
DRF																			Tenoueu accomplisieu nousenolu Assessment Forms.	
	MOOE	7,027	0	4,046	4,046.00	0.00%	57.58%	0.00%	0.00%	57.58%	0	2,610	2,610.00	0.00%	64.51%	0.00%	0.00%	64.51%		
CMF																			<u> </u>	
formation and Communications Tech	nology Managem	ent																		
TOTAL		14,501,160	1,617,204	2,698,800	4,316,004.00	11.15%	18.61%	0.00%	0.00%	29.76%	250,495	392,486	642,981.44	5.80%	9.09%	0.00%	0.00%	14.90%		
Current Appropriation		6,388,260	1,617,204	0	1,617,204.00	25.32%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	25.32%	250,495	392,486	642,981.44	15.49%	24.27%	0.00%	0.00%	39.76%		For RICTMS Continuing Fund on MOOE, The amount
DRF												•								Php 651,870.00 is on-going procurement of Semi
CMF																				Expendable ICT Equipment for FO NCR.
	MOOE	6,388,260	1,617,204	0	1,617,204.00	25.32%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	25.32%	250,495	392,486	642,981.44	15.49%	24.27%	0.00%	0.00%	39.76%	n	
Continuing Appropriation		8,112,900	0	2,698,800	2,698,800.00	0.00%	33.27%	0.00%	0.00%	33.27%	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		Capital Outlay is for utilization of RICTMS.
DRF																			PS fund is intended for the payment of Service Recognition	This will help the Regional Office improve the organizat
CMF																			Incentive (SRI).  MOOE with on-going procurement of Semi Expendable ICT	processes, technological capacity and readiness to adop with the new normal and in response to COVID19
	MOOE	5,112,900	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	Equipment for FO NCR.	pandemic, and in compliant to EASE OF DOING
	CO	3,000,000			2.698.800.00		89.96%	0.00%	0.00%	89.96%			0.00	0.00%				0.00%		

					OB	LIGATION							DISB	URSEME						
Objective/ Program/ Sub-Program/	Allotment Class	Rudget (GAA)		Amount			Perc	ent Utiliz	ation			Amount			Per	cent Utiliz	ation		Issues/Concerns & Challenges	Recommendation/
Performance Indicator	Anothern Oluss	Duager (OAA)	Q1	Q2	Total	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	Total	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	issues/concerns a chanenges	Remarks
Internal Audit (Fund was included in G.	ASS)																			
TOTAL		0	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	(	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
Current Appropriation		0	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	(	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
DRF																				
CMF																				
Continuing Appropriation		0	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
DRF																				
CMF																				
Social Marketing (Fund was included in	n GASS)																			
TOTAL		0	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	(	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
Current Appropriation		0	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	(	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	•	
DRF																			•	
CMF																			•	
Continuing Appropriation		0	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	(	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	•	
DRF																				
CMF																			•	
Knowledge Management (Fund was in	cluded in GASS)																			
TOTAL	•	0	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	(	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
Current Appropriation		0	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	(	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
DRF																			•	
CMF																			•	
Continuing Appropriation		0	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	(	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	•	
DRF																			•	
CMF																			•	
Resource Generation and Managemen	t (Fund was includ	led in GASS)																		
TOTAL		0	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
Current Appropriation		0	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	(	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
DRF		İ																		
CMF		İ																		
Continuing Appropriation		0	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	(	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	•	
DRF																				
CMF																				

Objective / Breamann / Oak Breamann /			Physical Target	ts			PHYSICAL A	CCOMPLISHMENT							
Objective/ Program/ Sub-Program/ Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	1st Semester	Total	Variance	Reasons for Variance		sessme Varianc		Steering Measures
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(13)=(7)+(8)+(10)+(11)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)
GENERAL ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT S	ERVICES														
Human Resource and Development															
1 Percentage of positions filled-up	14.92%	30.02%	25.05%	30.02%	100.00%	15.65%	11.23%	26.89%	26.89%	11.97%					
1.1. Permanent/Contractual											Delays in providing the Authority to Fill-up				The Crafted Policy on Online Recruitment
No. of Positions Filled up	26	53	44	52	175	27	16	43	43	-36	(Annex A) and other annexes to facilitate the publication of vacancies 2. The hiring offices fails to immediately notify the HRPPMS regarding their vacancies for posting.  3. The Region is in compliance to the provision in ORA OHRA that a vacant				and Hiring during COVID 19 Pandemic are still being continuously implemented that enables the Recruitment Officers to facilitate hiring while complying with the IATF in limited face to face contact.  2. Adjusted consideration in accepting documents for hiring and onboarding.
Male						8	6	14	14		permanent position cannot be posted unless				3. Provided continuous technical assistance
Female						19	10	29	29		validated by CSC. Hence, the vacant positions for posting accumulated	-46%			to the hiring offices in accomplishing attachments needed to publish their
Total no. of Positions with Request for Posting	175	175	175	175	175	175	175	175	175		positions for positing accumulated significantly.  4. Some vacant positions remain unfilled because it is currently unfunded as per the hiring office but are still tagged as for filling up. More so, some positions are still currently in the process of abolition in compliance with the new guidelines provided				vacancies. Likewise, facilitated orientation to Center/Section Heads regarding the guidelines of revised MSP.  4. Continuously implements the approved memo regarding the recommendation on the Initial and Final Shortlisting of COS and JO positions suggesting lowering the required
Male											by the Central Office.				percentile classification to have an adequate
Female											5. Despite the demand, there is difficulty in				pool of qualified applicants and expedite the
1.2 Job Order/Contract of Service											pooling applicants for the Psychologist I,				filling-up of vacancies.
No. of Positions Filled up	55	110	92	111	368	58	45	103	103	-62	Nurse I and Social Welfare Officer positions. 6. Due to the revised MSP, and its required initial & final shortlisting requirements only few applicants were able to pass the Initial Qualifying Test (IQT). There are also instances that no applicants meets the required total score of 80% for endorsement				5. Authority to fill-up and other annexes were included in the requirements of DPCs for timely compliance. 6. Assignment of detailed staff at CSC - OP to prioritize DSWD - NCR documents for validation. 7. Prepared an official memorandum calling
Male						26	16	42	42		to the appointing authority which will lead to	-38%			the attention of SMO to reiterate the
Female	1		1			32	29	61	61		republication of the vacant position.  7. Late posting of vacancies in the official	-38%			importance of posting the vacant positions of DSWD - NCR to the Official FB Page to
Total no. of Positions with Request for Posting	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368		Late posting of vacancies in the official social media platform of DSWD - NCR, thus limited applications were received.     The hiring offices' continuously request for the creation of COS positions under their section/unit causes an apparent increase in the number of vacant positions.  Simultaneously, promotions of internal staff				attract a large pool of candidates.  8. The new implementation of Referendum No. 01 & 02 Series of 2022 indicating the reallocation of IQT score to Technical/ Special Examination for Skilled Positions (e.g., Houseparent, Cook, Driver) and removal of the IQT cut-off score gave a higher chance
Male	<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>								to fill up the newly created positions (with				to create a large pool of applicants.
Female	1	L	L	l							to iiii up the flewiy created positions (with		1	1	to oreate a large poor or applicants.

Objective/ Program/ Sub-Program/			Physical Target	s			PHYSICAL AC	COMPLISHMENT				Δεσ	sessment of	
Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	1st Semester	Total	Variance	Reasons for Variance		Variance	Steering Measures
Percentage of regular staff provided with at least 1 learning and development intervention	15.17%	25.06%	29.89%	29.89%	100.00%	17.47%	43.68%	61.15%	61.15%	20.92%				
No.of Staff Provided with Learning and Development Interventions	66	109	130	130	435	76	190	266	266	91	The region was able to train the said number of staff due to the conduct of initiated training of different Center and Residential Care Facilities, Divisions and Sections and attendance of personnel to different			
Male	18	43	30	30	121	25	44	69	69		webinars and specialized training offered by			
Female	48	66	100	100	314	51	146	197	197		other government agencies and private	52%		
Total No. of Regular Staff	435	435	435	435	435	435	435	435	435	435	organization.  The performance of the Section, especially in achieving its target for 1st Semester CY 2022 can be attributed to the conduct of			
Male						121	121	121	121		learning and development activities of the			
Female						314	314	314	314		different C/RCF/D/S/Us.			
Number of personnel infected with COVID 4 19 regardless of work arrangement and employment status Department-wide	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	220	-	220	220	-				
Male						73	-	73	73		No reported COVID-19 cases in the Region			Continous compliance to health and safety
Female						147	-	147	147		for 2nd Quarter CY 2022.			protocols to prevent the increase of covid cases in the Field Office.
5 Number of personnel regardless of status provided with support and assistance	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	220	7	227	7	-				
Infected Personnel						220	-	220	-		The 220 confirmed staff to COVID-19 were provided with family food packs.			The presence of COVID-19 Focal Persons per D/C/RCF/S/Us facilitated the immediate provision of assistance to the Staff. Likewise, creation of Group Chat for daily monitoring of
Male						73	-	73	-					their health concern is continously put in
Female			-			147	-	147	-		Management of the state of the			place.
Bereaved Personnel						-	7	7	7		Most reasons of demise is due to health concerns such as cancer, hypertension, among others., No related death caused by COVID-19 sickness.			Issuance of mortuary memo for voluntary assistance is routed via records section. Provision of flowers and food assistance for
Male						-	5	5	5					the families .
Female						-	2	2	2					
Percentage of staff provided with compensation/benefits within timeline	100%	100%	#DIV/0!	100%	100%	79.52%	89.88%	89.88%	89.88%	-10.12%				
6.1 Regular/Casual/Contractual  Total No. of staff  Male	1,305	1,339	0	0	1,339	1,305	1,339	1,339	1,339		The following staff did not received salary due to:  1. Three (3) permanent and (2) Contractual staff cancelled for non-submission of DTR;  2. Five (5) salary witheld due to four (4) Permanent and one (1) Contractual non-submission of DTR;  3. One (1) Permanent due to unliquitated SDO;  4. One (1) Contractual no confirmation of renewal of appointment; and  5. One (1) Contractual due to transfer waiting for Regional Clearance;			Holding of Salary and benefits of Staff together with the Issuance of Notice of Witholding of Salary per memorandum dated June 10, 2021.  Consistent follow through action is being done.
Female		997	1		997	975	997	997	997		- <del>-</del>		-17%	
, r emale	. 0.0				- 557	010		551			L	ı		L

Objective/ Program/ Sub-Program/		ı	Physical Target	S			PHYSICAL AC	COMPLISHMENT				Assessmer	14 of	
Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	1st Semester	Total	Variance	Reasons for Variance	Varianc		Steering Measures
No.of Staff Receiving Salary and Benefits on Time	1,305	1,339	0	0	1,339	845	1,118	1,118	1,118	-221	Benefits withheld due to:  1. Mid-year bonus of two (2) permanent and one (1) Contractual staff for non-submission of DTR;  2. Five (5) Permanent for non-submission of IPCR;  3. One (1) Permanent and (11) Contractual newly appointed staff waiting for 1st quarter IPCR; and  4. Subsitence allowance (141) Soccial Worker waiting for the Internal Guidleines on Magna Carta;			
Male	330	342			342	204	297	297	297		5. Fifty (50) Health Workers waiting for the			'
Female	975	997			997	641	821	821	821		RSO for 1st and 2nd quarter for payment of			
6.2 COS Workers Payroll (MOA and JO)			ļ											'
Total No. of staff	966	845	0	0	845	966	845	845	845					
Male	297	292			292	297	292	292	292					!
Female	669	553			553	669	553	553	553			0%		,
No.of Staff Receiving Salary and Benefits on Time	966	845	0	0	845	961	845	845	845	0				
Male	297	292			292	295	292	292	292					'
Female	669	553			553	666	553	553	553					'
Legal Services														
7 Percentage of disciplinary cases resolved within timeline	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	100%	100%	100%	100%	-				
Total No.of Disciplinary Cases Resolved within Timeline						6	5	11	11					
7. 1 Number of disciplinary cases initiated	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	1	1	2	2					
7. 2 Number of complaints resolved	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	2	3	5	5					
Percentage of litigated cases resolved in favor of the Department or Department Personnel	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	-				
No. of Litigated Cases Resolved with Favorable Outcome						-	-	-	-					
Total No.of Litigated Cases Resolved						-	-	-	-					
7.5.1 Number of hearings attended						-	-	-	-					
7.5.2 Number of preliminmary investigations and/or case conferences attended						2	2	4	4					
Percentage of requests for legal assistance addressed	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	100%	100%	100%	100%	-				
No. of Legal Assistance Requests Addressed						95	117	212	212					
Total No.of Legal Assistance Requests						95	117	212	212					
7.6.1 Number of written legal opinions provided						35	57	92	92					
7.6.2 Number of TAs provided to clients			1			60	60	120	120				<u> </u>	

Objective/ Program/ Sub-Program/			Physical Target	ts			PHYSICAL AC	COMPLISHMENT				Acco	ssment	of	
Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	1st Semester	Total	Variance	Reasons for Variance		ariance		Steering Measures
Administrative Services	•			•											
10 Number of facilities repaired/renovated	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	0	The facilities targeted for repair are (1) Haven for Children, (2) Haven for Women, (3) Marillac Hills, (4) RSCC, (5) NVRC, (6) Ephpheta, (7) IACAT, (8) Sanctuary Center, (9) Nayon ng Kabataan and (10) Jose Fabella Center. Several facilities do not need to be repaired/renovated assisted by GASD for this quarter.			0%	Admin Division continously processing facilities needing repair.  Provide technical assistance and regular monitoring, supervision of designated admin staff in the C/RCFs, preparation of feedback report and crafting of other needed technical/engineering documents, if necessary.
11 Percentage of real properties titled	20.00%	20.00%	20.00%	20.00%	20.00%	20.00%	20.00%	20.00%	20.00%	0%					
No.of Real Properties with Title	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0				0%	Titling is not within Field Office control because titling of properties requires a long and tendious process involving coordination and negotiation with DENR, DPWH and Office of the President including the Land
Total No.of DSWD-owned Real Properties	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	·				-,,	Transportation Authority. Records would show that our Property, Assets and Supply Management Section (PAMS), since 2016 up to present has consistently implemented all grounds work for this undertaking.
Number of vehicles maintained and managed	16	16	16	16	16	16	15	15	15	-1	There are 16 vehicles for maintained and managed by the GASS. Only 15 vehicles are functional due to one (1) vehicle is for repair.		-6%		Conduct of preventive maintenance and monitoring of all Field Office vehicles every Saturday and provision of technical assistance to C/RCFs.
13 Percentage of records digitized/disposed	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	100%	100%	100%	78.15%	21.85%					
Percentage of records digitized	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%					All incoming issuances/memoranda are digitized.
Number of records digitized						755	837	1,592	1,592						
Number of records identified for digitization  Percentage of records disposed (Current Year)	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	755 0%	0%	1,592	1,592 0%	-100%	A total of 300 standard boxes of valueless records was targeted to request for authority to dispose by 3rd Quarter CY 2021. As of 2nd Quarter 2022, 445 boxes were already identified for disposal for requisition of				
Number of records disposed			300		300	0	0	0	0		authority to dispose within 2nd Semester CY				
Number of records identified for disposal			300		300	7	438	445	445		2022.				
Percentage of records disposed (Prior Years)	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	100%	#DIV/0!	100%	100%	0%	The request for dispossal of valueless records endorsed to National Archives of the Philippines (NAP) on October 28, 2020 (1st Batch), July 8, 2021 (2nd Batch) and October 14, 2021 (3rd Batch) was approved on December 21, 2020, December 15, 2021 and December 17, 2021, respectively. RAMS conducted actual disposal of 6,738 kg or 1,123 boxes with a volume of 19.8664m3 of valueless records on March 15 and 20, 2022 amounting to PhP25,537.02. The disposal was witnessed by Ms. Jhona Marie Ong of NAP and Ms. Mary Jane Sadang of COA.				
Number of records disposed						1,123	0	1,123	1,123		That and Ms. Mary same Gadang of COA.				
Number of records identified for disposal						1,123	0	1,123	1,123						

Objective/ Program/ Sub-Program/			Physical Targe	ets			PHYSICAL AC	COMPLISHMENT				Assessment of				
Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	1st Semester	Total	Variance	Reasons for Variance		Variance	Oī	Steering Measures	
ancial Management																
4 Percentage of budget utilized																
a. Actual Obligations Over Actual											Variance resulted from the following				The FMD Budget Section will:	
a.1.1 Current - Direct Release Fund	25%	25%	25%	25%	100%	8.15%	22.12%	30.28%	30.28%	-19.72%	reasons:  1. Insertion of 1,821,732.00 under PSIF will be utilized from April, 2022 onwards.	-39%			Continously provide technical assistanto centers/offices/sections/units in the application and utilization of budgetary	
Total Actual Obligation Incurred						350,376,751.64	949,791,940.81	1,300,168,692.45	1,300,168,692.45		be utilized from April, 2022 onwards.				methods and budget system to maximize	
Total Actual Annual Allotment Received						4,301,403,000.00	4,294,350,000.00	4,294,350,000.00	4,294,350,000.00		2. Frontloading of Continuing funds as					
a.1.2 Current - Centrally Managed	25%	25%	25%	25%	100%	22.20%	42.35%	56.56%	56.56%	6.56%	directed by the Central Office.  3. Work & Financial Plan under Centrally		13%		fund utilization, including frontloading of Continuing funds.  2. Provide the centers/offices/sections/unit with the status of funds report every month.	
Total Actual Obligation Incurred						286,131,307.02	852,450,047.91	1,138,581,354.93	1,138,581,354.93		Managed Funds- Continuing Appropriations					
Total Actual Annual Allotment Received						1,289,101,086.54	2,013,056,525.71		2,013,056,525.71		are still waiting for approval from the Central					
a.2.1 Continuing - Direct Release Fund	50%	50%	-	-	100%	78.71%	18.43%	97.14%	97.14%	-2.86%	Office .		-3%		<ol> <li>Assist the centers/offices/sections/unit in the processing various financial transactions relative to fund utilization suc as modification, certification of availability</li> </ol>	
Total Actual Obligation Incurred						839,961,591.86	196,634,367.40	1,036,595,959.26	1,036,595,959.26						allotment, obligation, and adjustments.	
Total Actual Annual Allotment Received						1,067,114,565.48	1,067,114,565.48	1,067,114,565.48	1,067,114,565.48							
a.2.2 Continuing - Centrally Managed	50%	50%	-	-	100%	41.92%	28.41%	70.35%	70.35%	-29.65%			-30%		Continuously provide feedbacks to the Office of the Regional Director thru RMDC.	
Total Actual Obligation Incurred						56,639,078.04	38,362,901.80	95,001,979.84	95,001,979.84		1				1	
Total Actual Annual Allotment Received						135,123,219.66	135,047,174.40	135,047,174.40	135,047,174.40							
b. Actual Disbursements over Actual																
b.1 Current	25%	25%	25%	25%	100%	35.07%	59.32%	68.47%	68.47%	18.47%		37%				
Total Actual Disbursement						223,232,221.29	1,446,674,510.53	1,669,906,731.82	1,669,906,731.82		1				1	
Total Actual Annual Obligation Incurred						636,508,058.66	2,438,750,047.38	2,438,750,047.38	2,438,750,047.38							
b.2 Continuing	25%	25%	25%	25%	100%	65.60%	39.69%	91.66%	91.66%	41.66%		83%				
Total Actual Disbursement						588,127,058.21	449,141,919.06	1,037,268,977.27	1,037,268,977.27		1				1	
Total Actual Annual Obligation Incurred						896,600,669.90	1,131,597,939.10	1,131,597,939.10	1,131,597,939.10							
Percentage of cash utilized																
c. Actual Disbursements over Actual																
c.1 Current Appropriation					100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%				0%	·	
Total Actual Disbursement						284,779,239.63	1,536,728,321.83								L	
Total Actual Annual Payables	1	1	1	1		284,779,239.63	1,536,728,321.83	1,821,507,561.46	1,821,507,561.46						The Cash Section sends copy of NTA/NO	
c.2 Continuing Appropriation					100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%				0%	to the concerned D/C/RCF/S/Us and prepares letter weeks before lapse of NT	
Total Actual Disbursement		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		550,118,307.14	382,774,209.02	932,892,516.16	932,892,516.16		4				remind them of the remaining cash	
Total Actual Annual Payables						550,118,307.14	382,774,209.02	932,892,516.16	932,892,516.16		4				allocation.	
c.3 Accounts Payables					100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%				0%		
Total Actual Disbursement						252,964,455.88	402,399,381.98	655,363,837.86	655,363,837.86							
Total Actual Annual Payables	1					252,964,455.88	402,399,381.98	655,363,837.86	655,363,837.86		<u> </u>				<u> </u>	

Objective/ Program/ Sub-Program/			Physical Target	:S			PHYSICAL AC	COMPLISHMENT				Assessment of			
Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	1st Semester	Total	Variance	Reasons for Variance	_	Variance	Steering Measures	
15 Percentage of cash advance liquidated															
a. Advances to officers and employees															
a.1 Current Year	25%	25%	25%	25%	100%	#DIV/0!	65.86%	65.86%	65.86%	16%					
Total Amount Liquidated						0.00	54,640.00	54,640.00	54,640.00						
Total Cash Advance Processed						0.00	82,960.00	82,960.00	82,960.00			32%			
a.2 Prior Years	25%	25%	25%	25%	100%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		32 /0			
Total Amount Liquidated						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						
Total Cash Advance Processed						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						
b. Advances to SDOs															
b.1 Current Year	10%	35%	30%	25%	100%	10.92%	38.61%	30.35%	30.35%	-14.65%	Late submission of Liquition Report of SDO and delay processing of liquidation report			Accounting Section continiously issued demand letter for SDO's with unliquidated CA's on a monthly basis, also we constantly provided memo on the observations noted	
Total Amount Liquidated						68,466,427.78	568,996,273.75	637,462,701.53	637,462,701.53		due incompletene and lack of documentary			for compliance and properly coordinated w	
Total Cash Advance Processed						626,885,832.40	1,473,571,798.30	2,100,457,630.70	2,100,457,630.70		requirements.		11%	the end user/program as to action taken fo	
b.2 Prior Years  Total Amount Liquidated	10%	35%	30%	25%	100%	42.69%	#DIV/0!	69.41% 2.400.567.478.98	69.41% 2.400.567.478.98	24.41%	Compliances affects liquidation, mostly issued during issuance of SAP assistance			the liquidation returned with compliances.  Continously coordinated with focal/in charge issued observation/ memorandum with date	
							. , ,				4			need to comply.	
Total Cash Advance Processed						3,458,454,168.05	0.00	3,458,454,168.05	3,458,454,168.05						
c. Inter-agency transferred funds	10%	35%	30%	25%	100%	#DIV/0!	13.64%	13.64%	13.64%	-31.36%	Latter part of December 2021 with				
c.1 Current Year Total Amount Liquidated	10%	33%	30%	25%	100%	0.00	300.468.89	300.468.89	300.468.89	-31.30%	transactions to national dairy (DRRMD)			Issue demand letter if no liquidation receive	
Total Cash Advance Processed		-				0.00	2.202.998.32	2.202.998.32	2.202.998.32		amounting to PhP60M.			within 60 days.	
c.2 Prior Years	10%	35%	30%	25%	100%	20.44%	2,202,998.32 #DIV/0!	31.12%	31.12%	-13.88%	amounting to Phrooivi.	-50%			
Total Amount Liquidated	10%	33%	30%	25%	100%	70.674.968.21	36.938.407.53	107.613.375.74	107.613.375.74	-13.00%	Bulk of unliquidated still with LGUs			Prepared a letter of confirmation attention	
Total Cash Advance Processed						345.780.684.71	0.00	345,780,684.71	345.780.684.71		amounting to PhP141M.			the accountant for immediate compliance.	
Percentage of AOM responded within timeline	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	38.89%	600.00%	95.00%	95.00%	-5.00%	amounting to the 141W.				
No.of AOM Responded withinTimeline	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	7	12	19	19		Submitted response to AOM Nos. 2022-001 to 2022-020. Meanwhile, AOM No. 2022-015 were not responded as COA advised that all responses may be included in the Agency		-5%	Agreement on the MAA request from COA furnish a copy of all AOMs for active	
Total No.of AOM Received	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	18	2	20	20		Action Plan and Status of Implementation which were submitted to CO-FMS on 15 June 2022.		-576	monitoring to ensure timely submission of responses.	

Objective/ Program/ Sub-Program/		ı	Physical Target	S			PHYSICAL AC	COMPLISHMENT				Assessment of			
Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	1st Semester	Total	Variance	Reasons for Variance		Variance	Steering Measures	
Percentage of NS/ND complied within timeline	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0.00%	#DIV/0!	300.00%	300.00%	200.00%					
No. of Notice of Suspension/Notice of Disallowances Responded within Timeline	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	0	3	3	3		Two (2) Notices of Suspension were received on December 2021 while the other was on January 2022 only.  1. Response to 2021 NS No. 001-DSWD-NCRNCR filed to COA on 29 April 2022.  2. Response to 2021 NS No. 002-DSWD-NCRNCR filed to COA on 02 June 2022.  3. Response to 2022 NS No. 001-DSWD-NCR filed to COA on 08 June 2022.  NCR filed to COA on 08 June 2022.			Active participation of MAA and Legal Officer on handling and facilitating disallowance case to be filed before the reglementary period.	
No. of Notice of Suspension/Notice of Disallowances Received	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	1	0	1	1		Further, appeals were also prepared for the following:  1. Appeal to Notice of Disallowance received on 01 December 2022 No. 002-DSWD NCR-NCR filed to COA Cluster 6 on 19 May 2022.  2. Appeal to Notice of Disallowance received on 13 December 2022 No. 002-DSWD NCR-NCR filed to COA Cluster 6 on 09 June 2022.			Two (2) coordination meetings were conducted with ARDA, FMD OIC Chief, and concerned staff/offices.	
Procurement Services															
Percentage of procurement projects completed in accordance with applicable rules and regulations	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	78.91%	34.58%	48.50%	48.50%	-51.50%					
Total No.of PR Received	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	147	321	468	468		For the 1st Quarter CY 2022, a total of 28 PRs were recanvass and three (3) PRs cancelled by end-user.			To avoid recanvassing, provide technical assistance to the end user about the specifications of their purchase request.	
No.of PR Processes Awarded and Contracted on Time	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	116	111	227	227		For the 2nd Quarter CY 2022, a total of 210 PRs are on procurement process.	-51%		Expedite all PRs to meet the desired implementation timeline.	

Objective/ Program/ Sub-Program/			Physical Target	S			PHYSICAL AG	CCOMPLISHMENT				Acces	ssment of	
Performance Indicator	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	1st Semester	Total	Variance	Reasons for Variance		riance	Steering Measures
Percentage compliance with reportorial requirements from oversight agencies	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.00%	#DIV/0!	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%				
Total No.of Reports Required by Oversight Agencies	4	0	2	3	9	4		4	4		Note: The following reports were prepared and submitted by FO-NCR Bids and Awards Committee to the oversight gencies:  1. Submission of Approved APP FY 2022 (submitted to GPPB, AO25, Procurement Service, Central Office on January 26, 2022); 2. Submission of Certificate of Compliance for Early Procurement Activities for FY 2022			Preparation and submission of the reportorial requirements required by oversight agencies.  BAC to ensure that reportorial requirements are submitted on time.
No.of Reports Required complied with	4	0	2	3	9	4	-	4	4		- (submitted to AO25 GPPB, and Procurement Service, Central Office on January 26, 2021); 3. Submission of Procurement Monitoring Report (PMR) FY 2021 2nd Semester submitted to GPPB, Procurement Service - Central Office on January 14, 2022; 4. Submission of Agency Procurement Compliance and Performance Indicator System FY 2021 to GPPB on March 26, 2022.			Contiinous monitoring of reportorial report and submission of report on prescribed timeline.
Percentage of Technical Assistance provided to Central Office OBSUs and Field Offices relating to various procurement projects as requested and/or as initiated through Procurement Facilitation Meetings	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	Note: FO-NCR BAC provided technical assistance to 12 CRCFs regarding the Procurement Plan and Process in a quarterly			Provision of technical assistance to D/C/RCF/S/Us quarterly or as need arises.
Number of TAs provided	-	-	-	-	-	12	12	12	12		basis.			brontor roros quarterly of as freed arises.
Total Number of TA request received	-	-	-	-	-	12	12	12	12		]			
Number of innovative/good practices for organizational and process excellence	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	-	-	-	-	-				
Percentage of capacity-building trainings/workshops conducted as planned	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	ANA	-	100% (1/1)	100% (1/1)	100% (1/1)	-	BAC NCR conducted Roll Out Orientaion on Procurement Manual on June 17, 2022. The activity aims to cascade information discussed in the DSWD-NCR Annual Procurement Capability Building 2022, to promote the importance of smooth flow of procurement process and clear delineation of roles and to properly monitor the implementation of the contract.			
Percentage of Central Office OBSUs and other procurement partners satisfied with the services rendered Total No. of CO OBSUs and procurements	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	Note: The BAC Secretariat used the Client Satisfaction Measurement Survey cascaded by ARTU.			
partners satisfied with the services						57	48	105	105				(	0%
Total No. of CO OBSUs and procurements partners subjected for satisfaction survey						57	48	105	105					

Program/ Sub-Program/ Performance	Allotment Class	Budget (GAA)			Amount				Perc	ent Utiliza	ition		Remarks/	
Frogram/ Sub-Frogram/ Ferrormance		Budget (GAA)	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Recommendation	
<b>GENERAL ADMINISTRATION AND SU</b>	JPPORT													
Grand Total		63,976,829.49	44,504,450.73	-2,317,724.91	0.00	0.00	42,186,725.82	69.56%	-3.62%	0.00%	0.00%	65.94%		
<b>Human Resource and Development</b>														
TOTAL		2,359,000	28,800	435,273	0	0	464,073.00		18.45%	0.00%	0.00%		HRPPMS: Some necessary documentary requirements that are still for approval. While some of the semi-expandable, office	
Current Appropriation		2,359,000	28,800	435,273	0	0	464,073.00	1.22%	18.45%	0.00%	0.00%	19.67%	supplies and ICT equipment are targeted to be purchased in June	
DRF													2022. On the other hand, we have 68% obligated under the	
	MOOE	2,359,000	28,800	435,273			464,073.00	1.22%	18.45%	0.00%	0.00%	19.67%	GASD fund and 50% Utilized with the amount of Php 923,712.00	
CMF													110140 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Continuing Appropriation		0	0	0	0	0	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	HRWS: Delayed in processing of PR hence there was changes in scheduling. The previous conducted Grievance Meetings, Fact	
DRF													Finding was coursed thru GASD Fund via Reimbursement	
CMF													,	
Administrative Services														
TOTAL		50,314,409	36,857,475	-5,187,966	0	0	31,669,509.23		-10.31%	0.00%	0.00%	62.94%		
Current Appropriation		50,222,041	36,857,475	-5,247,014	0	0	31,610,461.31	73.39%	-10.45%	0.00%	0.00%	62.94%		
DRF	MOOF	40.005.000	00.040.000	5 0 40 0 47				70.000/	40.700/	0.000/	0.000/	22 4 224		
OME	MOOE	49,835,000	36,818,082	-5,349,247			31,468,835.12	73.88%	-10.73%	0.00%	0.00%	63.15%		
CMF		077.044	00.000	400.000				4.4.000/	00.000/	0.000/	0.000/	<b>=</b> 4.400/		
	PS	277,041	39,393	102,233			141,626.19		36.90%	0.00%	0.00%	51.12%		
0	MOOE	110,000	0	50.040	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
Continuing Appropriation  DRF		92,368	U	59,048	U	U	59,047.92	0.00%	63.93%	0.00%	0.00%	63.93%		
CMF														
CIVIF	MOOE	92.368	0	59,048			59,047.92	0.00%	63.93%	0.00%	0.00%	63.93%		
Financial Management	MODE	92,308	0	59,048			59,047.92	0.00%	63.93%	0.00%	0.00%	63.93%		
Financial Management TOTAL		11,303,420	7,618,176	2,434,968	0	0	10,053,143.59	67.40%	21.54%	0.00%	0.00%	88.94%		
Current Appropriation		6,700,000	4,239,465	1,210,258	0	0	5,449,723.10		18.06%	0.00%	0.00%	81.34%		
DRF		0,700,000	4,233,403	1,210,230	U	U	3,449,723.10	03.20 /6	10.00 /6	0.00 /6	0.00 /6	01.34/0		
Diti	MOOE	6,700,000	4,239,465	1,210,258			5,449,723.10	63.28%	18.06%	0.00%	0.00%	81.34%		
CMF	WOOL	0,700,000	4,200,400	1,210,200			0,440,720.10	00.2070	10.0070	0.0070	0.0070	01.0470		
Continuing Appropriation		4,603,420	3,378,710	1,224,710	0	0	4,603,420.49	73.40%	26.60%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%		
DRF		1,000,120	0,010,110	1,221,110		·	1,000,120110	7011070	20.0070	0.0070	0.0070	10010070		
	MOOE	4,603,420	3,378,710	1,224,710			4,603,420.49	73.40%	26.60%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%		
CMF	302	.,,0	2,2.2,7.10	.,,			.,,3110	70		2.2270	2.2270	300.0070		
General Management and Supervision	n - (Combined Obl	igations of HR, A	dmin, FMD)											
TOTAL	,	63,976,829	44,504,451	-2,317,725	0	0	42,186,725.82	69.56%	-3.62%	0.00%	0.00%	65.94%		
Current Appropriation		59,281,041	41,125,740	-3,601,483	0	0	37,524,257.41	69.37%	-6.08%	0.00%	0.00%	63.30%		
DRF		, , , -		, ,			, ,							
	MOOE	58,894,000	41,086,347	-3,703,716	0	0	37,382,631.22	69.76%	-6.29%	0.00%	0.00%	63.47%		
CMF														
	PS	277,041	39,393	102,233	0	0	141,626.19	14.22%	36.90%	0.00%	0.00%	51.12%		

Program/ Sub-Program/ Performance	Allotment Class	Budget (GAA)			Amount				Perc	ent Utiliza	tion		Remarks/
Togram Gas : Togram Fortormano	Allotillelit Class	Budget (GAA)	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Recommendation
	MOOE	110,000	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
Continuing Appropriation		4,695,788	3,378,710	1,283,758	0	0	4,662,468.41	71.95%	27.34%	0.00%	0.00%	99.29%	
DRF													
	MOOE	4,603,420	3,378,710	1,224,710	0	0	4,603,420.49	73.40%	26.60%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	
CMF													
	MOOE	92,368	0	59,048	0	0	59,047.92	0.00%	63.93%	0.00%	0.00%	63.93%	
					011848	AABY OF BIODUS	OFMENTO FOR	0.4.00					
		-			Amount	MARY OF DISBU	RSEMENTS FOR	GASS	Dava	ent Utiliza	41		Remarks/
Program/ Sub-Program/ Performanc	anc Allotment Class	Obligation				Perc	ent Utiliza	tion		Recommendation			
			Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Recommendation
<b>GENERAL ADMINISTRATION AND SU</b>	JPPORT												
Grand Total		42,186,725.82	10,057,134.35	9,818,414.40	0.00	0.00	19,875,548.75	23.84%	23.27%	0.00%	0.00%	47.11%	
General Management and Supervision	n - HR, Admin, FM												
TOTAL		42,186,726	10,057,134	9,818,414	0	0	19,875,548.75		23.27%	0.00%	0.00%	47.11%	
Current Appropriation		37,524,257	8,572,327	8,225,314	0	0	16,797,641.25	22.84%	21.92%	0.00%	0.00%	44.76%	
DRF	MOOF	07.000.004	0.500.004	0.000.005			10 000 500 07	00.000/	04.040/	0.000/	0.000/	44.470/	
ONE	MOOE	37,382,631	8,532,934	8,089,665			16,622,599.07	22.83%	21.64%	0.00%	0.00%	44.47%	
CMF	PS	141,626	39,393	59,519			98,912.45	27.81%	42.03%	0.00%	0.00%	69.84%	
	MOOE	141,020	39,393	76,130			76,129.73	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
Continuing Appropriation	IVIOUE	4,662,468	1.484.807	1,593,100	0	0	3,077,907.50		34.17%	#DIV/0! 0.00%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
DRF		7,002,400	1,707,007	1,555,100			3,011,301.30	31.0370	J <del>7</del> .17/0	0.00 /0	0.0070	00.0176	
Bitt	MOOE	4,603,420	1,484,807	1,593,100			3,077,907.50	32.25%	34.61%	0.00%	0.00%	66.86%	
CMF	502	.,,	., ,	.,,			2,211,227.00	22.2270	2 70	2.2270	2.2270	33.3370	
9	MOOE	59,048	0	0			0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
Note: Combined Disbursements for HR,	Admin, FMD	,											